Previous

App. F. IX-4

1921-22 (Sanc)

File No. 49-21

Previous References

Municipal 144-11 (3 4 9 10)

Serial Nos. 1. 2. 8. 9. 10
20-36 37,

Later References

Sanc 61-3 & 4/1-3
Rel 60-32-(3-4)
173 33 1, 2, 3, 4
P.H. 44-37 & 1, 4-5+9
P.H. 154-38-1 19
P.H. 156-39, Sl. 1-4

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial Nos. Twenty three

P-115
E 12

Part B for August 1928

SUBJECT

Rules under the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1920

Sls 37

To The Secretary Commissioner
The All Commissioner Bangalore
The Resident City Mysore
The Resident & Secy Bangalore

Lr No Z 2260-3 & 17-8 dt

Forwards copies of the rules under the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration IX of 1920. issued vide Govt Secretariat letter No. 1/1928

C771-2

Enc.

420

OFFICE OF THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Bangalore, dated 18th April 1922

From

A. K PANI, Esq., D. P. H., Etc.,
Sanitary Commissioner with the
Government of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sani 49-21-1
19 2 64 20

To

A. V. Ramanathan, Esq., B.A.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
(inc. charge) Revenue Department Bangalore.

SIR,

I have the honor to request that you will be so good as to move Government to be pleased to designate the Chemical Examinder and the Assistant Chemical Examiner to the Government of Mysore as Public Analysts for purposes of the Mysore Prevention of Adalteration Regulation IX of 1921

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

Sanitary Commissioner, M.G.

Hoy T
Subd under Section 4 (2) of the Regn
a question of the appointment of the Public Analysts
as to cases only after provisions of the Regn are extended to local areas.

Boards

Branches for necessary action in matters before the Public Analysts are appointed

Yes

20/4/22

It is not usual to take action in the L.B and the sections to extend the provisions of these Regns to the Mofussil and other areas the question appears to be one that has to be dithosed of in the Secy and Legis Sections in consultation with the Secy

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

6L97
9

6420

G.R.S. III—3]

Routine Notes.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

4) Resubmitted for orders with refee to para 1 above

JAM HM. Secy 8/5/22

5/5/22 8/5/22 (Legis Section) 8/5/22

5) It is the practice for Rules and Notifications under Regulations, etc, to be issued in the Administrative Branch concerned The Regulation has to be extended by a Notification and action has to seems to be taken on the Local Boards Branch / as between us the Administrative Branches in the present case Submit for orders.

Secy 10/5/22 SBR

6) Please send this on to the Legl. Branch

Supdt L & G Branch with compliments

Secy 11/5/22

SGR

N.B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips, the notes must be copied, or slips pasted on this form.

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARY'S
11 MAY 1922

G. R. S. III-2

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sam File No. 49 of 1921

Serial No.

1/ Draft subs for appl

5-6-22 12/5

10/5/22 (2nd member)

11/5/22

11/5

8/5/22

23/5

25/5

2

1/ Issue

25/5/22

The Secy Govt

No L 6935 dt 27-5-22

Request to furnish with a list of local areas to which the Regn may be extended along with the opinion of the local authorities concerned

REVENUE & JUDICIAL SECRETARIAT. 7 JUN 1922 LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

38/0
V 4033
V 6257

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

San File No. 49 of 1924

Serial No.

8 From the Sanitary Commissioner
No. 771-88 & 22nd May
5th June 1925

Submits the resolutions of the several municipal councils on the state on the matter of introduction of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation & suggests that before extending it generally, it may be introduced into one or two select areas in each district as an experimental measure

10) Subd. The Sanitary Commissioner states that the information has not been furnished by the Deputy Commissioner, Kolar district, & that the question of the rules to be framed under section 20 of the Regulations is engaging his attention & that the draft rules will be submitted shortly. He does not also seem to have consulted the Presidents of the city municipal councils of Bangalore & Mysore & submit the draft for approval &c.

A.S.O. 18
13/6/25

11) A draft is put up

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Sam File No. 69 of 1924.

Serial No.

for approval or other order

1/ The points for orders are

(1) introduction of the Regulations in select areas;

(2) appointment of public analysts;

(3) framing rules under Sec^n 20 of the Regl^n, and

(4) fixing a scale of fees for each class of articles examined.

202
9.7.23

First Member

8.7.23

2/ The rules may be amended as soon as the rules are framed. The Regulations may be extended to all the Municipal Councils near-by and then later

3/ What about the Municipal Councils near-by and Municipalities?

4/ When the H.G.F. have passed the rules.

5/ The Sanitary Com^r may be requested to expedite as the rules are being readied

13/7

14/7/23

14.7.23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2]

6231
V 435

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Tam File No. 49 of 1929

Serial No.

17) Lhd. The Sanitary Commissioner has sent a set of draft rules relating to K.G. & a copy of which has been sent to the President K.G. & Sanitary Board for opinion. The file has been sent to the Judicial Branch for scrutiny. The opinion of the President, Vantaa Braid, has not been received till now.

18) The draft already in this file may be issued for consideration & approval.

V81 16-7-25

(Rev: Sec:)

21 Refer Para 13 & 16

18.7.25

V81 21/7/25

1/Issue 21.7.25

9

The Sanitary Commr
no L 6411 8 23.7.25
Calls for the
information
from the Sanitary Commissioner,
No C. 771-6 of 22nd Oct 1925

Submits for consideration & approval of Govt a set of draft rules under section 20 (y) of the Nuisance Prevention & Adulteration Rules IX of 1925 as submitted. The Sanitary Commissioner states that the resolutions of the meeting

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

4609
26.3.25

SECRETARIAT 5 JUL 1929 LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT BRANCH REVENUE

ESTABLISHMENT

G. R. S. III-2]

2135

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sam File No. 49 of 1921.

Serial No.

Councils of Rangoon & Insein etc & of the Kolar DT have not been received & that reminders have been issued to them by Comr Kola DT and the mailed.

2) The names of the municipalities into which the Regulation may be introduced have not been mentioned by the Sanitary Comr. The Regulation has been ordered to be introduced into all the municipalities that have agreed to the same. (Vide para 13 above)

3) The rule relating to the Kolar Sanitary Bd has been sent to the Jucial Branch for scrutiny. There a point for orders as to whether the present rules also may be sent to that branch.

4) Subd for orders with reference to paras 13 to 16 above.

JRA HVK
28/10/23

5) It is also a point for consideration as to whether the local bodies will have to be consulted in regard to the suitability of the rules.

6) Refer para 13 & 16

First member

3.4.23

28. The Jucial Branch may with reference to their remarks in para 27 above

3335
5.11.23

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2155

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sch. File No. 49 of 19 24

Serial No.

Secretary's note

29 The President's Regulations Municipal Council Mutton Quay Mayor asked to expedite their reply to the representation Sanitary Commissioner

Sch
16/11

Sd
17/11

30 Put up draft as para 29 CSB 17.11.

31/2 Drafts submitted
Sch
19/11/24

22 32) Issue CSB 28.11.24

To the President Municipal Council Mayor
No 4066 of 30-11-24

Request to expedite his reply to the Sanitary Commissioner re introduction of the Adulteration Regulations

To the Sanitary Commissioner with Mayor
No L. 4068 of 30-11-24

For copy of the above

To the President of the Municipal Council of Jaffna Mayor
No L 4067 of 30-11-24

Request to expedite their reply to the Sanitary Commissioner

To the Sanitary Commissioner No L. 4068 of 30-11-24
forwards copy of the above

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

2135-

19

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sans File No. 49 of

Serial No.

Sub: Draft letters to the President DTO Kolar & the Presidents of the city municipal councils of Bangalore & Mysore ordered to issue in Rora 32 above have issued. They may be approved be sent to the Judicial Branch for explaining the delay in the scrutiny of the rules, as asked by the Hon'ble Member in Rora 28 above

16.1.24

16.1.24

Judicial branch
with Compliments

This has been sent to the Protection Branch file re: rules under the Prevention of adulteration Act IX of 1919 which was received back with Rora no 1038/P. on 3.9.24 This is separately for keep for the worked up

16/9/24

Sub: The information regarding the opinion of the city municipal councils of Bangalore & Mysore & of the several municipal councils and the Kolar DC asked to be obtained & called by the Sanitary Comr has not been received till now A draft reminder to Sanitary Comr is submitted

16/9/24

16/9/24

Issued vide 2609 of 23/9/24. Sanitary Comr

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sanc File No.

Serial No 19

From the Sanitary Commissioner
No C. 177-82 & 5. 6. 1925

Forwards the file of papers relating to the introduction of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 into the Municipalities of the Kolar Dt and the Bangalore & Mysore cities

37) Solicits the opinions of the municipal councils of all Dts except Kolar had been received from the Sanitary Commissioner with his letter no C. 771-38 & the 5th June 1923. In para 2 of that letter the Sanitary Comr had proposed that the regulation may be introduced into one or two selected areas in each District as an experimental measure the results

38) Draft rules for working of the regulation had been received with the Sanitary Comr's letter no C. 771-65 & the 12th Octr 1923. Rules relate to all local areas including the cities of the Kolar & Bangalore but the rules relating to the Kolar & have already been issued (vide notn no L 2100/Sanitary-24 & 11 & 1924 and separate sets of rules approved by the City Municipal Commissioners have been received with the Sanitary Comr's letter of 5th June 1925. Hence,

PAD. I

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

2135 of 23
7825
8206.

G.R.S. III-2] WD 2119—GPB—10.000—

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Jan File No. 49 of 1921

Serial No.

Hence it is a point for orders as to whether the draft rules received with letter dt 12.10.1923 may be so modified as not to contain any reference to the rules of the G.T. with a view to their application to other local areas

39/ Before report the introduction of the Bombay regulation in the 2 city municipalities the points for orders are detailed in para 3 to 7 of the Land Revenue Comm's letter dt 5.6.1924

PWA III

Letter dt 23.10.1924 received from the Secretary Govt ... requested to ... by the ... Commissioner ... Revised Bye laws also ... Sub-rules.

dt 8206 (Flag IV)

Mean file ... on file relating to the ... Kolaba ... Files

25/6/25 JMK 25/6/25

27/6

New 29/6

Flag B

Can file 49-21 relating to this ... be sent

Asstt JMK 26/6/25

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sav File No. 49 of 1921

Serial No.

Prevention of adulteration of food

With R.A. 2 paras 15th Sep of this file (Sav 45-21)

(4) The question arises to what other areas the provisions of the Notification may be applied. It seems necessary to include therein the 2 cities of Bangalore and Mysore & also the rules framed with the modifications proposed by the Council & recommended by the Sanitary Commissioner. As regards other areas, it is for consideration whether the Act should be applied to any of them at once or whether it should be applied to each area as and when the necessity for its application arises.

(5) If the Council is approved necessary draft notifications regarding the selection of the provisions of the Notification for the areas as also the rules will be put up

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

(46) [illegible]

48 [illegible] see (2) the [illegible] areas [illegible] have [illegible] first [illegible] (49) [illegible] see of any [illegible]

820

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sar File No 69 of 1921

Serial No. 50

Subd Of the Dt Headquarters in the Municipal Committee of Chitaldrug Hosdrug are not agreeable to the introduction of the Regn Draft calling for the names of the towns into which the Regn may be introduced in their opinion the receipt of the reply from them action may be taken in regard to para 69 above. Submitted

719/24/7

88/21/7/25 HK 21.7.25

L. 28.7.25

22/7

88

28.7

7/ In my cover Director between 68 & 69. You may get up as at 69 above, & also note Dr. Davis' letter for necessary action

28/7/21

20 No L.582 of 25.7.25 to Commissioner Requests to intimate the names of towns in each District to selected for the introduction of the Regulation

52/ Subd The may if approved be moved forwarded to the Collectors Concerned for furnishing the information required in para 69 above

28/7/25 HK 27/7/25

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

G.R.S. III-2]

WD 2119—GPB—10.000—

File No. 9006/49 of 1921

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.	
	Forwarded in reply to the Sanction of Government in Para 1, 2 above
	By order
	Secretary to Government
	In Local & Municipal Dept

WO WO 364
8.9.21

077/10

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No.

Serial No.

C 77/-87 & one
14. 8. 25

Sanction
15-8-25

56/ The rules are adopted from standard works on the subject, including Knight's Annotated Bye-Laws. The legal standards are about the same as those in force in Bombay and Calcutta, as will be seen from the enclosed correspondence. In fixing the standards for Mysore, a note drawn up by Dr. M. Srinivasa Rao embodying the result of his laboratory researches on the composition of local milk and milk products has also been consulted.

57/ The draft rules now proposed appear quite suitable, as they have received the acceptance of the Sanitary Board, Kolar Gold Fields, and likewise also of the Municipal Councils of Bangalore and Mysore Cities.

58/ As regards the rules in force in Bombay and Madras, the enclosed copies of correspondence seem to show that no supplementary rules or bye-laws were in force in either City in 1922. I am addressing the Health-Officers of the Corporations again enquiring if any such rules have since been adopted and shall communicate their replies to Government in due course with notes as to how their rules, if any, compare with ours.

Bangalore,
Dated 12th Aug: 1925.

Sanitary Commissioner.

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Letter No.R.O.H.D.C.No.6934 of 1922 dated the 31st October 1922 from the Health Officer, Corporation of Madras, to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No. C.771-16 dated the 20th October 1922, I have the honor to inform you that no standard of purity is yet fixed for preventing the adulteration of the food stuffs referred to therein and no bye-laws ~~is~~ are in force here.

****

Letter No. H.81/C. 1922-23 dated the 31st October 1922, from the Executive Health Officer, Bombay, to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No. C.771-16 of 20-10-1922, I have the honor to inform you that for the prevention of adulteration of Ghee and other articles of human food in Bombay ~~xxx~~ an Act has been passed known as the Bombay Prevention of Adulteration Act No.II of 1899.

In the case of milk. samples are collected by the staff of the Assistant Health Officers and sent to the Municipal Laboratory and the offenders are prosecuted on the certificate of the Municipal Analyst under Section 412 A of the Bombay Municipal Act.

The legal standards for milk are :-

Cows milk	(Solids not fat	8.5%
	(Fat	3.5%
Buffalo milk	(Solids not fat	9.0%
	(Fat	5.0%

In the case of Ghee the standards is:-

Reichert Wollny figure	28 to 30
Butyro-Refractometer at 40 °C.	41° - 42°

As for Cream and Butter the English Standards are adopted.

****

Letter No. H.2045 dated the 16th December 1922 from the Health Officer, Corporation of Calcutta, to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter dated the 27th Ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that there is no special Act in Calcutta for controlling adulteration of food-stuffs but sections 493 to 508 of the Calcutta Municipal Act 1899 deal with the same generally. A special Ghee Act (The Calcutta Municipal Amendment Act 1917) is in force here, which deals only with ghee.

We have got no legal standards for any article of food, as our

our present Act does not provide for any. But we have adopted the following working standards for our own purposes.

Milk Fat 3.5 p.c.

Solid not fat 8.5 p.c.

Ghee Butyro-refractive Index - 42.

Reichert Wollney value 28.0 for commercial ghee

30-0 for buffaloe ghee.

Saponification number - 225.

Butter - Moisture 16 p.c.

Other figures same as ghee.

Cream - No. standard.

I may state here that in the new Municipal Bill which has been referred to a select committe and which is expected to come up before the Legislative Council early next year ample provisions have been made for prevention of adulteration of food-stuffs and enforcement of legal standards.

"COPIES"

SANITARY COMMISSIONER.

8206/V1166

[App. F. II]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Secn File No. 49 of 1924

Serial No

21

From the Secretary Commissioner no C.771-68 dt 12.8.25

Suggests the manner in which the provisions of the Prevention of Adulteration Regn may be introduced and that unless the question is tackled at the source by bringing under the regulation all the important towns which act as centres of distribution of foodstuffs, maximum results cannot follow.

57) Subd for orders with ref to the second members orders at paras 48 & 49 & 51 above. The Secretary Commissioners unofficial note is contained in paras 54 & 56 above.

17/8/25 17/8/25 17/8/25

58) As rules will have to be issued soon after the introduction of the provisions of the Regulation into the areas recommended which is for approval, the further reference from the Secretary Commissioner regarding the rules in para 56 of this Secretary's unofficial note may also be awaited.

L. Secy)

20/8/25

59) yes 8/8 22.8

60) Personal in Secretary's draft removed subd

60) Issue AMK 20/8/25

Issued no L 2250 & 23 & 24

Sub

Secretary's draft removed subd

18/8/25

18/8/25

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
24 AUG. 1925
LOCAL SELF GOVT.

Noted—Contn. Sheet

WD 0569—GPB—40,000-

File No. of 192

General and Revenue Secretariat

Serial No.

12

1166
1167 (20

General and Revenue Secretariat. | Sam File No | of 1924

Serial No. 22

Frontier Sanitary Commissioner Bengal
Rangoon
Bombay
Madras
Calcutta
Karachi

Copies of replies received from the above Ports in continuation of their D.O. letter dated the 6th January 1925 regarding the measures taken for the prevention of adulterated food stuffs have not been received in any of the cases.

63) Subject for orders vide paragraph 88 of the Sanitary Code.

64) With reference to paragraph 48 and 49 of the Code the proposal of the Sanitary Commissioner for the introduction of the Regulations that the areas named in the Notification No. C771-876 of 1907 dated 15th October 1907 as regards the ports under the Royal Charter which will have to be published soon after the Code is published in accordance with paragraphs 54 to 56 of the Code.

65) Please ask S.S. to discuss this with me when he sees me next. The points on which I wish to have further information are (1) Why we

15/10/25

28/10

Note—Contn. Sheet

G.R.S. III-27

WD 2119—GPB—10.000

21/-

File No. 69 of 1924

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Serial No.

Strike in advance of Premier Mines in India in this respect & (2) Copy of name of instruments req^d for the tests and qualifn of the agency. In what Kind of Cases & Can the test be made by the Rail Insps if instruments are supplied; & if in what Cases will Samples have to be sent to Lab^y We may see me with info in above, as far as possible.

[illegible]

22/10/24

67/ Shan put up draft at once

22.10.

WD

24/10

for subj

[illegible]

23/10/24

WK

10/X

69/ Done at once today

WD

23/10.

Issued vide 29509 dated 25/10 to B. Vishwanath

Please see a copy of Regret have to discuss matter with me and advise action will in [illegible] reference to above

Major Gen. Secretary

The Director

The Director

Mr. Mehta

Mr. Mehta

Mr. Mehta

General and Revenue Secretariat

Saw File No. 49 of 1921.

Serial No	
70	C. 2467. The Member desires that the Sanitary Commissioner may be addressed to expedite his views on the subject dealt with in the file (Secy. 49-21) 10/11/25
71	Draft table 11/11/25
72	Note under Secretary's signature 12/11/25
73	Issued D.o. no 3504 of 14.11.25 to the Sanitary Commr., Bangalore, calling for his views on the several points contained in the D.o. of 25.10.1925
	From the Sanitary Commr. in Mysore D.o. no C 771-91 of 12.12.1925 forwards his opinion on the several points referred to him
79	Subdn. The points referred to in the letter thereto are the following:- 1. Why Mysore should be in advance of the premier cities in India in regard to the introduction of the Food Adulteration Regulations & Rules.
	wholesome food etc are necessary. The enactment of the rules in this matter are already receiving or must soon be receiving attention in Br. Indian provinces

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
19 NOV 1925
BANGALORE

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No		
	2. Cost & nature of the instruments req^d for the tests	The existing equipment with answer with the addition of a few appliances the cost of which is trivial
	3, Qualification of the Assayer	D.P.H. diploma & University training in Public Health Laboratory This is necessary not only from the point of view of efficiency but also to secure recognition in the Court of Law as his certificate may be a highly specialised work all samples requiring examⁿ will invariably have to be sent to the Laboratory for analysis.
	4, In what cases can the test be made by the Sanitary Inspectors if instruments are supplied to them	

74) Submitted with reference to para 64-67 above

5/12/25 2nd Member 18/12/25

5616 18/12

75) A self contained note or draft embodying all the above & non-controversial suggestions may be sent to Bsr & Mysore etc the Municipalities in question for their information & Dewan's after approval

18/12

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

For discussion with the Deputy Director of Public Health

WD 264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat

San File No. 49 of 1921.

Serial No.

76. Of the two sets of rules approved by the Municipal councils of Bangalore & Mysore cities, that approved by the Bangalore city municipal council appears to be suitable as it not only contains almost all the rules etc, approved by Govt in the case of Kolar Gold Fields, but also those agreed to by the Bangalore city Municipal Council. At the same time, it seems to me, clearer as the rules relating to food products are separate from those relating to dairies, as in the case of K.G.F. rules If approved, a copy of these rules added to to suit the requirements of the Bangalore city also will be sent to the Presidents of the Municipal Councils of the two cities for opinion

1480 2/9.1.26 77 In Bangalore the Public analyst is proposed to be the Chemical Examiner Govt but in Mysore the Health Officer is proposed perhaps on account of distance. The legal standard for milk in Bangalore is slightly higher than that proposed by Mysore but the Mysore standard is preferred as it had been approved by San Committee taking into consideration

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 264—GPB—40,000—1[illegible]
[App. F. II

General and Revenue Secretariat

Sam File No. of 192[illegible]. (45

Serial No.

the analysis of Dr Srinivasa Rau referred to by Mr Srinivasa Rau Pillai. Punishment suggested by this office for a continuing breach of the rules has been added to the Mysore bye-laws as in all bye-laws generally such a provision exists

K
11(c. 78) Draft calling for opinion of makeout evidence (non-controversial points) Make the draft full

Mrs 2/2

79) Draft is submitted
16/2/26
Mrs

80) Please make a clean copy as revised.
81) Put up separate draft for Mysore Civil Officers Peculiarities & Mr Iyer's proposal
Earl please Mrs 17/2

82) A typed draft is submitted
83) Another draft is submitted to the Mysore Resident as directed.
Mrs
8/3/26

~~Draft submitted for approval~~

Pto)

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

Fan File No. 3240 4 9 of 1921

(26)

Serial No.

By Reference Para 5 above
2 Drafts are put up one to the Standing Counsel, & the other to the Advocate-Genl & suggesting changes which will make the rules in both municipalities the same.
They may be approved

Secretary

9/3
6/3

By please place a typed copy of the draft rules when submitted by G.B.

Typed draft submitted
HBJ
4/3/21
Init

8) Does not the bill before the L.C. to amend the Mysore Municipal Regn. contain some provisions in this behalf? I think it does. Whether these rules are required and, if so, whether they are in conformity with the provisions of the amending bill should be examined before consulting the Bangalore & the Mysore Municipal Councils. The matter may be treated as urgent

Pl
9/3

Can be clubbed up with the bill - HBJ
8/3

8) The rules proposed to be applied on this file are under the Prevention of Adulteration Regulation. This is a special regulation and permits more detail than the general ~~power given~~ Section of the Municipal Regulations (Section 111) giving powers to frame bylaws in the same matter. The Bill & the Rules are both put up

HBJ
9/3

Secy

Hon T.
8/3

Hon T.
P. 32

Jam this date of the amending bill has been passed by the Second Stage of the L.C. It contains some provisions in this behalf. Attorney

9/3

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

Loans File No. 49 of 1921 (27)

Serial No.



891 The two drafts consulting the Mun:. Councils of Bangre & Mysore in respect of the Bye laws under the Loans Regn: may be kindly

7854/113

PW Member

To Please Submit Bloore as this refers to C&G Munc Offr.

12/3/26

Dewan 13/3

911 May issue

13/3

13/3

26 Do to the Munel Commr Bloore city Munel Council No 9469 dt 14.3.1926

States that Govt: think that the Regulation may be introduced in the cities of Bloore and Mysore in the first instance and forwards a copy of the rules & requests that they may be placed before a meeting of the Munel Cl & their opinion as to the advisability thereof for the information and orders of Govt

Do to the Sanitary Commr No 9450 dt 14.3.1926 Forwards a copy of the above

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

3240

WD 01264—GPB—10,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | San File No. of 192

Serial No.

24 To The President
City Municl Council Mysore
No 4451 Dt 14.3.1926
States that Govt think that the Regulation may be introduced into the Municipalities Bangalore and Mysore in the first instance and forwards a copy of the rules and requests that these may be placed before a meeting of the Municl Council and their opinion obtained and furnished

To The Sanitary Commr
No 4452 Dt 14.3.1926
forwards a copy of the above

25 Subd In rule 7(e) of the rules received from the Mysore city municipal council some words seem to have been omitted A draft calling for a clearer & fuller rule is submitted as personally directed

188
17/3/26

25/3/26

To The President,
City Municl Council Mysore
D.O. No. 4460 Dt 14.3.1926
States that some words seem to have been omitted in rule 7(e) and requests him to state what words are wanted to make the meaning clear

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat

Law File No. 69 of 1921.

Serial No.

29 From the President city Municipality Mysore No 875 of 30.3.26 stating that no words have been omitted in rule 76(c) suggests the necessary alteration in the rule so as to make the meaning clear

94) Subd. The rule as now suggested is clearer than before but the words "Unless the contrary is proved" may perhaps be omitted as the admixture of flour or congee contained in a sample of curds, milk or curds cannot be disproved

95) A copy of the rule as it appears will have to be sent to the two city municipal councils for opinion. vide this office letters nos 7469 & 7457 of 17.3.26

96) Yes d/f forward

K I K.

6/4/26

97) Draft ordered

5/4/26 S/4

98) For perusal before issue the rule as originally worded was not very clear - the present wording does seem to be not quite free from difficulty

Secy 99) Secy R.D

100) Issue 9/4

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

30 To The Munel. Commissioner,
Munel. Counel, Bangalore city
No 9.8393 dt 10.4.1926
States that the above words may be substituted in place of para 4(2) of the rules

To The President,
city Munel Council, Mysore
No 9.8394 dt 10.4.1926
Forwards a copy of the above with the information that the above words may be adopted in considering the rules

To The Sanitary Commissioner,
No 9.8395 dt 10.4.1926
Forwards a copy of the above for information

31 From the President, City Mnl. Council, Mysore
No 2584 98.5 1926
States that the revised rules have been approved by the Municipal Council (or) Subd the receipt of the reply from the Mnl Comr, Bangalore city will be awaited if appn. Arrangements had issued to that officer on the 6th inst

Noted
11/5/26
11/5/26

Noted
13/5/26

Noted
6.5.26

NOTE.—Contd. Sheet

5472
V 81

WD 01204—GPD—40,000—17-12-25

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No 69 of 1921 (31

Serial No 32

From the Municipal Commissioner.
4-10-21 & 28/30th July 1926

Forwards a copy of the resolution of the Municipal Commr. with effect that a recommendation may be made to Govt for the adoption of the draft rules in regard to the licensing of the Bangalore Prevention of Adulteration Regn

103/ Draft rules framed on those

Feg 7. received from ~~the Govt of~~ the Mysore city rules were

Flags I & K sent to the Municipal Councils of Bangalore & Mysore cities for opinion. The Municipal

P.V.D.I. Council of Mysore city have simply approved

P.V.D.II them, but the Bangalore city have recommended that the rules may be adopted (adapted?). The Bangalore city rules as now proposed are somewhat more elaborate than those of Mysore

104/ The Regulation has under section (2) been extended to the two cities and the draft rules have been published in the Mysore Gazette under section 20 for penal information & criticism before being sanctioned finally

105/ Orders are solicited on

(i) Extension of the Regn to these two cities,

(ii) different sets of rules for the two cities being approved

106/ Refer Regns 90 & 91.

2/8/26

2/8/26

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No

107) The rules may be approved in consultation with the Govt Secy
A notification will have to issue first extending the Prevention of Adulteration Regulation to the two cities - Then the rules may be published for criticism

Secy
17/8

C.W. Please bring this up RR 17/8

108) There is not much diff.ce between the Bangalore and the Mysore rules. The former are in a few cases a trifle more complete than the latter. Some of the differences are due to the fact that in Bangalore there is a Municl Commr and none in Mysore. Also Mysore proposes that the Public analyst shd be the Head Office + Bangalore proposes that the Chemical Exr to Govt may be Public analyst - another important difference is that Bangalore contemplates appointing a Special Food Inspector which in Mysore the Sanl Inspectors in charge of Mohallas are Food Inspectors in their Mohallas

Before Secn, please compare with the rules in Regn + see if any changes are called for
RR 18/8.

109) The rules may be approved with the modifs proposed in the typed copy of the rules below.

First member Thro'
d. & d. Secy.

RR 18/8
21 mw 15/8

NOTE.—Contn. Sheet

12/11/p 8 28

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-28
App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

San File No 49 of 1927 33

Serial No.

(10) Submitted The rules proposed follow those issued for the K. & T. Sanitary Board area. They seem suitable and may be approved.

(11) One point has however to be considered. The rules include rules for regulating dairies, dairymen and for securing the cleanliness of milk and milk products. These rules may not perhaps be issued under the Prevention of Adulteration Regulation but question seems to have been considered when the rules for the K. & T. area were being examined and the then Sanitary Member seems to have been of the opinion that it is difficult to say which rule will come under Section 20 and which under 21 (Vide Para 26 of notes in Para 69 of 28 11.17.1-18) & that all the rules adopted by the Board is all right. If this view is followed the rules in question may be issued as proposed, and in that case an additional rule will have to be framed for penalties the violation of any of these rules as mentioned. Such a

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

WD 01264—GPB—40,000—17-12-25

neral and Revenue Secretariat | San File No. 49 of 1921 84

Serial No.

provision the rules will be merely advisory

(11) The rules in question have perhaps to be framed as bye-laws under the provisions of the Municipal Regulation and not to be issued as rules by Govt. as section 21 of Regn IX of 1821 empowers Govt. to make rules that every local authority may under the provisions regulating the making of bye-laws of the Regulation under which the local authority is constituted make bye laws for the prevention of the manufacture or preparation for sale, the exposure for sale or hawking about, or the sale of articles of food which are adulterated or other than what they purport or are represented to be and the restriction and the regulation of places in which such articles are kept, stored, packed, cleaned, manufactured, prepared or exposed for sale, hawked about or sold." Such articles in this section seems to refer to articles of food which are adulter-

NOTE—Contn. Sheet

Serial No.

a other than what they
propose or recommended to be and
as such regulation of trade,
in which case the
article, may be manufactured
and sold, does not seem to
have been contemplated
by this section. In this
view the rules framed in
the latter part can not,
it is submitted, be issued
by Govt. under Regn 28 of
they may at best be by-laws
framed by the Council under
the provisions of the Municipal
Regn.

Law. 113/ Please bring up, with a
8/9/28 copy of the Regulation
Cag
7/9

Law
7/8?

114/ Mr D. S. Maramia would please
see me with the Regn.
DS
28/5

115/ A separate typed note is sent as directed
DS
7/6/27

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sam File No. 49 of 1921.

Serial No.

116 With regard to Rule 1.a public analyst is to be appointed by the local authority subject to the approval of Government, under Section 4. The appointment is therefore to be made by an order or resolution of the local authority and not by means of a rule. Section 20 which empower Government for making rules does not authorise the framing of a rule for the appointment of a public analyst. Further under Secn. 13 (1) the fee to be paid to the analyst is to be fixed by the authority appointing him. Government cannot frame a rule fixing such fees. In rule 1 proposed by the Bangalore City Municipality the word 'drug' is used. This is ultra vires as the article itself relates to food which under Secn. 2 does not include drugs.

117 With regard to Rule 2 it purports to delegat powers to the Health Officer in both the City Municipalities. Under Secn. 20 (2) the rule to be framed should prescribe the classes or qualifications of persons to whom and the conditions on which the local executive officer may under Secn.3 delegate his powers. This clause does not empower Government to mention in the rule a particular officer to whom the power may be delegated. The local authority may delegate the power to any officer satisfying those conditions and qualifications mentioned in the rule.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 49 of 192 1

Serial No.

(18) Further the rule in the Bangalore City Municipality mentions the Commissioner as the person from whom the Health Officer may receive instructions. It may be pointed out that the Commissioner does not come under the definition of local executive officer defined in Section 2.

(19) With regard to Rule 3 it may perhaps be opened to the objection that while Secn. 20 clause (c) authorises Government to prescribe the materials likely to injure such food as the authority is -- delegated ~~such~~ to the Health Officer and this ~~is~~ contravenes ~~to~~ the principle ~~delegatus non potest delegare~~

(20) Rule 4. The rule may perhaps be made more specific in order to make it applicable only to specified articles for which labels may be attached. Moreover labels in English may not be necessary.

(21) Rule 5. With regard to Rule 5 there is no authority to make a rule to declare the quality of -- the materials to be sold. and ~~for this purpose~~ it will be unauthorised to make a rule to declare whether the milk is buffalo or cow milk.

(22) Rules 6 and 7 may be combined together and a rule may simply prescribe the standards without mentioning that a presumption will be raised for - which there already provision under Secn. 20 (e). With regard to butter milk or curds coming under Rule 7 under Bangalore City Municipality Rules (e)

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

Serial No.

this is not the proper place for it. It may be transposed to rule 5 so as to require notice of admixture.

(13) With regard to rule 8 (b) under Bangalore City Municipality rules the rule prescribing penalty for abstracting any component part from any article of food is unnecessary since no rule prohibits such abstraction. The rule may also be made simpler by saying "neglects to affix labels as required by Rule 4. Mentions of rules 8 and 9 under Rule 8 clause (d) of the Bangalore City Municipality seems to be a mistake. In clause (d) no punishment is prescribed for the first offence in the rules for Bangalore City. Besides the punishment of Rs.50 for every day on which the said disobedience or failure continues is not quite in accordance with the proviso to Sec.20 and it seems to be better to adopt the wording of that proviso. Clause (d) may therefore stand thus:-

(14) "Manufactures, deals in or sells milk, cream, butter and ghee below the standard prescribed in Rules 6 and 7 shall on conviction before a Magistrate be punished with fine not exceeding Rs.50 and with fine which may extend to Rs.50 for every subsequent offence.

(15) With regard to Rules for regulating dairies dairymen and for securing the cleanliness of --

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 2293—GPB—10,000—4-5-27

12.11.26
P

General and Revenue Secretariat. Sain File No. 49 of 1921

Serial No.

milk and milk products it is submitted that ~~xxx~~ these rules do not fall under any of the clauses of Secn. 20, and so Government may not perhaps frame such rules by virtue of powers vested in them.

Secy (L.D)
Govt Sent with Compts

12/6

12/6
Please bring it up
8/6
12/6

(27) Mr. Ds. attached
8/6
12.6

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

P 8/6

General and Revenue Secretariat | 121/826 Part File No. 49 of 1926 Leo

Serial

128) I send a draft note prepared
Please consider the desirability or otherwise
of adopting the suggestions contained therein

Genl Sect
with Compts

3.8

5.8.

G-in Branch

4/8

U.S. 129)
I think the
rules might modified
(with light of) best
opinion of Govt
when taken
4/8.

130)
Will kindly revise rules as suggested &
put up

Secy
4/8

(Read
5/8/27
per H.S.
Note
5/8/27

131) A typed draft in accordance with
the Opinion of the Law Secretariat
is put up. The Sanitary Commr may if
approved be consulted and finally about
2/9/27 the suitability of the draft rules
appended

132) In regard to rules about the duties of
the municipal Commrs with the suggestion
to issue them as bye laws under the
Supreme municipal Regulations. Refer
Paras 111 & 112 above

Secy
2/9/27

133) This should go
the U.S (vide
heads 129 & 130)
above
4/9

134) Submitted to the Under Secy.
NOTE—Contn. Sheet
MSH
3/9/27

4/

General and Revenue Secretariat. File No. 49 of 1921

Serial No.

135) Submitted with reference to marginal note on last page

136) The rules have been revised with reference to the Law Secys suggestions An attempt was made to get references as to standards for milk, ghee in use elsewhere but none could be got The draft rules may now be approved for notification // A notification has to issue first extending the Prevention of Adulteration of Foods Regn to Retro cities Draft of this also is put up 23/11

DEWAN'S OFFICE. Received on 30. NOV. 1927 Reg. No. 3621

137) CW Please bring up RB 25/11 VS 25/11

General Secretary

138) I think the Standing Comm of the R-A &c. on Public Health may be consulted on this matter

4419 29.11

First Member. RB 29/11 VS 29/11

139) lae 30/11 RB 30/11

140) For perusal Dewan RB 30/11 VS 30/11

NOTE—Contn. sheet

141) MS 30-11-27 RB 1/12 VS 1/12

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

Pr 6241 412

Promises made by the Diwan at the June Session of the Legislative Council, 1927

Mr. B. Mahomed Usmon. 466. Regarding the adulteration complained of, we have already taken action and have asked for the opinion of the Presidents of the Municipalities.

President. (551) The Doctor will not only give us a report but will also actually carry out the recommendations made in it. Action taken separately (Pr no 6241(a)

(413) At the Budget session of the Leg. Council Mr. Ramachandra Rao stated that there was great necessity for legislation in matters of sanitation & adulteration of foodstuffs & the above was Dr Usmans reply

(413) After consulting the two City municipal councils, draft rules have been framed & they had been placed before the Standing committee meeting held on 28.1.1928 Their suggestions are awaited & will be placed with the amended draft rules

(414) yet, before submitting the D.A. section.

15/2/28

D. 129/2.c. A.A. Section)

(415) noted and returned.

G. W. Branch with compliments

20.2.28

20/2

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY
Govt. P.S.R.
18 FEB 1928
REPRESENTATIVE ASSEMBLY
LEGISLATIVE JOURNAL

L 8713 (4)

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 49 of 1921

Serial No

Extract from proceedings of the meeting of the Standing Committee for Local Self Government Medicines and Public Health held on the 28th January 1928

---

x x x

III. Rules under the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation ---

(46)

The draft rules were approved with the following modifications

Rule 2 - Section 3--

This may be changed as below :--

"The officer to whom the local executive officer may delegate his power shall be of the rank of a Health Officer or shall hold the diploma of Public Health. No legal proceedings under the Regulation shall be instituted without the permission in writing of the president or the Commissioner as the case may be".

(48)

Section 20 (b) - This may be modified as below--

"(a) The addition of 'or' before and 'food' after 'preparing' in line 2 of Rule 4.

"(b) The area within which the local executive officer of a Municipal Council shall exercise powers shall be the Municipal limits of the place"

(49)

The Committee considered that in view of the posts of Municipal Commissioners having been created to the Bangalore City and as Taluk Boards have been abolished, the Regulation requires to be suitably amended. The Regulation should also be amended so as to make offenses under the Regulation triable by Stipendiary Magistrates.

(47)

They also consider that the officers who have to detect the offences coming under the Regulation should be of an above the grade of Sanitary Inspectors.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

16/4/28

817-26
6911
8713 App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Sam File No. 49 of 1921

Serial No.

DEWAN'S OFFICE
Received on
27. APR 1928
Reg. No 6820

(152) Draft notification containing the modifications suggested by the Standing Committee is submitted herewith. Action will be taken separately about the amendment to the Rules. Draft 1001 17/4/28 extending the Bengal Rangoon Steam Boilers Rules to Upper Burma also submitted herewith. As regards the status of the Chief Secretary and Revenue Member under the Rules, a note for submission to Govt will be submitted in due course.

(Secy)

VB 20/4

(153) These rules relate to the prevention of food adulteration. They have been prepared in accordance with professional and legal opinion and modified according to the decision of the Standing Com. of the RA H.C. on P Health &c. They may now be approved & introduced into Bangalore Mysore.

Joint Memo
Dewan Govt
Chief Secy.

Rg 26/4/28

Rg 26/4/28

8805 27/4

(It has taken some time to prepare this memo)

VB 27/4.

(154) MM 25/4

Mo 27-4-28

VB 25/4

Rg 26/4

Rg 28/4/28

VB 27/4

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

WD 0324 -GPB—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. Sap File No. 49 of 1921

Serial No.		
33	To	The Compiler, Mysore Gazette No G 12341 dt 2.5.1928 Publishing in the Gazette the Draft rules under the Mysore Prevention & Adulteration Regulation for the cities of Bldre and Mysore
	To	The Sanitary Commr The President city Municl cl Mysore The Munel Commr, Bldre city Munel cl No G 12342-4 dt 2.5.1928 Forwards a copy of the above
34	To	The Combiler Mysore Gazette No G 12345 dt 2.5.1928 Extends the provision of the above Regulation to the Bldre and Mysore city Municipalities in respect of certain articles of food.
	To	The President Munel Council, Mysore The Munel Commr Bldre city Munel cl The Sanitary Commr No G 12346-8 dt 2.5.1928 Forwards a copy of the above

P.T.O.

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0324—GPB—60,000—24-5-27

8713

App. F. III—2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. Sans File No. 47 of 192)

Serial No. 35

To The Sans Commr
The Dep. of Hs
The President etc Municl Cl Mysore
The Munel Commr Bglre etc Municl Cl
The President Mr G.T Sanitary Board

No G.12349-61 dt 2.5.1928

Directs that the Officers who have to detect Offences coming under the Regulations should be and above the grade of Sanitary Inspectors

Prev Sans
1 Sm KB
9/5/28

Subs No Criticisms have been received on the draft rules published. A draft notification finally issuing the rules is subs for appl & other orders

KB
Sm
23/6/28

VS
23/6

(Secy)

For perusal Mr Secy.

Please furnish Officials

RG
23/6/28

Mad. Member

RG
28/6/28
Secy
28/6

OFFICE OF THE SECOND MEMBER OF COUNCIL
30 JUNE 1928
No. 1259

4/7/1928

Maybe draft issue?

Sm
4/7/28

NOTE—Contn. sheet..

VD 0894—GPR—60,000—24-5-27

General and Revenue Secretariat. Sans

49

File No. 57 of 192 1

Serial No.	
36	To The Comptr & Mysore Gazette No 4401 dt 10-7-1928 Sanctions to 28 translations for the working of the Mysore Prevention & Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921
	To The Sans Commr The President Civil Munsif Mysore & The Munsif Commr Bangalore & No 4402-4 dt 10.7.1928 Forwards a copy of the above
	Copies received - Draft destn Cerling the Copies is noted Jony 15/8/28
37	To The Sans Commr 6/8 The Munsif Commr, Bloreetc The President & Munsif Mysore The President IX & I Sans Bd No 4226 Forwards copies of rules under the Mysore Prevention & Adulteration IX of 1921 issued with RC 36

Prevention B
Jony 11/7/28

B
25/8/28

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 01714—GPB—30,000—18-4-28

1) The rules proposed follow those issued for the Kolar Gold Field Sanitary Board area and -- purport to issue under ~~Section 20 of~~ the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation, 1921.

2) Section 20 of the Regulation authorises the Government to make rules only in respect of matters specified therein. The proposed rules go much beyond the scope of Secn.20 of the Regulation as detailed in the following paragraphs:-

3) Rule 1 is intended to appoint the public analyst. Section 4 of the Regulation authorise the local authority to appoint a public analyst with the approval of the Govt. No rule for -- this purpose is authorised under Secn. 20. The matter is one that has to be provided for by means of a notification or order which may be published in the Gazette. The rule also prescribes the fees to be paid for analysis of food stuffs and drugs. There is no provision in Section 13 of the Regulation for the analysis of drugs. Under Secn. 2 "food" is defined so as to exclude drugs. Secn. 20 does not authorise the Govt. to prescribe the fees to be paid for analysis. Secn. 13 authorises the authority appointing the analyst to prescribe the fees to be paid. In the case of the Mysore City Municipal Council the Health Officer is to be appointed as the public analyst for the city. The Municipal Council may prescribe the fees by means of a resolution. So far as the Bangalore city is concerned it is the Govt. that will appoint the analyst and a rule may be prescribed under Secn. 13 for determining the fee payable for the analyst.

4 Rule 2 appoints the Health Officer as the local executive officer. This is repugnant to the definition of local executive officer as defined under Secn. 2 of the Regulation. The President of the Municipal Council is the local executive officer in the case of the two City Municipalities. The Municipal Commissioner has no function under this Regulation. Secn. 20(a) only requires that the class or qualifications of persons to whom and the conditions on which the local executive officer may delegate his powers should be prescribed. The rule is to be recast so as to -- conform to the Regulation. The rule may simply say that all the powers of the executive officer (except the power to prosecute) may subject to the control of the President be delegated to the Chief officer provided that for instituting legal proceedings under the Regulation the previous permission in writing of the President shall be obtained and that the powers under Secns.7 and 9 may be -- delegated to the Food Inspector or the Sanitary -- Inspectors employed by the Municipal Council.

5 Rule 3. This rule does not appear to prescribe for matters authorised under Secn.20 (c) and is rather indefinite. The rule empowers the Health Officer to prescribe the general instructions regarding the precautions to be taken for eachcase, and that such instructions should be deemed to form part of the rules. A rule to this effect is not authorised by Secn. 20 of the Regulation. The rule must definitely provide for matters prescribed in Secn. 20 (c).

6 Rule 4. This rule presumably applies to arti-

articles other than those ~~referred to in rule~~ for which lables ~~may~~ can not be ~~mad~~ attached. This may be made clearer. Whether labels in English are absolutely necessary may also be considered.

7) Rule 5. This rule prescribes that the dealer etc. should declare whether it is Buffaloe or cow milk. Secn. 20 does not authorise the prescribing of such a rule as the milk in this case does not come within the definition of "addition admixture or deficiency" unless it is adulterated. The cow & milk and the buffaloe milk ~~is~~ are mixed not infrequently and it may perhaps be better to include such cases ~~also~~ under the rules.

8) Rules 6 and 7. It appears desirable to combine these rules and say what the standards are for each of the Articles for the purposes of clause (e) of Secn. 20 of the Regulation. It is noted that in clause (7) standards for butter and other articles are prescribed without any references to the purposes for which they are fixed. As regards cheese, the use of the words "the following may be taken as an average composition for cheese" is not definite and the wording may be suitably revised. As regards -- butter milk or curds the statement is blank so far as the Municipal city is concerned. As regards Bangalore City the portion of the rule -- relating to butter-milk or curds does not prescribe thestandards and a rule saying that the presences of simple admixture of flour or gangee will be sufficient to presume that the article is not genuine for the purpose of Secn. 20. (e) does not appear to be authorised. There is no provision for prescribing a standard in the case of butter-milk and curds [vide Secn 20(e)] and the case appears to fall under Secn. 20 (d). This portion of the rule may perhaps be revised and transposed to rule 5 so as to require the notification of the admixture.

9. Rule 8. The use of the words "abstracts any component part therefrom of any article of food" in clause (b) of the rules is not correct and the clause does not refer to "additions" also. The clause may be revised as follows:-

"neglects to affix labels as prescribed in rule 4."

Standards for purity of food are fixed only in rules 6 and 7 and the reference to rules 8 and 9 in clause (d) of this rule (Rule No.8) to be adopted for Bangalore City has perhaps been made by oversight. Moreover, Clause (d) of this rule itself is ultra vires and may be omitted as the matter has been provided for in Section 5 of the Regulation. No punishment is proposed for first offences in the rule as proposed to be adopted for Bangalore. The defect requires to be supplied. In the rules as proposed to be adopted for both the cities a daily fine is prescribed. This is not authorised by the Regulation which empowers a fine not exceeding Rs.500 for every subsequent offence. The rule may be revised in the light of the remarks made above

P.T.O

~~8. Rule 8. This rule is to be revised in conformity so as to accord with the revision of the rules Nos. 1-7.~~

10) In addition to the above rules 16 rules are proposed to be issued for the -- Regulation of dairies and dairy-men and for -- securing the cleansiness of milk and milk product The first thing that is noticeable in these rules is that no penalty has been prescribed for the breach of these rules and in the absence of -- such a provision the enforcement of the -- rules will not be practicable. Again, Secn.20 of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regula tion does not authorise Govt. to frame any rul for this purpose except perhaps sub-rule (e) c rule 10 which relates to the bringing for sal of bye-products of milk in clean alluminum v With this exception the rules merely prescribe the conditions to be observed regarding the sa tation of dairies and other precautionary measu to be observed for keeping milk etc. in a good cond tion. These rules appear to be ultra vires of the Regulation.

11) In this connection it (was also been consi dered whether these rules may be issued by the Municipal Councils as bye-laws framed under Sec 21 of the Regulation. A careful perusal of Sec. 21 of the Regulation shows that the bye-laws shall be framed mainly for the Regulation of preparati and other stages in the sale, of articles of foo which are adulterated or are other than what they - purport or represent to be and the inspection of places in which such adulterated or other artic are kept, stored etc. In these circumstances the rules for the Regulation of dairies etc. w the exception of sub-rule (e) of rule 10 cann be issued as rules under the Regulation.

be issued either as by-laws or

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT,

D.O. No. L. 8466

Dated, Bangalore, 23rd May 1927.

My dear Kuppuswami Iyengar,

Referring to your D.O. letter No. G.1607 dated the 21st instant, addressed to Mr. Madhava Rao, regarding the rules for the working of the Prevention of Adulteration Regulation, I am desired to say that the rules are under consideration in the General and Law Secretariats and may take some time to issue. The General Secretariat has been reminded about the matter.

Yours sincerely,

Sd). M. Venkatesa Iyengar

To

C.S. Kuppuswami Iyengar, Esq.,
President, City Municipal Office
Mysore City.

Copy to G.M. Branch.

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to 6420

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* 191 .

Section San

File No. 49-21

Serial No 2

No. L 6935

Date of issue 27.5.22

To

The Sanitary Commissioner

Signed by Mr. D. C. S.

Sir

With ref to your letter No
C. 771-2 & 18 G. R proposa
the designation of an Chemi
Examiner & Asst Chemical
Examiner as Public Anal
for the purpose of the Me
Prevention of Adulteration Ac
IX of 921, I am directe
to state that under S
of the said Regulation

over.

Yours

authorities have to appoint public analysts & in default, Govt may appoint them. I am also to add that the appointments and duties have to be made only after extending the Regs to local areas after consulting the local authorities concerned.

2. In these circumstances I am to request you to let Govt as to forward Govt with a list of local areas to which the Regs may be extended only with the opinion of the local authorities concerned

List of enclosures.

I have etc

Copied by

Examined by

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH IN MYSORE.

No. C 771-38
Enc. 1 file

41655

Office of the Sanitary Commissioner
with the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore, dated the 22nd May 1923.

5th June

Sany 49-21-8 L 6257-m

From

B. MAHOMED USMON, ESQ., L.M.& S.,
Offg. Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, B A N G A L O R E.

6- JUN 1923

T. E. JEYARAM IYER, ESQ., B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Revenue Department, B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L.6935/San. 49-21-2 dated the 27th May 1922, I have the honor to submit herewith the resolutions of the several Municipal Councils in the matter of the extension of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 into the local areas together with a summary thereof. The Deputy Commissioner, Kolar has not yet furnished the information pertaining to the Municipalities in his district. Reminders are being issued and on receipt of a reply, a further report will be submitted to Government.

2. From the enclosures herewith submitted, it will be seen that the marginally noted 26 Municipalities are in favor of extending the Regulation into the local areas in their charg But judging from the general tenor of all the resolutions, it does not appear as if the purpose and scope of Regulation have been fully understood. Various shades of opinions are expressed, ranging from a total denial of the knowledge of Food Adulteration ever occurring in the area to the recognition of the need for stocking a lactometer in the Taluk Office with a view to arrange

Municipalities that have resolved to introduce the regulation.

1. Closepet.	9. Chamarajnagar.	18. Sagar.
2. Chennapatna.	10. Gundlupet.	19. Chickmagalur.
3. Dodballapur.	11. Ramasamudfa.	20. Tarikere.
4. Devanhalli.	12. Mugur.	21. Birur.
5. Anekal.	13. French-Rocks.	22. Chitaldrug.
6. Kunigal.	14. Hole-Narsipur.	23. Hiriyur.
7. Tiptur.	15. Arsikere.	24. Molakalmuru.
8. Nanjangud.	16. Shikarpur.	25. Hosadurga.
	17. Shimoga.	26. Holalkere.

WD 02785—GPB— 79,00—25-2-17—S.

191

From

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

arrange for occasional testings of milk! Although therefore as many as 26 Municipalities are in favor of the extension of the regulation into their areas, I am inclined to agree with the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, and would suggest that for the present, the regulation may be introduced into one or two selected areas in each district as an experimental measure. (A copy of the Deputy Commissioner's ~~lett~~ letter No. O.C.3130 dated the 18/19th September 1922 is enclosed). Accumulated practical experience of the working of the regulation in ~~th~~ bigger and better organised Municipalities would be a reliable and safe guide to gradually extend it to other local areas later on.

3. On the question of the appointments of public analysts, the Municipalities of Chamarajnagar and Ramasamudra seem inclined to employ a separate officer for each local area - which of course is not practicable. Most of the other Municipalities are in favor of appointing the Chemical Examiner and his Assistant as Public Analysts. Only one Municipality, Viz., Chennapatna offers a contribution of a sum of Rs.15/- per mensem towards the cost of the appointment.

4. Seeing that for some time to come the volume of analytical work likely to be conducted in the Public Health Institute connected with the working of the regulation will necessarily be very small, no additions to the existing staff will perhaps be called for. Under the circumstances, the charges payable by Municipalities may be regulated by a scale of fees fixed for each class of articles examined and reported upon.

5. Lastly, the question of the rules to be framed under section 20 of the Regulation is engaging my attention and I hope shortly to be able to submit draft rules for the consideration and orders of Government.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Offg. Sanitary Commissioner.

Letter No. O.C.3130 dated the 18/19th September 1922, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

In continuation of this office No.O.C. 3130 of 1921-22 dated the 1st Instant, I have the honor to forward herewith the resolution of the Closepet Municipal Council agreeing to the introduction of the Regulation of Prevention of Adulteration (IX of 1921) to their local area. The resolutions of Hoskote and Magadi Municipal Councils will be forwarded on receipt.

I am of opinion that, for the present, the Regulation may be introduced as an experimental measure to the area of Channapatna Town Municipal Council, the Chemical Examiner and the Assistant Chemical Examiner being appointed as Public Analysts for the said area. Gradually it may be introduced into other areas also after gaining experience.

" C O P Y "

Offg. ~~Sanitary~~ Commissioner.

Summary of the resolutions of the Municipal Councils on the subject of extending the Mysore Prevention of Food Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 into the several local areas.

No.	Name of town.	Resolution of the Municipal Council.	Remarks.
		BANGALORE DISTRICT.	
1.	Closepet	(26th July 1922) The Municipal Council are in favor of the regulation being introduced into the area and of the appointment of the Chemical Examiner and his Assistant as Public Analyst.	
2.	Chennapatna	(29th July 1922) The Municipal Council are in favor of the regulation being introduced into the area and are prepared to make a contribution of not exceeding Rs.15/- per mensem towards the pay of the Public Analyst.	
3.	Doddaballapur	(8th Jult 1922) The Municipal Council are in favor of the regulation being introduced into the area and of the appointment of Chemical Examiner and his Assistant as Public Analyst.	
4.	Devanahalli	(29th June 1922) - do - do - do -	
5.	Anekal	(30th June 1922) - do - do - do -	
6.	Kankanhalli	(12th August 1922) The Municipal Council are not in favor of the Sanitary Commissioners proposal. They cannot command funds for the appointment of either a Chemical Examiner or Assistant Chemical Examiner as Public Analyst for the local area. Moreover there is no necessity for such an officer.	
		TUMKUR DISTRICT.	
1.	**Tumkur**	(23rd September 1922) The Municipal Council is not in	

2.	Gubbi	(23rd September 1922) - do - do - do -
3.	Kunigal	(29th July 1922) The Municipal Council are in favor of introducing the regulation.
4.	Chicknaikanhalli	(11th August 1922) The Municipal Council are of opinion that there is no necessity for introducing the regulation into the town.
5.	Tiptur	(13th August 1922) The Municipal Council resolved that the services of the Chemical Examiner may be utilised and the expenses borne by the Municipality whenever necessary.
6.	Sira	28th August 1922) The Municipal Council is not in favor of introducing the Regulation at present. The result of its working in bigger Municipalities may be watched.

MYSORE DISTRICT.

1.	Seringapatam	(28th August 1922) Resolved that with the present means of this Council, the Council regrets to note its inability to maintain one Public Analyst for the present.
2.	Nanjangud	(9th September 1922) Recorded that the resolution of the Managing Committee be sent up. (Managing Committees recommendation:- The regulation may be introduced into the Municipal area; but the Council is unable to defray the cost of a Public Analyst either appointed exclusively for the Municipality or by Government.)
3.	Chamarajnagar	(15th September 1922) Resolved that the regulation may be introduced into the local area and that the Municipality may appoint a separate Public Analyst.
4.	Talakad	(13th September 1922) Resolved that, in view of the expenditure exceeds the income of the Municipality, there is no means at present of employing a Public Analyst. As an expiremental measure, however, a Lactometer may be indented for and kept in the Municipal Office.
5.	Gundalpet	23rd October 1922) Resolved that the regulation may be introduced into the local area. As regards the appointment of a Public Analyst and the question may be deferred and considered later on after ascertaining the pay of the appointment and other establishment charges.

6. Periyapatna — (29th November 1922) Resolved that there is no need to introduce the regulation into the local area as no adulteration of food has been observed here.

7. T. Narsipur — (23rd September 1922) Resolved that it is not possible at present to appoint a separate Public Analyst. An instrument however for examining milk may be indented for and arrangement made to get milk examined throggh the Sanitary Inspector.

8. Saligrama — (18th September 1922) Resolved that the regulation might first be introduced into Major and City Municipalities. The question of introducing the same to Minor Municipalities may be taken after gaining experience in the working of the regulation in the bigger Municipalities.

9. Ramasamudra — (17th September 1922) Resolved that the regulation may be introduced into the local area and that the Municipality may appoint a separate Public Analyst.

10. Moogoor — (13th September 1922) Resolved that the regulation may be introduced into the local area and that Government may themselves appoint a Public Analyst as the Municipal Council cannot meet the costs.

11. French-Rocks. — (30th August 1922) Resolved that the regulation might be introduced in this Municipal area.

12. Yedatore — (26th August 1922) This is a small Municipality. The regulation might first be extended to Major and City Municipalities and the question of extending the same to the Minor Municipal Councils might be taken up after ~~gaining~~ gaining experience in the working of the regulation in the said Municipalities.

HASSAN DISTRICT.

Hassan.

1. (30th August 1922) The Municipal Council are of opinion that there is no need at present to extend the regulation to the Municipality as there is not much trade going on and as the provision contained in section 142, sub section 2 of Mysore Municipality Regulation which has been made applicable to the

30th January 1922 is sufficient for all practical purposes

2. Hole-Narsipur — (6th August 1922) The Municipal Council is of opinion that th regulation may be extended to the area.

3 Arsikere — 31st May 1922) - do - do - do -

4. Arakalgud — 9th August 1922) The Municipal Council is of opinion that there is no need at ~~apre~~ present to extend the regulation to the Municipal area.

SHIMOGA DISTRICT.

1. Shikarpur — (31st August 1922) The Municipal Council are in favor of the regulation being introduced into the local area.

2. Shimoga — (29th July 1922) The Council are of opinion that the regulation may be extended to the Municipality to use the regulation with respect of any article of food or drink when deleterious adulteration has come into vogue.

3. Sagar — (8th August 1922) The Municipal Council are agreeable to adopt the suggestions of the Sanitary Commissioner in the matter of introducing the regulation in the local area.

KADUR DISTRICT.

1. Chickmagalur — 29th July 1922) The Municipal Council are in favor of the regulation being introduced into the area and of the Chemical Examiner and his Assistant being appointed as Public Analyst. The Municipal Council are unable to bear the incidental charges if any.

2. Tarikere — (31st August 1922) The Municipal Council is of opinion that the regulation may be introduced into the Municipality and the Chemical Examiner and the Assistant Chemical Examiner may be appointed as Public Analysts.

3. Birur — (27th July 1922) - do - do - do

CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.

1. (17th January 1923)

1.	Davangere	(17th January 1923) The Council resolved that the introduction of this regulation to this Municipality is not necessary for the present.
2.	Chitaldrug	(7th September 1922) Resolved that the regulation No. IX of 1921 may be introduced into this Municipality.
3.	Hiriyur	(5th July 1922) Resolved that notwithstanding the population of the town is below 4,000 the regulation may as a special case be introduced into the local area.
4.	Molakalmuru	(30th June 1922) Resolved that the regulation may be introduced into the local area and that the Chemical and Assistant Chemical Examiners may be appointed as Public Analysts.
5.	Hosadurga	(25th July 1922) - do - do - do -
6.	Holalkere	(29th June 1922) Resolved that although the population of the town is less than 4,000 the regulation may be introduced into the local area as a special case. As regards the question of appointment of Public Analyst the subject may be deferred and considered later on after ascertaining the cost of establishment &c.
7.	Harihar	(31st July 1922) Resolved that there is no necessity to introduce the regulation into the local area at present.
8.	Malebennur	(23rd September 1922) Read and recorded:- The population being less than 4,000 there is no need for the introduction of the regulation into the local area.
9.	Jagalur	(6th July 1922) The Municipality did not consider the question as the population of the town is less than 4,000.
10.	Challakere	(30th July 1922) - do - do - do -
11.	Mayakonda	(13th August 1922) - do - do - do -
12.	Nayakanhatti	(31st August 1922) - do - do - do -

Office of the Sanitary Commissioner
with the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore, dated the 5th June 1923.

OFFG. SANITARY COMMISSIONER.

VVV 61

Copy of the resolution of the Municipal Council, Davangere passed at their meeting held on 17-1-23.

Present:-

1. Mr Chennaraja Urs. Esqr. B.A., L.L.B. President.
2. The Amildar, Davangere Taluk.
3. Mr. M. Channaveeraih
4. " Moti Doddappa.
5. " M. Merigappa
6. " D. Basavannappa
7. " P. Gureseddappa.
8. " R. Hassan Meiga Sab.
9. " M. Channabasappa.
10. " J.M. Shadakshavappa.
11. " Mohamed Gous Meiga Sab.
12. " Channagiri Narayana Chetty
13. " Harihar Mahadevappa

Absent:-

1. Mr. J.M. Gurbarappaih Vice-President
2. The Medical officer.
3. Mr. N. Malvappappa.
4. " Jade. Subba Rau.
5. " Ambakar. Virupanna

Subject-No.

I. Letter. No. C.355-sub.21-22. from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug. re. introducing the prevention of Adulteration Regulation to this Municipality

Resolution. The introduction of this Regulation to this Municipality is not necessary for the present.

× ×

"Copy"

Sd/ M. Chennaraja Urs
President
19-1-23.

Davangere
16-2-1923

President Town Municipality

V(1) ಆಹಾರ ಕಲಬೆರಕೆ ತಡೆ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ 1954ರ ಆಹಾರ ಕಲಬೆರಕೆ ತಡೆ ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಗಳ
Prevention of Adultration Regulation IX ನೇ ನಿಯಮದ ಅನ್ವಯ ಆಹಾರ ಮಾದರಿಗಳನ್ನು
ಪರೀಕ್ಷಣಾಲಯಕ್ಕೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.
22-8-22 ರ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ C 2053/101 ರನ್ವಯ
ಪರಿಶೀಲಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

(2) Analysis ನ appoint ಮಾಡಿರುವ (2) ಪರೀಕ್ಷಣಾಲಯದ ಸಂಬಂಧಿತ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಯವರ ಹೆಸರು
ಪರೀಕ್ಷಣಾಲಯದ ವಿಳಾಸ
ಮತ್ತು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಮಾದರಿಯನ್ನು ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುವ
ರೀತಿಯ ಕುರಿತು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಬೇಕಾಗಿದೆ

VI. ಸದರಿ ಪ್ರಕರಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಆರೋಪಿಯ ವಿರುದ್ಧ ಸಾಕ್ಷ್ಯ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಲು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕರೆಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರಿಗೆ ಸಮನ್ಸ್ ಜಾರಿ ಮಾಡಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿದಾರರು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಲು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕರೆಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಲು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಗಳನ್ನು ಕರೆಸ

66
35

64

VIII

100
254

IX

91
241

X

ಶಂದಶ-ಉಂಂಡಿದ್ದಲ್ಲಉಂಂವಂ ಶ್ಯಾಗ್ಗಿ
ಶ್ಯಾಲ ಶಂಲಂಡದ್ದಲ್ಲಿಯೊಶ್ಯಾಲಂಲಂಲಂ
ಲಂಲಂವನ್ಯಶಂಲಂಡಿಲಂಲಂಬ್ಯಾಶಂಲಂ
ಶಬಿಶಿ ಶಿಂದ ಲಂಡಿಶಿಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂವಂಲಂಲಂ
ಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂ

XI. ಲಂಲಂಡಿನಶಲಂಲಂಡಿಶಲಂಲಂವಿಶಿಲಿಲಿಲಂಲಂ

1 ಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಿಶಿಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂ (1) ಶಿ/4 ಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂ ಲಂಲಂಲಂ
ಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂಲಂ

నం.4

23.9.22

23. వరగాడపు రచ్చ మునిసబు లేఖిరంచెంగ్ క్రోం సిక్కింస్.

శ్రీ సతీంచారవరు. గారు. కా. రాయణాలు అయ్యరి రవరు. (లమంచాంద్

డాంజెతి సింబరుగళు

1. మ. రం. శాబ్దమ్మయుంశార్ రవరు. V.P.
2. " రాజాయణ్ణరాయరవరు. కా. శ.
3. " గ్. కిరుమలరాయరవరు. కా. ఆ. స.
4. " వరదీయరవరు.
5. " శ్రీకంతశాస్త్రిగారు.
6. " శం. వేంకటరవణాశెట్టిరు
7. " గ. పుచ్చెల్లాంరవరు.
8. " మల్లాంరవరు.
9. " అంకా. చారి
10. " రాజు రాయరు.
11. " వింతరీకంచెట్టిరవరు.

స్పీర్ డాచరిసింబర్స్.

1. వి. ప. ఉ. సుబ్బిరరీసిలరవ
2. వు. ఆ. వ రాజాయణ్ణరాయం
3. ఎం. సుబ్బాయశెట్టిరు

1922 లి ఆక్స్ట్రాం డి యు చెలూంబర్స్

చెలూం.		ఖర్చు
(1) ఆవ్రైయిరు చెల్లువళి	233-2-0	(1) వచ్చాచ్చి డి మెంట్ గా. 15 8 8-0
ఆవళిం వుచ్చ 1434 క్కి	224-2-0	(2) House rent. గా. 5-0-
ఫ క్కి వుద్రా 5 7 క్కి	7-2-0	(3) Lighting గా. 22-6-
తెంబూకు. మణ్ణ 3 3/4 క్కి	1-14-0	ఖు చ్చా: గా. 185-14-
ఖు చ్చా: గా.	233-2-0	
		(4) Pensionary Contribution గా. 3-14-
(2) పొంరరర్ధార్ధులు చెల్లువళి	4-0-	(5) Contingeuticha arges గా. 3-2-
(3) దొంరిరులు చెల్లువళి	3-8-0	
Total - గా.	236-10-0	Total Expenditure 192-15-

ఆవ్రాయిరంచెట్టిరింటికెక్స్ట్రీ పోమెంటు.

1921 లి ఆక్స్ట్రీ.	గా. 464-5-2	ఆదాయ
1920 లి ఆక్స్ట్రీ	గా. 301-8-3	క.o + డి = చూచ్చా
1919 లి ఆక్స్ట్రీ	గా. 206-8-6	

మరణం.
క.o + డి = చూ

లీజ్-శరిక్స్ట్రీ చేసిమెంట్.

(1) ఖిచాంబర్స్ మళ్ళీ ఐందిరగాంచి
మాంబంకి క్రోం సతీంస్ట్రీ చేయాలి
తలాం చుకోగా

రణం బయటపోలీసుల్యాగ్రుడు 3. కంపెనీమీద
శరీరం గీచుకాంతువడక్కాని ఖమ్మంలోనూ
వ 35 రూపాయిలభన్న Public Health
and Communicable Diseases చట్టంలో
C. క్లాస్ రోగాలన్నమీద D. క్లాస్ రోగాల్లో
పేరిటనిరక్షణలోంచలసిందిగా ఖాతరీ
వారిచ్చినట్లుదాని తీర్మానించబడింది.

వచ్చిన్లోచేలా ఖిన శిపేక్షిరేప్రదో
కేకళల్లోడబకేముంచాదద్దానిపేక్ష
ర్శదంచ్చ రేపుసలువంతల్పప్పు
రేజల్లూపట్టిప్రకారతయూ రాంద
భోంర్తుళిసే కోరచేదకాదవంబ
ఎసు రీంక్షిగవంతచేదకాదఖూర.

3. రతోంబలనుసదస్సుశ్రీమన్నిసిశల్లో
ఇంపోస్సిటందరోం మ్పెరంతర రతోం
బలన్న Public Health Communicables చట్టంలో C. D. క్లాస్ క
ళల్లో ప్రోంపేరేతనిరరక్ష తోంబలనిం
ద భర్తివారిదోళ్ళబడుదానితీర్మా
నించబడింది.

(3). పంభ్ నాంకాంధర్ణేసిశోంల్ఫర
మ్లుశిసాలబయిందా 15 రూంశా
యి కొంర్తబడుదీంచేతిర్మానించ
బడినది. చారదల్లియూవడి రాసింద
కొంర్తబడుదీంబచారూర

4) రతి భారచ ఛర్జనిలాయిరు.

కళదమూల్యతనన్నిచల్లినవమూంల
మ్తరాంగరోదిరువడిల్లి. ఇదు వృద్ధి
కరవాశిల్లా. కేటీల. మ్లుబుభోల
కరు రతిచూ రదల్లామ్తరళ్ళోవకిన్ని
బలభోకారక్షణకాయదింద వృద్ధకర
వాశివమూంలశ్రేమ రతోంబభోకాంశియూ
రణాంశాంతువు 15 రదివసంకళుభోభశా శి
కుళవారుమూ రెంబబ్బెరయూంరోగా రి
కోంరలు మ్లుబుభోల్లరిశేతర్తర్కకోం
మ్పు రయూంరోంతిన్ని కోంబలన్సీకో
మ్పు క్రమబరిచిబ్లో భోకాంశియూ తెల్
ర్మానించబడింది. వమూంలప్రోం
క్రీన్లు ఉమ్లుంకోళతోయుక్తోం బుదదన్న
ప్రతిబంధళల్లియూ ఇబ్బందుమోంబరు
నళుగరిఖి కోంరభోకోంరలూ ప్రతిరి
బంధిలాంశాలుతు వివుంవరమ్లోయబ
వరు వుతిన్నివు. రంకుబరయణాంశిమ్పుదు
బండు రంకుబరతి రతిఖిరోకేయుక్తో
ళ్ళభోకాంశియూ తీర్మానించబడింది.

(4). కొంరతభాగ్యంరంకివమూంలో
బూ-రం.

5) రతి భారచ ఛర్జనిలాయిరు. ఇదు
మోగరేమ్తుబసాంతబియూంచిదే. అన్నదే
వరమూళ్ళంబడాంబరుళ్ళరతమియూంచిదోరల్లుబసరన్నోల్ల్ల్

ను రక్షణ విభాగదళ్ళు రిజిస్ట్రేషన్లు
ఇందు కాదరంద గం.ఇ 2053 / 22-8-22 కి
మేమో ఇవ్వబడ్డాయి తెలు.

రాజకీయ ప్రయోజనాలకి Analyst లేదా
వుద్యోగాలు కొన్ని విభాగాలు యూనివర్సిటీ
డాంలు లేదా గుంటూరు మార్కెట్ యార్డులు
లు గ్రామాంత్ర మున్సిపాలిటీ లకి రక్షణ
రుస్థాయి చిరు ఇన్స్పెక్టర్ మూలధ్-అభివృ
యం గుంటూరు నగరపాలకసంస్థ
మున్సిపాలిటీ వారు మాకు అప్పజెప్పినటువం
దాన వేలకి Analyst లేకుండా కొంత ఖర్చు
ఎంగార యోజన సాగదు దానివల్ల తెం-కి
నవలసి వుంటుంది.

(6) ఆదాయపన్ను వసూలు దళ్ళు 1921-22
సంవత్సరానికి Rs. 10-8-5 కమిషన్ చాల్లున్నవి
కుప్రీవు రిఆఫీసరవారు మీసంతకి క్రొన్నవి
భ-ళ్ళకొరలు రిజిస్ట్రేషన్లు ఇందసాదరాంద ఫీజు 23L.B / 22-23 కి చెంబడి
వేంబడి లాలు తెలు

(6) ఇవి ఇవ్వబడ్డాయి తెలు.

పాలుపడవచ్చు వారి వ్యక్తిగతి
కి అనుకూలంగా నిర్ణయించి కొరవిభాగ.

(7) భ-ఖండనలు లేవు. వున్నట్లయితే
తగిన అనుకూలంగా నిర్ణయించి కొరవిచారణకు
దీయోజన సవరణ దాఖలు చేయ
లు తెలు.

vacant Sites కు వచ్చినటువంటి
వారువదన్న రకములు సరిగ్గా విభాగ

(8) రద్దయిన భ-ఖండనలు తెలు. రద్దు
చేయుటకు Extensions చేస్తూ ఆదేశం
Vacant Sites లు రుసుము కొరకై ఇదరచ్చి
వేయడానికి కొంతలు రద్దుచేయుటకు ఇవ్వ
కుండా లేదా-కుయూరు ఎంబడి వయసు
తప్పులు రాయడానికి వీలుపడనిది కొంత మొత్త
కు రిజిస్ట్రేషన్ చార్జీ వుండి చర్చ మరొక
చోటికి రిజిస్ట్రేషన్ చేయడానికి లేదు.

) వుండిరివిధంగా.

(9) ఇక్కడ తారీఖులు శిక్షణ రద్దు చేయడానికి
కారణాలు తెలియజేయడమైనది

(Sd) K. Narayanaiyer.

President.
23.9.22.

Copy

4-10-22. President

Salegrama Municipality

Proceedings of the Third Ordinary meeting of the Salegrama Municipal council held on Monday the 19th September 1922.

Present
Mr. D. Perumaranna Aycigar B.A.
Auditor and President
(present)

Bovilgoda.
Vice president
(present)

Councillors

Present
1 Mr. Throff Padmarajiel
2 " Local Medical Officer
3 " Sammuthammalgoda

Absent
1 D. P. Wijesekera
2 Syedhussain Saheb
3 Dinamalgoda
4 Chairmofficial
5 Patel Salegoda
6 Vacant
7 do

× × × × ×

4 Read memo No: C. 2053 dated 22.8.22 from the Deputy Commissioner Nuwara Eliya calling for the opinion of the Municipal Council regarding the extending of the Nuwara Eliya Prevention of Adulteration Regulation No IX of 1915 to municipal areas concerned.

Resolution:— The Regulation might be

first be introduced into major and city-Municipalities the question of introducing the same to minor Municipalities might be taken up after gaining experience in the working of the Regulation in the said Municipalities.

× × × × × ×

Sd/ A. Dimuramaryin
President
18.9.52

"Extract"

Dimuramaryin
Vice President,
Saligrama Municipality

ಸರ್ಕಾರದ 1922ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳ 2ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನ 17ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಆದೇಶದ
ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಸಭೆಯ ಸದಸ್ಯರಿಗೆ ಈ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಹಾಜರಿರಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ:—

ಸದಸ್ಯರು —

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಬಿ.ಕೆ. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ಯರವರು

ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದವರು —

1. ಶ್ರೀ. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ಯರವರು
2. " ಸಾಂಬಶಿವಂ ಪಾಳಂ ಅವರು
3. " ಡಿ. ಪಿ. ಡಬ್ಲ್ಯು. ಅಯ್ಯರ್ ಅವರು

2. " ವಿಠಲರಾವ್ ಅವರು
3. " ಗೋವಿಂದರಾವ್ ಅವರು
4. " ಸುಬ್ಬರಾವ್ ಅವರು
5. " ಕೃಷ್ಣಸ್ವಾಮಿ ಅಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ ಅವರು
6. " ಅಬ್ದುಲ್ ಖಾದರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬ್ —

x x x x x

III ಆಹಾರ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪಮಿಶ್ರಣ ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟುವ
1921ನೇ ಇಸವಿಯ 9ನೇ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯ ಅನ್ವಯ
ತಿರುವಳ್ಳಿಕೇಣಿ ಸ್ಪೆಷಲ್ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರವರು ಮತ್ತು
ಮೆಡಿಕಲ್ ಆಫೀಸರವರುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು
ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಇನ್ಸ್‌ಪೆಕ್ಟರವರುಗಳು ಮತ್ತು
ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಸಬ್ ಇನ್ಸ್‌ಪೆಕ್ಟರವರುಗಳ
ದಿನಾಂಕ 100ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನ ಪತ್ರ ದಿನಾಂಕ 22-8-22
ಮತ್ತು C.2053 ನೇ ನಂಬರಿನ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ
ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ್ದು —

Prevention of Adulteration
Regulation ~~IX~~ IX of 1921
ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಆಹಾರ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಪಮಿಶ್ರಣ
ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಸ್ಪೆಷಲ್ ಮ್ಯಾಜಿಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟ್
ಮತ್ತು ಮೆಡಿಕಲ್ ಆಫೀಸರ್ Analyst
ಮತ್ತು ಪೋಲೀಸ್ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ
ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟ ಅಧಿಕಾರಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
ದಿನಾಂಕ ಪತ್ರಗಳಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದ್ದು

x x

(Sd). B. K. Srinivasalu
Muddenahalli
President

ಸಹಿ ಬಿ.ಕೆ. ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್
ಮುದ್ದೇನಹಳ್ಳಿ
ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು

Extract

13/9/22
President

31-8-22

×

×

×

II

[illegible] 1922 [illegible]
[illegible] 30 [illegible] 4 [illegible]
[illegible]

[illegible]

1 [illegible] A A [illegible]
2 [illegible]
3 [illegible] S. A. S.
4 [illegible]
5 [illegible]
6 [illegible]
7 [illegible]

1 President
2 Deputy Dwellers
3. [illegible]
4 [illegible]
5 [illegible]

X X X X

VIII Read the Memorandum 2053 dated 22-8-22 of the Deputy Commissioner, Resolved that the prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 might be introduced in this Municipal area

S.d/ A A Kaleran
30-8-22 Vice President

[illegible]
President
French Rocks Municipality
8.9.22

MUNICIPAL OFFICE
NO.
DATE
FRENCH-ROCKS

111

Proceedings of the meeting of the Yedatore Municipality held on 26th August-22.

1 President:- Mr R. Sivaramanayyangar B.A.

2. Vice President- Mr Bale Seddaling Setty

Members

Present-

3 Mr B.V. Narayanayyar Sub Registrar
4 Mr Pullanna Setty
5 Mr Y.N. Rama Setty
6 " Hakim Gulam Hussain Khan.

Absent-

1. Mr Devoji Rao
2 " Rama Swamy Ayyangar B.A. overseer.
3 " M.N. Venkata Subbaniya
4 " Badam Ranga Setty
5 " Subbaramaniya Sub Asst-Surgeon
6 " Giri Gowda

x x x x x x

Read No C 2053 dated 22.8.22 from the Deputy Commissioner Calling for the opinion of the Municipal Council on the Question of extending the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 to the Municipal area.

Resolution:- This is a small Municipality The Regulation might first be extended to major Municipalities and the question of extending the same to the remaining Municipal Councils may be taken up after gaining experience on the working of the Regulation in the former Municipalities

Extract-

President-
Mul Council
Yedatore

(Sd) R. Sivaramanayyangar
President-
Municipal Council
Yedatore

ಜಾಂಬುಡಂ ಪ್ರಸಿಡೆಂಟರವರ ಚೇರಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ತಾರೀಖು 15-9-22ನೆ
ಶುಕ್ರವಾರ ಬೆಳಿಗ್ಗೆ ಗಂಟೆ 8½ಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾಯಿತು ಕೆಳಗಿನವರು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು

ಹಾಜರು

(1) Mr. B.K. Sreenivasalu Naidu
President
2 ನ J ತಿಂಮಚಟ್ಟಾಮಯ್ಯನವರು
3 ನ ಮೊಂಡಿಟ್ಟಾಮಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ತ್ರವರು
4 ನ ಡಿ.ಕಂಠಾಯಮ್ಮಣಿಯಮ್ಮನವರು
5 ನ ಶ್ರೀಕಂಠಯ್ಯನವರು
6 ನ ಜಿ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಣಶೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರು
7 ನ ಅಂಕಶೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರು
8 ನ ತಿಂಮಚಟ್ಟಮ್ಮಣಿಜಟ್ಟೆಯವರು
9 ನ ಕೆ ಕಾಳಿಂಗರವರು
10. ನ ತಿಂಮಚಟ್ಟಮ್ಮಣಿ ತಿಂಮಿಶೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರು

ಗೈರುಹಾಜರು

1. Mr ದ P.W. ಪೆರಿಯಯ್ಯನವರು
2 ನ ವೆಂಕಟಚಲಪತಿಚೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರು
3 ನ ನಂಜನಜಟಾಶಿವಯ್ಯನವರು
4 ನ ಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನಾರಾಯಣಪ್ಪನವರು
5 ನ ಸಿ ಲಾಲಾಬಹದ್ದೂರ್ ಸಾಹೇಬರು
6 ನ ಪಿಜ್ಜಿಶೆಟ್ಟಿಯವರು

16

I The Mysore prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921, ನ್ನು ಮಜ್ಜಿಗೆಯನ್ನು ದಪ್ಪಮಾಡುವ ಪದಾರ್ಥವನ್ನು ಸೇರಿಸುವುದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಲವು ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಬಿಡುವುದರಿಂದ ಆಗುವ ಅನಾನುಕೂಲತೆಗಳನ್ನು ಪರಿಹರಿಸುವ ಉದ್ದೇಶದಿಂದ ಸದರಿ ಕಾಯಿದೆಗೆ ತಿದ್ದುಪಡಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯವಾಗಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟರಿಯವರು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಪ್ರಿವೆನ್ಷನ್ ಆಫ್ ಅಡಲ್ಟರೇಷನ್ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನ್ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 4ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಅನಲಿಸ್ಟ್-ಇಂದ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ತರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಪ್ರಿವೆನ್ಷನ್ ಆಫ್ ಅಡಲ್ಟರೇಷನ್ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನ್ ಸೆಕ್ಷನ್ 4ರಲ್ಲಿ ಕಾಣಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಅನಲಿಸ್ಟ್-ಇಂದ ವರದಿಯನ್ನು ತರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ತಿಳಿಸಿದರು.

× × × × × × × ×

"Extract"

(Sd) B.K. Sreenivasalu Naidu
President
15-9-22

President
15-9-1922.

No. 4

D)

ತಾಲೂಕು ಆಸ್ಪತ್ರೆ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ವಿಶೇಷ ಸಭೆಯ ನಡವಳಿಕೆಗಳ ಪುಸ್ತಕದಿಂದ

(ದಿನಾಂಕ 13-9-22)

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ ಹಿರಿಯೂರು ಇ.ಒ. ಮತ್ತು ತಾ.ಪಂ. ಸಹಾಯಕ ನಿರ್ದೇಶಕರು (ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿ)

ಸದಸ್ಯರು

(1) ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ನಾರಾಯಣ ಅಯ್ಯರ್ (ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು)
(2) ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ರಂಗಸ್ವಾಮಿ

ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದವರು

(1) ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್.ಎಂ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿಗೌಡ
(2) ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ.ಎಸ್. ಸುಬ್ರಹ್ಮಣ್ಯ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರಿ
(3) ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ.ಎಸ್. ವೆಂಕಟರಾವ್
(4) ಶ್ರೀ ಟಿ.ಎಂ. ಸಿದ್ದಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ
(5) ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ.ಎಂ. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿಗೌಡ

× × × × × ×

ಈ ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯವು ಸಭೆಯ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಆದೇಶದಂತೆ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ವಿಷಯಗಳನ್ನು ಚರ್ಚಿಸಿತು.

(3) ಮೈಸೂರು ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಪ್ರಾವಿಜನ್ ಆಫ್ ಪ್ಯೂರ್ ಫುಡ್ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನ್ಸ್ ಅಮಲ್ಗಮೇಷನ್ ಆಫ್ ಅಡಲ್ಟರೇಷನ್ ರೆಗ್ಯುಲೇಷನ್ (The Mysore provincialisation of Adultration Regulation IX of 1921) ರ ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಸುತ್ತೋಲೆ ಸಂ: ಆ.ಇ. 2053 dated 22-8-22 ಅನ್ವಯ ಕಾರ್ಯ ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು

× × × × × ×

Sd/-
K Narayana Iyer
Amildar + President
13.9.22

"Extract"

13.9.22 } President

ಪತ್ರ ಸಂ. 150/ಸಿ

ದಿನಾಂಕ 21.11.22

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಶ್ರೀಯುತ ಕೆ. ವೆಂಕಟಗಿರಿಯಣ್ಣ
ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು
ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಪರಿಷತ್ತು
ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ,

ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಕಾರ್ಯಕಾರಿ ಸಮಿತಿಯ ಸಭೆಯು ದಿನಾಂಕ 13-9-22ರಂದು ನಡೆದು ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ನಿರ್ಣಯಗಳನ್ನು ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ.

ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 136. ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಸಾಹಿತ್ಯ ಪರಿಷತ್ತಿನ ಸಭಾಂಗಣಕ್ಕೆ ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ವೆಂಕಟಗಿರಿಯಣ್ಣ

13-9-22

ಕೆ.ಬಿ

ಬೆಂ

ಮುಂ.

11.9.22

K. Venkatagiriyanna
Vice President

Urgent

No C 205-3

F-106

Office of the Dy Commr
Mysore District
Dated the 22nd August 1922

Memo

The Presidents of Municipal Councils are requested to furnish the views of the Councils in their jurisdiction in the matter of subscribing to the Income Taxation of Administration Regulation IX of 1921 to the Municipal areas concerned.

(2) Under Section 4 of the said Regulation the Local authorities have to appoint Auditors Analysts and in default Govt: may appoint them. The views of the Councils may also be furnished in the matter.

Received 25/8/22

Dy Commr
Mysore Dist

Received 28/8/22

V-B

1922 [illegible] 9 [illegible] 8-30 [illegible]

[illegible] 6

[illegible] 12

× × × × × × × ×

(11) [illegible] Adulteration - regu-lation [illegible]

Recorded that the resolution of the Managing Committee be Sent up

[illegible] 22.8.22 [illegible]

C. 2053/106 [illegible]

(Signed) B.T. Kesavayengar
President
Mt Council
9.9.22

"Extract"

K. Venkatasawmi Ayyar
Vice President

IV

ಶ್ರೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲರುಗಳು ದಿ 28-8-22 ರಲ್ಲು ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಆಫೀಸಿನ ನೇರ್ಪಡೆದಲ್ಲು ಸೇರಿನ ತನಿದ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಗೆ ಬಂದಿದ್ದು:-

ರಾಜರು	ಕೃಷ್ಣರಾಜರು
4	12

X ಆಹಾರಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳನ್ನು ಇತರ ಪದಾರ್ಥದೊಳಕ್ಕೆ ಸೇರಿಸಿದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲವೆ ತೆಗೆದರೆ ಇಲ್ಲವೆ ಇಸ್ಪ IX ನೇ ಪ್ಯಾರಾಗ್ರಾಫಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಹೇಳಿರುವ ವಿಚಾರದ 1912 ನೇ ಪ್ರಾಹಿಬಿಷನ್ ಆಫ್ ಅಡಲ್ಟರೇಷನ್ ಆಕ್ಟಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗಾಗಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಮುನ್ಸಿಪಲ್ ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಿಲ್ಲದ್ದರಿಂದ ಈ ವಿಚಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಈಗಿರುವ ಪದ್ಧತಿಯನ್ನೆ ಮುಂದುವರಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಹೋಗಲು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟು ಕೌನ್ಸಿಲಿಗೆ ಆಫೀಸರ್ ಇನ್ ಚಾರ್ಜ್ ರವರು 22-8-22 ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚಿ ಸಿ 2053 ನೆ ನಂಬರಿನ ಕಾಗದ ಬರೆದಿದ್ದು

What with the present means of this Council, the Council regrets to note its inability to maintain one Public Analyst for the present.

(Sd) V. Rangaswamiengar

Hon-vice-president

"Copy"

Hon-vice-president.

Monthly meeting proceedings of Harihar town municipality held on 31/7/1922

President

Mr Abdus Subban Ex officio

Deputy Amildar Harihar ——— p -

Vice-president

Mr. H Channabasva ——— p -

Ex officio members

I & P W Sub Division officer Davanagere ———

2 Sub-Registrar Harihar ——— p -

3 Sub Assistant Surgeon ——— p

non official members 9 present out of 1.

x

x

Subject No. III

4000 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಿದ ಅಂದಾಜು ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ದಿನಾಂಕ 1921 ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ತಾರೀಖು IX ನೇ ಪ್ರಕರಣದ ಅ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಂಜೂರಾದ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ Analysis ಗಳನ್ನು ತಯಾರಿಸಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ್ದಕ್ಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ಅಲ್ಲಿಂದ ಬಂದ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದಾಜನ್ನು ತಯಾರಿಸಿಕೊಡಲಾಗಿ ಬಿತ್ತರವಾಗಿದ್ದ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು ಸಾರ್ವ. 353 ನೇ ತಾರೀಖು 24/6/1922

Resolution

ಈ ಅರ್ಜಿಯ ವಿಚಾರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಭೆಯ ತೀರ್ಮಾನದಂತೆ ಈ ಕೆಲಸವನ್ನು ಇಲಾಖೆಯ ಮೂಲಕ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿ ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

x

x

x

ಸಹಿ/- Mr Abdus subban

President

Extract

President

Harihar Mcly

Monthly meeting proceedings of Malebennur municipality held on 23/9/1922

President

Sri M Abdus Subhan
Deputy Amildar, Harihar — P

Vice-President

Mr Khaja Sammad Saheb — P

Ex-officio members { Non-official
Two absent out of 2 { 3 present out of 5

Subject No V

4000 ರೂ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಷ್ಟು ಪ್ಲೇಗ್ ಇಂಜಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಅವಶ್ಯವಿದೆ ಕಳೆದ ಸಾಲು 1921 ನೇ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ರೂಲ್ ನಂ IX ನೇ prevention Regulation ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೆಲವು ಜನರಿಂದ ಅನುಮತಿ ಪಡೆದು public Analyst ರವರಿಂದ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಅವರ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ತಿಳಿದು ಮುಂದೆ ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿದೆ ಆದರೆ ಈ ಕೆಲಸವು ರೂಲ್ 355 ನೇ ಆಕ್ಟ್ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಮಾಡಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿಲ್ಲ

Resolution

ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ 4000 ರೂ ಇಂಜಕ್ಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಅವಶ್ಯವಿದ್ದು ಸಭೆಯ ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ಪಡೆದು ಕ್ರಮ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅವಕಾಶ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ

(Signed) Sri. Abdus Subhan
President

"Extract"

President
Malebennur Municipality
12/10/1922.

Deputy Commissioner's Office.
CHITALDROOG DIST.
Date. 9/7/22 Section Rel.
No.

ನಂ ಆಅ 401 ಸುಲ 21-22
7-7-22

ಸೇವೆಯಲ್ಲಿ

ಸಂಪಾದಕರು ಸಂಯುಕ್ತ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ

ಮಿಶ್ರ ಸಂಪಾದಕರು ಸಂಯುಕ್ತ ಕರ್ನಾಟಕ ಇವರ
ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ:- ದಿ 6-7-22

ಸನ್ಮಿತ್ರರಾದ ಬಿಂದ ಸಿಂದಹಂದ ತಂತ್ರಜ್ಞರು
24-6-22 ನೇ ಪತ್ರ CNC 355 ಸುಲ 21-22 ರಂದಾರ
ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಸಂಪಾದಕೀಯ ಲೇಖನಗಳ ಪ್ರಕಟನೆಯ
ನಿರಾಕರಣೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಪಷ್ಟ ಸನ್ ವಲಯ ನಿರಾಕರಿಸಿದ ಅನುಸಾರ
ಕಲಂ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಅಂದರೆ, 3000 ಕ್ಕೆ ಕ ಮೇಲ್ಪ ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಗಿರುವ
ಪಟ್ಟಣಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದರೆ, (Mysore prevention Regulation)
(IX of 1924) ಇಂಥ ರೀತಿಯ ಯಾವ ಪತ್ರಿಕೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ನಿರಾಕರಣೆ
ಪಟ್ಟಣಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂದರೆ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಂ ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಲು
ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗಿದೆ

ಇಂತಿ ನಿಮ್ಮ

Extract of the minutes of a meeting held on the 30th June 1932 in the Chittoor municipality.

1 to 4 x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x.

5 1914 ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಕಲಂ IX ರ Provision ರ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಚಿತ್ತೂರು ಪುರಸಭೆಯ ಮೇರೆ 4000 ರೂಪಾಯಿ ಮೊತ್ತದ ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆಯುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಈ ಪುರಸಭೆಯವರು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಈ ಪುರಸಭೆಯವರು Examine ಮಾಡಿ ಸಾಲ ಪಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಪಟ್ಟಂತೆ Commissioner ರವರು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯಪಟ್ಟಿರುವಂತೆ ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ಪುರಸಭೆಯವರು ಕೈಗೊಂಡಿರುವ ಕ್ರಮಗಳು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಮತ್ತು ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಈ ಪುರಸಭೆಯವರಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವಿರುವುದಾಗಿ 771—4. dated 19—6—32 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರು ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಈ ಪುರಸಭೆಯವರು ಸಾಲವನ್ನು ಪಡೆಯುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಿದೆ.

35-5: ಸಹಿ ಚಿತ್ತೂರು ಪುರಸಭೆ ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು
24—6—32

Resolution

The Municipality resolves to borrow a sum of Rs. 4000 for the purpose mentioned in the application.

(Sd) B. Somanna
President

30/6/22.

True Extract

President

10/7/22.

Proceedings of the meeting of Mayakonda municipality council held on 13-8-22 for the month of July 8

× × × × ×

మున్సిపాలిటీలలో ప్రివెంటివ్ Regulation Rules ప్రకారం నిండుపరిశోధనలు నిమిత్తం Analyst నకు పంపుశాంపిల్స్ నిండుపరిశోధనలు నిమిత్తం పంపిన శాంపిల్స్ వివరాలు

1	24-6-22	

మున్సిపాలిటీలలో ప్రివెంటివ్ Regulation Rules ప్రకారం నిండుపరిశోధనలు నిమిత్తం Analyst నకు పంపుశాంపిల్స్ నిండుపరిశోధనలు నిమిత్తం పంపిన శాంపిల్స్ వివరాలు

1	24-6-22	

మున్సిపాలిటీలలో ప్రివెంటివ్ Regulation Rules ప్రకారం నిండుపరిశోధనలు నిమిత్తం Analyst నకు పంపుశాంపిల్స్ నిండుపరిశోధనలు నిమిత్తం పంపిన శాంపిల్స్ వివరాలు

1	24-6-22	

"Extract"

President

Mayakonda municipality

17/8/22

ಸಂ 31/8/22 ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆದ ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಯ ನಿರ್ಣಯ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ 21 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಡಿಸಲಾದ ಸಭೆಯ

+ + + + 86

(1) 4000 ಜನಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಮೇಲ್ಪಟ್ಟು ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯಿತಿ ಪ್ರದೇಶಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಾರ್ವಜನಿಕ ಶೌಚಾಲಯಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ಮಿಸಲು ಮತ್ತು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ಸರ್ಕಾರವು ಆರ್ಥಿಕ ನೆರವು ನೀಡುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ನಅಇ 771 — 4 ದಿನಾಂಕ 19-6-22 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಆದೇಶಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ

ಮಂಜೂರು ಮಾಡಲು ಕೋರಿದೆ.

"ಸೇವೆ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಲು"

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು
ಪುರಸಭೆ
10/9/22

(Sd) B. Siva Rudrappa
Chief President
Nelamangala Municipality
31/8/22

VV

9.2.22 తేదీ జూనియర్ సహాయకులు కార్యాలయ పర్యవేక్షకులు

దస్త్రము తీసుకొని వెళ్లవలసిందిగా కోరడమైనది.

X X X X X X X

X

ఇట్లు తెలియజేయడమేమనగా మీరు ఈ కార్యాలయంలో పనిచేయుటకు ఈ.ఎం. 355 ద్వారా 24.6.22 తేదీన మీకు ఆదేశాలు ఇవ్వడం జరిగినది. మీరు ఈ కార్యాలయంలో చేరిన తేదీ నుండి మీకు 2 సంవత్సరాల పరిశీలన కాలము ఉంటుంది. ఈ పరిశీలన కాలములో మీ పనితీరు సంతృప్తికరంగా లేనట్లయితే మీ సర్వీసును ఎటువంటి నోటీసు లేకుండా రద్దు చేయడం జరుగుతుంది. మీరు ఈ కార్యాలయంలో చేరిన తేదీ నుండి మీకు 4000 రూపాయల ప్రారంభ వేతనముతో మీ సర్వీసును క్రమబద్ధీకరించడమైనది. మీరు ఈ కార్యాలయంలో చేరిన తేదీ నుండి మీకు 25000 రూపాయల ప్రారంభ వేతనం చెల్లించడమైనది. మీరు ఈ కార్యాలయంలో చేరిన తేదీ నుండి మీకు ఆరోగ్యశ్రీ పథకం వర్తించడమైనది. మీరు ఈ కార్యాలయంలో చేరిన తేదీ నుండి మీకు ఎటువంటి వాహనం కేటాయించడం జరుగదు. మీరు ఈ కార్యాలయంలో చేరిన తేదీ నుండి మీకు ఎటువంటి సెలవులు మంజూరు చేయడం జరుగదు.

ఇట్లు

(Sd) H. Narayana Rao.
Amildar & President
Hiriyur Municipality

Copy

H. Narayana Rao
President
Hiriyur Municipality

Dt: 6/7/22

ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು ಹಿರಿಯ ಪ್ರಯೋಗಾಲಯ ಸಹಾಯಕರ ಹುದ್ದೆಯಿಂದ
ದಿನಾಂಕ 30.6.22 ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಿವೃತ್ತರಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಬೀಳ್ಕೊಡುಗೆ
ನೀಡಲಾಯಿತು.

(1) Mr. K. Sinappa P.
President

(2) " N. Chenkabadappa P
Vice President

Members

Present
9

Absent

IV. Chemical Examiner and ಮತ್ತು ಸಹಾಯಕ ರಾಸಾಯನಿಕ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಕರವರ
Asst Chemical Examiner ರವರನ್ನು ಕ್ರಮವಾಗಿ ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಅನಲಿಸ್ಟ್ ಮತ್ತು ರಾಸಾಯನಿಕ
ಪರೀಕ್ಷಕರನ್ನಾಗಿ ನೇಮಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ Chemical Adulteration Regulation IX of
1921. ರಲ್ಲಿ ಇರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ರಾಸಾಯನಿಕ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಕರವರನ್ನು Public Examiner and Asst Chemical
ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಅನಲಿಸ್ಟ್ ರವರೆಂದು ನೇಮಿಸಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ
ಆದೇಶ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಸಿಆಸ್ಪ 351-ಗುಣ ನಿ. 24.6.22 ರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊರಡಿಸಿರುವ ಆದೇಶವನ್ನು
21-22 ರಂತೆ ಸ್ವೀಕರಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

ಆದೇಶ

ದಿ. 30.6.22 (Sd) K. Sinappa
President

True copy"

K. Chenkabadappa
Hon President

ದಿ. 1.7.22

Extract of the proceedings of Municipal meeting held on 25-7-22

Hindupur Municipality

I P. Siddaiah ws. Vice President } Absent

D Mr. D Ramanna, Vice President } present

X X X X

Subject

I 1921 సం IX వ్యవహారమునకు సంబంధించి కమీషనరు వారి ఆఫీసు నం. 355 తేదీ 24.6.22 గల ఉత్తరము చదువబడినది.

తీర్మానం:-

ఈ ఉత్తరము ప్రకారము ఆఫీసు నం. 355 తేదీ 24.6.22 గల ఉత్తరమునకు సమాధానము వ్రాయవలెనని తీర్మానించడమైనది.

X X X X

(Sd) D Ramanna.
Vice President

Extract

D. Ramanna
Vice President
Hindupur Municipality

Extract from the proceedings of the XI ordinary meeting of Holalkere minor municipal council held on 29th June 1922

Present:- D. Srinivasa Rao Esquire, B.A., Amildar & President

× × × × × × × × ×

8) ಹೊಸದುರ್ಗ Examiner ಅವರ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಪತ್ರ Adulteration of foodstuffs prevention regulation ರೂಲಿನ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮತ್ತು ಇವರಲ್ಲಿ ರಿಜಿಸ್ಟರ್ ಆಗಿರುವ ಪ್ರಾಂ ದರ್ಜೆ ದರ ನಂ: 355-Rul 21-22 ದಿ 24-6-1922ರ ಪತ್ರಾಂಶಗಳನ್ನು ಓದಿ ಕೇಳಲಾಯಿತು

ಅದರಲ್ಲಿ ಹೊಸದುರ್ಗ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಕ್ರಯ ಮಾಡುವ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಲ್ಲಿ 4000 ಕ್ಕೆ ಒಂದರಂತೆ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಅವಕಾಶವಿದ್ದು ಆ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಗೆ Analyst ಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡುವ ವಿವರಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ ಮಾಡಿಸಲು ಬೇಕಾಗುವ ಖರ್ಚಿನ ವಿವರಗಳನ್ನು ಪತ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವನ್ನು ಕಛೇರಿಯವರಿಗೆ ತಿಳಿಸಲಾಯಿತು

ಸಹಿ/ D. Srinivasa Rao. President

Extract

A.R. Ramachandra 1.7.7/22
President
Holalkere Municipality

Extract from the proceeding of the adjourned ordinary meeting of the Chitaldrug Town Municipal Council held on 7 th September 1922

x x x x x x

Subject No. 16 Read letter No. 771-4 dated 19-6-1922 from the Sanitary Commisssioner in Mysore regarding the introduction of Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation 9 of 1921 into the Chitaldrug Town Municiaplity.

Resolution. The Regulation of No. 9 of 1921 may be introduced into this Municipality.

x x x x x x

Sd B. Jeevan Rau,
Vice-President In the Chair.

E-x-t r-a-c t-.

For President.

Town Municipality, Chitaldrug.

Extract from the proceedings of the adjourned ordinary meeting of the Chitaldrug Town Municipal Council held on 7th September 1920

x x x

Subject No. 16 Road letter No. 7714 dated 9th August from the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore regarding the introduction of Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation 9 of 1919 into the Chitaldrug Town Municipality.

Resolution. The Regulation No. 9 of 1919 may be introduced into this Municipality.

x x x

Sd D. Seeran Rau,
Vice-President In the Chair.

Extract.

for Pros.Cont,

Town Municipality, Chitaldrug.

Proceedings of the 3rd general meeting of the Tumkur Town Municipal Council held on Saturday the 23rd Septr. 1922, in the Sri Krishnarajendara Town Hall at 8.A.M.

Present;- Absent:-

15 members were present. 5

X X X X

X X X X

IV. Deputy Commissioner's memo No. 249 dated 22nd June 1922 forwarding the Revenue Secretary's letter No. 6935 san 49-21 dated 27th May 1922 requesting the opinion of the Municipal Council re, the introduction of Mysore prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 in Municipal areas

The proposition was put vote and six voting for, and 8 against

Resolved that the Municipal Council is not in favour of introducing the Regulation in Municipal areas.

X X X X

X X X X

Sd/ K. Renga Iyengar

President.

"E X T R A C T"

President
Tumkur Town Municipal Council

1922ನೇ ಇಸವಿ ಸೆಪ್ಟೆಂಬರ್ ತಿಂಗಳ 23ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಂದು ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ
ಹಿಂದಿನ ಪಟ್ಟಣ ಪಂಚಾಯತಿ ಸಭೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಭ್ಯರಾಗಿದ್ದ ಶ್ರೀಮಾನ್
ಸಿ. ಅಂಜನಪ್ಪನವರಿಗೆ ಸಭ್ಯರಾಗಿ ಮುಂದುವರಿಯಲು ಅರ್ಹರಲ್ಲವೆಂದು
ಕಂಡುಬಂದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ಸಭ್ಯತ್ವವು ರದ್ದಾಗಿರುವುದಾಗಿ
ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

× × × × × × ×

(9) ಈ ಕಾರ್ಯಾಲಯದ ಜ್ಞಾಪನ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ಮಲ್ಲಿ. ಸಿ. 2119/21-22 ದಿನಾಂಕ 22-6-22ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಂದು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾದ ಜ್ಞಾಪನದಲ್ಲಿ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವಂತೆ ಈ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಸಭ್ಯರು ಸತತವಾಗಿ ಮೂರು ಸಾಮಾನ್ಯ ಸಭೆಗಳಿಗೆ ಗೈರುಹಾಜರಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಅವರ ಸಭ್ಯತ್ವವು ರದ್ದಾಗಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ.

(ಸಹಿ) ಎಸ್. ಕೃಷ್ಣಮೂರ್ತಿ
ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು
ಗುಬ್ಬಿ ಪುರಸಭೆ
23-9-22

× × × × × × ×

COPY.
G. Annappa
for President
Gubbi Municipality

97

[illegible]

× × ×

Signed /- S. Venkataramaiyya,
President,
Municipal Council,
Chikmagalur

29th July 1922

Extract.

S. Venkatarāmaiya
President
Municipal Council,
Chikmagalur.

9th August 1922

No (3)

V.V.V

Proceedings of the adjourned meeting of the Tanjore municipal council held on 31st August 1922

× × × × × ×
× × × × × ×
× × × × × ×
×

IV Read letter no on 2185 of 21-22 dated 27th June 1922 from the Deputy Commissioner Kadur District, forwarding, copy of letter no 7771-4 dated 19th June 1922 from the Sanitary Commissioner in regard to the introduction of the Regulation of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 into all major municipalities and calling for the opinion of the Tanjore municipal council in the matter of the introduction of the Regulation into the municipality

The council is of the opinion that the Regulation may be introduced into the municipality and the Chemical Examiner and the assistant Chemical Examiner may be appointed as Public Analysts

(2) the appointment of the Chemical Examiner and the assistant Chemical Examiner, as Public Analysts

× × × × × ×

S Venkat Rao Garu
President
Tanjore municipality
31.8.22

Copy
S Venkatachalapathy
Secretary
President

ಠಂದ. ಅ 3/30 - 21-22 ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಕೆಲವು ಸಲಹೆಗಳು

ಶೀಘ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ - ಹೊಸ ಪೈಪ್‌ಲೈನ್‌ಗಳು ಮತ್ತು ಕಾಮಗಾರಿಗಳನ್ನು

ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಲು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು — ಈ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಮುಗಿಸಲು

ಕಡಿಮೆ ಪಕ್ಷ ಶೀಘ್ರದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಗಿಸಲು ಕ್ರಮ ಕೈಗೊಳ್ಳಲಾಗುವುದು.

(Sd) M P Veeranatha Rao

President

Copy

Commissioner

for President

ಶೀರಂಗಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಆಜ್ಞೆಗಳು ಮೈಸೂರ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ

ಅಪ್ಪಣೆ ಪತ್ರ

I The Seringapatam Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 ಎಂಬ ಹೆಸರುಳ್ಳ ಮೈಸೂರ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯು

ಈ ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಮೈಸೂರ ಪ್ರಾಂತ್ಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಆಹಾರ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳ ಕಲಬೆರಕೆ ತಡೆಯುವ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯು ಸಾಕಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಆ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯ ಅಂತೆ ಮುಂದಿನ ಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳಬಹುದೆಂದು ತಿಳುವಳಿಕೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟು ಈ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಮಾಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು 18 6 22ನೆ ಮೂ ಕ್ರಮಾಂ C 771-4 ನೆ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ ತಿಳಿಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಈ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ತಪ್ಪು ಮಾಡಿರುವವರಿಗೆ ವಿಧಿಸಬಹುದಾದ ದಂಡನೆಯು ಕಡಿಮೆಯಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದಲೂ ಈ ಕಾಯಿದೆಯನ್ನು ಮಾರ್ಪಡಿಸಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದಲೂ

ಮೇಲೆ ಸೂಚಿಸಿರುವ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ರದ್ದುಮಾಡಿ ಬರಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಜಾರಿಯಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಈ ಮೈಸೂರ ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಕಾಲದಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಿದ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಮೇಲೆ ಹೇಳಿರುವ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾರ್ಪಡಿಸಿ ಬರಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯನ್ನು ಹೊರಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ

(Sd.) R Sama Iyengar

President

"True Extract"

R. Sama Iyengar

President

Letter No.G1.182 dated 30th August 1922 from the President Town Municipal Council, Hassan, to the Deputy Commissioner Hassan District.

-@-@-@-

In continuation of this office letters Nos& 22 & 156 dated 11th July 22 and 21st August 1922 respectively, in the matter of introducing the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 in the Hassan Town Municipality, I have the honor to state that the subject having been discussed at the Meeting of the Municipal Council held this morning, it was resolved that the Council is of opinion that there is no need at present to extend the Regulation to this Municipality as there is not much trade going on here and as the provision contained in Section 142, sub Section 2, of the Mysore Municipal Regulation which has been made applicable to this Municipality by Notification No:5165/M1.144-11-10 dated 30th January 1912 is sufficient for all practical purposes.

-@-@-@-

" C O P Y "

P Srinivasaraya Setty
For Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

ಸಭೆಯ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮವನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

1. ಶ್ರೀ. ಎಂ. ವೆಂಕಟಾಚಾರ್. B. A. ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು
2. ಎಂ. B. ಅನಂತ ರಾಮಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್. ಉಪಾಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು.
3. ಎಂ. ಕೆ. ನಂಜಪ್ಪಯ್ಯ
4. ಎಂ. B. ವೆಂಕಟಲಕ್ಷ್ಮಮ್ಮ
5. ಪಿ. ಭೀಮಾಚಾರ್.
6. ಎಂ. ರಾಮಮ್ಮ
7. ಎಂ. ಸುಬ್ಬರಾವ್
8. ಎಂ. ಜಿ. ಫುಟ್ಟು ರಾವ್.
9. ಕೆ. ನರಸಿಂಹಯ್ಯ
10. ಎಂ. ಮೊಹಮದಿ ಬೇಗ್
11. The Sub Asst. Surgeon

(1) The D. P. W. Sub-Asst. Officer
(2) ಶ್ರೀ K. R. Narayana Shetty
(3) ಎಂ K. Narayanappa
(4) ಎಂ Chikkamallegowda
(5) K. E. Ramiah (deceased)

x x x x x x x x x x x x x

7) ಆಹಾರ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಬೆರಕೆ ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟುವಿಕೆ (Prevention of adulteration) ನಿಯಮಾವಳಿಯ 1921ನೇ ಸಾಲಿನ ಕಲಂ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಮಾದರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪರೀಕ್ಷಿಸಿ ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವ ವಿಷಯವಾಗಿ -
ಇ 319-22 ಸಿ. ಬಿ. ಟಿ ನಂ. ದಿನಾಂಕ 6.7.22 ರಂದು ಬಂದಿರುವ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ -

x x x x x x x x x x x x x

"True Extract"
For President
Malur Municipal Town Council

Seal No XIX

XI

Regulation no IX of 1921

Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation –

ಶ್ರೀ ಅವರಿಗೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವಂತೆ ಅವರು ಸಹ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರು ಸಹ ಅಧಿಕಾರವನ್ನು ತಮ್ಮ ಕೆಳಗಿನವರಿಗೆ ಕೊಡಬಹುದು

× × × ×

Sd/- K Subbarao

Amildar & President

26/1-1922

Extract

[signature]

President.

(S.d) G venkata Rao

"Resident

"Extract"

Vice President

No II of 1922-23 29.7.1922

Extract

Srinivasamurthy
President

14.8.1922
Kumool

9 to 15 x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x x

160

(Sd/-) A. Mahalakshmi.
President
11-8-22.

True Extract
Arunachalam
Jr. President,
Town Municipality

To
14 & 22

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

(Sd) Ranjasalgoda

Vice president

"True copy"

[illegible]

Vice president

1922 సంవత్సరం ఫిబ్రవరి 28 తేదీన జరిగిన కమిటీ సమావేశంలో నిర్ణయించిన

మీ సభ్యత్వం కొనసాగించడానికి మీ నిర్ణయాన్ని తెలియజేయవలసిందిగా

కోరుచున్నాము

ఇట్లు మీ విధేయులు

C. B. శామారావు - ప్రెసిడెంట్

S. శివరామప్ప - సెక్రటరీ

,, ,,

Members

సభ్యులు

1 శ్రీ జి. వి. రామారావు

2 ,, ఎ. ఎస్. కృష్ణారావు

3 ,, ఎ. ఎస్. ఆర్. ఆంజనేయులు

4 ,, జి. ఎస్. ఎస్. కృష్ణారావు

అధికారులు

1 శ్రీ జి. వి. రామారావు

2 ,, ఎ. ఎస్. కృష్ణారావు

3 ,, ఎ. ఎస్. ఆర్. ఆంజనేయులు

4 ,, జి. ఎస్. ఎస్. కృష్ణారావు

5 ,, వి. ఎస్. ఎస్. ఆర్. ఆంజనేయులు

6 ,, పి. ఎస్. ఎస్. ఆర్. ఆంజనేయులు

7 ,, ఎ. ఎస్. ఆర్. ఆంజనేయులు

8 ,, ఎ. ఎస్. ఆర్. ఆంజనేయులు

9 ,, ఎ. ఎస్. ఆర్. ఆంజనేయులు

10 ,, జి. ఎస్. ఎస్. ఆర్. ఆంజనేయులు

× × × ×

(7) ఎ. ఎస్. ఆర్. ఆంజనేయులు ఎ. ఎస్. ఆర్. ఆంజనేయులు ఎ. ఎస్. ఆర్. ఆంజనేయులు

113

Proceedings of the First Ordinary General meeting of the Shimoga Town Municipal Council held in the Town Hall on Saturday the 29th July 1922 at 8-30 A.M.

X X X X

Subject No. 3.

Memo No. C. 1645/ L.B. 21-22 dated the 6th July 22 from the Deputy Commissioner, Shimoga District calling for the opinion of the Council re - the introduction in this municipality of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921, as suggested in the Revenue Secretary's letter No. L. 6935/ San. 49-21-8 dated 27th May 1922.

The Council are of opinion that the Regulation may be extended to the Municipality with advantage & power being reserved to the Municipality to use the Regulation with respect to any article of food or drink where deleterious adulteration has come into vogue.

X X X X X

X X X X

" C O P Y "

For President.

-/N

Extract of the proceedings of the meeting of the Municipal Council, Sagar, held in the Town municipal office on Tuesday the 8th August 1922 at 4.30 P.M

x x x x

II. Read Shuraj Deputy Commissioner's letter No. C. 1645. L. B. 21-22. dated 30th June 1922, calling for the views of the municipal Council in the matter of initiating the supreme prevension of adulteration Regulation and of appointing the Chemical Examinar as public Analysts in the Local areas

The Council are agreeable to adopt the suggestions of the Sanitary Commissioner in the matter

x x x x x

'Extract'

M. Lalla Chandra

President

Municipal Council

Sagar.

5/9/22

1922 ಫೆಬ್ರವರಿ ತಿಂಗಳ 24ನೇ ತಾರೀಖಿನಂದು ಸಾಯಂಕಾಲ 4 ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಚನ್ನಪಟ್ಟಣದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಭೆ ಸೇರಿದ್ದ ಸಮಯದಲ್ಲಿ ಕೆಳಕಂಡ ಸದಸ್ಯರು ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದರು.

Present A J Donne Esqr
President

(2) Mr D R Basavarajiah
(3) " Devcatti Nanjundiah Setty
(4) " V Dasappa
(5) " Doddiah
(6) " L C Srinivasiah
(7) " P. Mathiah
(8) " Dasey gowda
(9) " CN Ramachendra Rao
(10) " K. Abdul Zenoury Saib
Asst Surgeon i/c Dispensary
Channapatna

Absent

(1) Mr T-M Abdul Zenoury Saib
(2) " P. Venkatapathi Setty
(3) " Ramamurthy Saib
(4) " Mahamad Khasim Khan Saib
(5) " Gulabkhan Saib
(6) The D.P.W Assistant-Engineer
Channapatna

X — X — X — X

V ಮುಂದಿನ ಕಛೇರಿ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ಈ ಕೆಳಗಿನ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳನ್ನು ತಾತ್ಕಾಲಿಕವಾಗಿ ನೇಮಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಮತ್ತು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೋರಿ ಸಂಘದವರು ದಿನಾಂಕ 24-6-22 ರಂದು ಈ 3130/21-22 ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಮನವಿಯನ್ನು ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಸದರಿ ಮನವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಘದ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಕೆಲವು ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳನ್ನು ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆಗನುಸಾರವಾಗಿ ತಾತ್ಕಾಲಿಕವಾಗಿ ನೇಮಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ಕೋರಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಸದರಿ ಸಂಘವು ಸಹಕಾರ ಸಂಘಗಳ ಅಧಿನಿಯಮ ಮತ್ತು ನಿಯಮಗಳಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ನೋಂದಣಿಯಾಗಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸಂಘದ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಕೆಲವು ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳನ್ನು ತಾತ್ಕಾಲಿಕವಾಗಿ Appointment ಮಾಡಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಸದರಿ ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸರ್ಕಾರದ ನಿಯಮಾನುಸಾರ ಸಂಬಳವನ್ನು ಕೊಡಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಸಂಘದ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಣೆಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವುದಾಗಿ ಸಂಘದವರು ಮನವಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಆದ್ದರಿಂದ ಸಂಘದ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಕೆಲವು ಸಿಬ್ಬಂದಿಗಳನ್ನು ತಾತ್ಕಾಲಿಕವಾಗಿ ನೇಮಿಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಅನುಮತಿ ನೀಡಿದೆ.

× × × - ×

(ಸಹಿ) ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರು
President

Extract

President

1922 నవంబరు 29 వ తేదీన మధ్యాహ్నం 1 గంటకి కౌన్సిల్ హాలులో
సభాధ్యక్షులవారు మొదలు పెట్టినది అంగా—

హాజరు.

1. శ్రీ B. లింగంచెట్టి వారు అధ్యక్షులు శ్రీ శాసనాలు
2. " అంబలీకృష్ణమూర్తి వారు వైస్ ప్రెసిడెంటు
3 " అయ్యం భక్తవత్సలం వారు
4 " బీయ్యా సాంబప్పగారు
5 " బాజ్జీ సాహెబు మొదలి శాసనాలు
6 " బీయ్యా బాసింపాడి గోవిందు
7 " సాలపాకా వెంకట్రామ నరసింహాచార్యులు
8 " డి. శ్రీరంగాచార్యులు
9 " వెంకట్ ఆదినారాయణ
10 " మునిసిపల్ కమిషనరు

గైరహాజరు

11 సబ్బ అళగప్పచెట్టి వారు
12. దేవనహళ్ళి కాన్సిలు మెంబరు వెంకటరామప్ప ఆదికారు.

X X X X

X X X X

X మున్సిపాలిటీలలో "public Analysts" లను నియమించడానికి ప్రభుత్వం వారు ఏర్పాటు చేసిన కమిటీ వారి రిపోర్టు మీద గవర్నమెంటు వారు తేదీ 23/24-6-1922 నాటి నెం. 3130/21-22 గా వ్రాసిన ఉత్తరాలు చదవడమైనది.

ఆ విషయంపై నిర్ణయం చేయడానికి వీలు లేదని నిర్ణయించడమైనది.

X X X X

(Sd) B. Lingaia
President.

"Copy"

ఉప అధ్యక్షులు.
Vice President.

To Swab Mr Hodder Secretary

(Sd) B Spencer Walsenger

Vice President in the Chair

Extract

Secretary

2 Presidents

Proceedings of the meeting of the Kankanhalli municipal council held on the 12th August 1922

171

Present

1 Mr Lawis Rego Amildar President
2 " C. Narasimhiah Sirbassistant Surveyor
3 " G Venkataramana Gowda
4 " M. S. Mallan Rao
5 " Pavoor Narayandappa
6 Malagar Canah
7 y Narayanasetty
8 " goodar Bindu madhavachar
Vice President

Absent

1 Mr K Krishnaswamy Sub Registrar
2 " K Venkatrayappa Subovenseer of PW Kankanhalli
3 " G Chamabasappa
4 " Sheik umar Sab

x x x x x

IV ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಶಿರಸ್ತೇದಾರರ ಕಛೇರಿಯ Analysts ಇವರಿಗೆ ಮಾಸಿಕ ಸಂಬಳ ಕೊಡುವ ವಿಷಯ ಈ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಶಿರಸ್ತೇದಾರರ ಕಛೇರಿಯ ಕಡತ ನಂ ಆ ಆ 3130/21-22 ದಿನಾಂಕ 24.6.1922 ರಂದು ಅಧ್ಯಕ್ಷರ ಆಜ್ಞೆಯಂತೆ

ಆ ಕಡತದಲ್ಲಿರುವ ಆ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಚೀಫ್ chemical Examiner ರವರು Asst. Chy Examiner ರವರು ಸಂಬಳವಿಲ್ಲದೆ ಕೆಲಸ ಮಾಡಲು ಒಪ್ಪಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ಅವರಿಗೆ ಸಂಬಳ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾಗಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು ಅಭಿಪ್ರಾಯ ತಿಳಿಸಿರುವುದರಿಂದ

x x x

Sd Lawsrego
Asst President

D-12 8/22

(Sd) [illegible]
Vice President

(Sd) [illegible]

(Sd) C. Narasimhaiah

(Sd) [illegible]

(Sd) [illegible]

(Sd) Mrs malleshwari

(Sd) [illegible]

"Copy"

23.8.22

[illegible]
Vice President

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

3 Copies

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

urgent

Diary No. of letter replied to 6257

Section Sanl

File No. 49—21

Serial No 9

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, *the* *191*

No. L 641

Date of issue 23/7/23

To The Sanitary Commissioner

Signed by

With reference to your letter No C 771—38 of 22nd May/5th June 1923 submitting the resolutions of the municipal councils on the matter of the introduction of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation into the local areas

I am directed to request you to be so good as to submit

over. at a

at a very early date, the Opinion
of the several municipalities in the
Kolar district in the matter and
with ~~the~~ wh are long overdue
on draft rules / ~~relating thereto~~ /
~~referred to in your letter.~~
I am also to add that the
City municipal Councils of Bangalore
may
~~may~~ purpose / also be consulted
in the matter & ~~submit their~~ their
resolutions together with your
opinion ~~in the matter~~ submitted to Govt
at an early date

I have the

4/7/21

8/7/21 Rev Sec to Govt

memo No. L 642-43

Copy of the above is forwarded for
the Compliments to the Presidents
of the city municipal councils
of Bangalore & (2) Inspector
of Municipalities for information

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

8/7/21
In Rev Sec to Govt

Dft 13/6/21

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH IN MYSORE

No. C 771-45
Enc one

Office of the Sanitary Commissioner
with the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore, dated ~~3rd~~ 12th October 1923.

13148

L 2135-49

From

B. MAHOMED USMON, ESQ., L.M.& S.,
Offg. Sanitary Commissioner with the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.

GENL. & REV. SECTT.
Br. L
13 OCT. 1923
GENERAL SECTION

To

B. NAGAPPA, ESQ., Bar-at-law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments, BANGALORE.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. L.641/San. 49-21-9 dated the 23rd July 1923, I have the honor to forward herewith for the consideration and approval of Government draft rules under Section 20 of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921.

2. In regard to the opinion of the several Municipalities in the Kolar district in the matter of the introduction of the Regulation into the local areas of the Kolar district, a reply from the Deputy Commissioner is still awaited. A demi-official reminder has been issued to-day.

3. The Presidents of the Bangalore and Mysore City Municipalities have also been addressed on the subject. The resolutions of the respective Municipal Councils will be submitted on receipt.

I have the honor to be.

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M Mahomed Usmon

OFFG. SANITARY COMMISSIONER.

DRAFT RULES UNDER SECTIONS 20 AND 21 OF THE MYSORE PREVENTION OF ADULTERATION REGULATION IX OF 1921

-o+o+o-

Extent of application.

The Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 will be applicable in the Cities of Bangalore and Mysore, the whole of the Sanitary Board area, Kolar Gold Fields, and to the Municipalities mentioned in the margin.

Medical Officer of Health to be Public Analyst.

2. The Medical Officer of Health for the Sanitary Board area, Kolar Gold Fields, and the Chemical Examiner to Government and the Assistant Chemical Examiner for other Municipal areas, shall be the Public Analyst for the area and shall be entitled to a fee for each certificate that is granted as per schedule hereto attached.

To whom the Local Executive Officer may delegate powers.

3. The Medical Officer of Health for the Sanitary Board area, Kolar Gold Fields, and the Health Officers for the Cities of Bangalore and Mysore, shall under general instructions from the President, exercise all the powers conferred by the Regulation upon the President as the Local Executive Officer, and carry out all the duties required to be done for the proper working of the Regulation. No legal proceedings under this Regulation shall be instituted without the permission in writing of the President

The Sanitary Inspector of each division and the Sub Assistant Surgeon in each of the above mentioned Municipalities will likewise on behalf of the

12

the President, exercise powers for purposes of inspection under Section 7 and seizure of adulterated foods under Section 9. Whenever it is necessary to purchase samples for analysis such purchase shall be made under the orders or with the approval of the President or of the Medical Officer of Health.

Cleanliness of Vessels.

4. All vessels, receptacles or utensils used for manufacturing, preparing or containing any food intended for sale shall be cleansed with clean boiling water before and after use and shall be kept in a constant state of cleanliness In case of foods likely to be acted upon by the metal of the vessels, receptacles or utensils in which the food is manufactured, prepared or contained for purposes of sale, all precautions shall be taken to prevent such metalic contamination. The President of the Municipality will be competent to prescribe from time to time, either by general or special instructions, what precautions the manufacturer, dealer or salesman shall adopt in each case. Such instructions will for the purpose of the Regulation, be deemed as if forming part of these rules.

NOTE.- It is obviously not possible in a general set of rules of this nature to detail categorically the conditions as to the storing and preservation of various articles of food and the case to be exercised in the case of prepared foods. The purpose of the rule will be attained by empowering the Health Officer to impose terms in each individual case after inspecting the actual existing conditions.

How notice of admixture addition or deficiency shall be given.

5. If the vendor of any article of intended for food knows that it is admixed with any foreign substance whatever or that any component part has been

abstracted therefrom he shall give due notice of such admixture or abstraction by means of labels in English and Kannada affixed very conspicuously on each package or receptacle containing the article.

Sale of Milk.

6. Every dealer, importer, seller or hawker of milk should declare before the sale thereof whether it is buffalo or cow milk or skimmed or separated milk.

Legal standards for Milk.

7. Where a sample of Cow's milk (not being milk sold as skimmed or separated or condensed milk) contains less than 3.5 P.C. of milk-fat or less than 8.5 P.C. of solids other than milk-fat, it shall be presumed for the purposes of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation until the contrary is proved, that the milk is not genuine by reason of the abstraction therefrom of milk-fat, or of milk-solids other than milk-fat or the addition thereto of water.

Where a sample of buffalo milk (not being milk sold as skimmed or separated or condensed milk) contains less than 5 P.C. of milk-fat or less than 9 P.C. of solids other than milk-fat, or 4.1 P.C. of lactose it shall be presumed for the purposes of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation until the contrary is proved, that the milk is not genuine by reason of the abstraction therefrom of milk-fat or milk-solids other than milkfat or the addition thereto of water.

NOTE:- i. The above standards conform with those adopted in Bombay They are also supported by the results of a series of analyses conducted locally by Dr.M.Srinivasa Rao in the Public Health Institute. Dr.Srinivasa Rao, however thinks that the amount of fat being subjected to great variations, the most reliable test for adulteration is the percentage of lactose. The lactose content being remarkably constant 4.1 per cent may be taken as the average - not only the fact of adulteration but also its extent can be calculated easily without having recourse to the difficult process of determining the total milk-solids in the sample.

NOTE:- ii. The limits below which a presumption is raised that milk is not genuine are necessarily fixed at figures somewhat lower than those which are usually afforded by genuine milk in which are usually afforded by the proportion of milk-fat and non-fatty solids may frequently exceed the percentages specified above. It is therefore important to keep in view the possibility of the artificial reduction of the quality of natural milk to the official limits by the abstraction of cream or the addition of separated milk. On the other hand there may occasionally and especially in certain seasons of the year, be cases in which a sample of genuine milk may fall below the official limits of milk-fat and non-fatty solids. It may be desirable in such cases that further samples of milk should be taken and tested.

NOTE:- iii. It is open to question as to whether a legal Bacteriological standard should likewise not

not be adopted. The way in which milk is handled and kept in India affords a fruitful source of contamination and may sometimes give rise to acute infections diseases or to promaine poisoning.

NOTE:- iv. Detailed rules to enforce cleanliness of milk stores and milk shops do not perhaps come exclusively within the scope of the Regulation. A draft set of rules is however appended for consideration.

Legal standards for cream.

8. Where a sample of cream contains less than 20 per cent of milk-fat, it shall be presumed for the purposes of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation until the contrary is proved, that the cream is not genuine.

Legal standards for butter and ghee.

9. The legal standards for butter and ghee shall be as follows:-

(a) Butter:- Moisture 16 per cent.

Reichert-Meissel figure*

Cow's butter ... 13

Buffalo's butter.. 15

(b) Ghee. Reichert-Meissel figures same as above

Butyro-refractometer at 40° c. - 41° - 42°

* Note:- In Bombay and Calcutta, the Reichert-Meissel value, 28 - 30 is adopted.

Penalty for breach of r u l e s.

10. Every manufacturer, dealer or vendor who (a) disobeys, fails to comply with or acts in contravention of the provisions made under rule 4 herein in respect of cleanliness of vessels, receptacles or

131

or utensils used for manufacturing preparing or containing any food intended for sale or (b) neglets to affix labels to notify in the manner prescribed the fact of the admixture of foreign ingredients in the case of adulterated articles of food, or abstracts any component part ~~therefrom~~ of any article of food, or (c) does not make the declaration under Rule 5, or (d) manufactures, deals in, or sells milk, cream, butter, and ghee below the standard prescribed in Rules 6, 7, 8 and 9, shall, on conviction before a Magistrate, be punished with a fine which may extend to fifty rupees and with further fine which may extend to five rupees for every day on which the said disobedience or failure continues after the date of first conviction.

****

OFFG. SANITARY ~~COMMISSIONER~~.

(13)

127 of 23-24
1 copy of resolution
1 note

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

Bangalore City, dated 19th January 1 9 2 4.

4187-13

From

M.G. KRISHNASAMI RAO, ESQ., B.A.,

24869 Municipal Commissioner, Municipal Council,

BANGALORE CITY.

To



B. NAGAPPA, ESQ., Bar-at-Law.,
Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments,
Bangalore.

San 49-21-12

Sir,

Mr. H-S. 25 2711/24

With reference to your letter No.L.4066-67/San.49-21 dated 30-11-1923 calling for the views of the Municipal Council in the ---- matter of the introduction of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation within the limits of the City Municipality etc., I have the honor to state that the subject was placed before the Council at their Meeting held on the 5th January 1924.

2. The Council were of opinion that the Regulation might be introduced into the City. A copy of the resolution together with a note of the Health Officer is herein enclosed.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

M. G. Krishnasami Rao
Municipal Commissioner.

D.N.R.

Forwarded with compliments.

President,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore.

NO.23.

PROCEEDINGS OF AN ORDINARY GENERAL MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD IN THE COUNCIL CHAMBER ON SATURDAY THE 5TH JANUARY 1924 AT.8.A.M.

------:oOo:------

P R E S E N T.

B. K. Garudachar, Esq., (Honorary President)

COUNCILLORS.

1.Mr.C.V.Narasimha Iyengar,B.A.,B.L.,
2. " B.Chinnaswamyi Chetty
3. " Myakala Mallayya,
4. " P.M.Basappa,
5. " G.Siddaveerappa,
6. " D.Adeppa,
7. " B.V.Ramaswami Chetty,B.A.,
8. " T.Muniswamappa,
9. " R.Gopalaswami Iyer,
10.Mr.S.Venkatachela Iyengar,B.A.,B.L.,
11. " C.Hayavadana Rao,B.A.,B.L.,
12. " H.Krishnappa, B.A.,
13. " H.V.Chandrasekharaiya,B.A.,LL.B.,
14. " P.Subbarama Chetty,
15. " J.R.Isaac,B.A.,M.B.E.,
16. " M.Abbas Khan,
17. " B.Abdur Rahmon,B.A.,
18. " S.Venkataranga Iyengar,
19.Mr.V.S.Tiruvengadaswami Moodaliar.

A B S E N T.

1.Mr.W.H.Hanumanthappa,
2. " B.V.Krishnaengar,(Vice-President),
3. " M.Ramachandra Rao Sindia,
4. " S.Venkatarama Iyer,B.A.,
5.Mr.M.Raghavendra Rao,
6.Rao Sahib Mr.H.Chennayia,
7.Mr.P.Murugesam Pillai,
8. " J.E.Narasinga Rao,
9.Rev. W. H. Thorp,B.A.,
Vacant----------One.

------:oOo:--------

X X X

11. Correspondence ending with letter No.4066-67/49-21 dated 30-11-1923 from the Secretary to Government, Local and Legislative Departments, calling for the views of the Municipal Council regarding the introduction of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation within the limits of the Bangalore City Municipality and the question of the appointment of a Public Analyst under Section 4 thereof. Also Health Officer's Memo on the subject.

Resolution:- Resolved that the Regulation may be introduced into the City and that a copy of the Health Officer's Memo be submitted to Government.

X X X

Sd/B.K.Garudachar,
Hon.President.

"C O P Y"

M G Ramaswami Reddy

Municipal Commissioner,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore.

D.N.R.

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,
Bangalore City, dated 19th Jan. 1924.

Copy of Memo No.892 dated 16th November 1923 from the Health Officer, Bangalore City, submitted to the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore.

Submitted to the President:-

Submits herewith the following notes and a few draft Rules re: "The question of the introduction of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation No.IX of 1921 within the limits of the Bangalore City Municipality and (2) the question of the appointment of a Public Analyst under Section 4 of the said Regulation". for the approval of the Council as called for in his memo No.F.582 dated 17-10-1923. The subject may kindly be placed before the Municipal Council for early disposal.

Sd/- M.G.Srinivasa Peidpett,
Health Officer.

NOTES.

1. The Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 will be applicable to the City of Bangalore.

2. The Chemical Examiner to the Government of Mysore, Bangalore, shall be the Public Analyst for the area of Bangalore City and shall be entitled to a fee for each certificate that is granted as per schedule hereto attached.

3. The Health Officer of Bangalore City shall under general instructions from the President or Commissioner, City Municipal Council, Bangalore, be the Local Executive Officer, and carry out all the duties required to be done for the proper working of the Regulation. No legal proceedings under this Regulation shall be instituted without the permission in writing of the President or Commissioner.

4. A Chief Sanitary Inspector with the status of Sub Assistant Surgeon (with two peons) will be appointed to exercise powers of for purposes of inspection under Section 7 and seizure of adulterated foods under Section 9.

5. CLEANLINESS OF VESSELS:- All vessels, receptacles or utensils used for manufacturing, preparing or containing any food intended for

sale

sale shall be cleaned with clean boiling water before and after use and shall be kept in a constant state of cleanliness. In case of foods likely to be acted upon by the metal of the vessles, receptacles or --- utensil in which the food is manufactured, prepared or contained for purposes of sale, all precautions shall be taken to prevent such metallic contamination. The Health Officer of the Bangalore City will be ---- competent to prescribe from time to time, either by general or special instructions, what precautions the manufacturer, dealer or saleman shal adopt in each case. Such instructions will for the purpose of the -- Regulation, be deemed as if forming part of these rules.

Note:- It is obviously not possible in a general set of rules of this nature to detail categorically the conditions as to the storing and preservation of various articles of food and the case to be exercised in the case of prepared food The purpose of the rules will be attained by empowering the Health Officer to impose terms in each individual cas after inspecting the actual existing conditions.

HOW NOTICE OF ADMIXTURE, ADDITION OR DEFICIENCY SHALL BE GIVEN:-

6. If the vendor of any article intended for food knows that it is admixed with any foreign substance whatever or that any component part has been abstracted therefrom he shall give due notice of such admixtu or abstraction by means of labels in English and Kanada affixed very conspicously on each package or receptacle containing the article.

7. SALE OF MILK:- Every dealer, importer, seller or hawker of milk should declare before the sale thereof whether it is buffalo or cow milk or skimmed or separated milk.

8. LEGAL STANDARDS FOR MILK:- Where a sample of Cow's milk (not being milk sold as skimmed or separated or condensed milk) contains less than 3.5 P.C. of milk-fat or less than 8.5 P.C. of solids other than milk-fat, it shall be presumed for the purposes of Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation until the contrary is proved, that the milk is not genuine by reason of the abstraction therefrom of milk-fat or of milk-solids other than milk fat or the addition thereto of water.

Where a sample of buffalo milk (not being milk sold as skimmed or separated or condensed milk) contains less than 5 P.C. of milk-fat or less than 9 P.C. or solids other than milk-fat, or 4.1 P.C. of lactose it shall be presumed for the purposes of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation until the contrary is proved, that the milk

is not genuine by reason of the abstraction therefrom of milk-fat or mil solids other than milk fat or the addition thereto of water.

Note:- 1. The above standards conform with those adopted in Bombay. They are also supported by the results of a series of analyses conducted locally by Dr.M.Srinivasa Rao in the Public Health Institute. Dr.Srini Rao, however, thinks that the amount of fat being subjected to great variations, the most reliable test for adulteration is the percentage of lactose. The lactose content being remarkably constant--4.1 per.cent may be taken as the average--not only the fact of adulteration but also its extent can be calculated easily without having recourse to the difficult process of determining the total milk-solids in the sample.

Note ii. The limits below which a presumption is raised that milk is not genuine are necessarily fixed at figure somewhat lower than those which are usually afforded by genuine milk in which the proportion of --- milk-fat and non-fatty solids may frequently exceed the percentages ----- specified above. It is therefore important to keep in view the possibility of the artificial reduction of the quality of natural milk to the official limits by the abstraction of cream or the addition of separated milk. On the other hand, there may occasionally, and especially in certain seasons of the year, be cases in which a sample of genuine milk may fall below the official limits of milk-fat and non-fatty solids. It may be desirable in such cases that further samples of milk should be taken and tested.

Note iii:- It is open to question as to whether a legal Bacteriological standard should likewise not be adopted. The way in which milk is handled and kept in India affords a fruitful source of contamination and may sometime give rise to acute infectous diseases or to ptomaine poisoning.

Note iv:- Detailed Rules to enforce cleanliness of milk stores and milk shops do not perhaps come exclusively within the scope of the Regulation.

CLEANLINESS OF MILK STORES AND MILK SHOPS:-

Every cow-keeper who is the occupier of a milk-store or milk shop shall cause every part of the interior of such milk-store or milk-

milk-shop to be thoroughly cleaned from time to time as often as may be necessary to maintain such milk-stores or milk-shop in a thorough state of cleanliness.

ii. He shall cause the floor and drain of every such rooms or place and every shelf or bench on which milk vessels are kept to be washed and thoroughly cleansed daily.

iii. He shall cause the floor or every such milk-shop to be paved throughout with suitable impervious material approved by the Health Officer and paving shall be so sloped as to ensure effectual drainage having gradient of not less than 1 in 30.

iv. He shall cause very part of the internal surface of the walls and ceilings of every such milk-shop to be thoroughly lime-washed twice at least in every year or oftener if so required by the Health Officer.

v. No dealer, importer, seller or hawker of milk shall cause or suffer any cow or buffalo belonging to him or under his care or control to be milked for the purpose of obtaining milk for sale.

1. Unless, at the time of milking, the udder and teats of such cow or buffalo are thoroughly clean.

2. Unless the hands of the person milking such, are thoroughly clean and free from all infection and contamination and

3. Unless the milk receiving vessle is thoroughly clean and rinsed with clean boiling water.

vi. Every cowkeeper shall from time to time as often as may be necessary cause every milk-vessel that may be used by him for containing milk for sale to be thoroughly cleansed with steam or clean boiling water, and shall otherwise take all proper precautions for the maintenance of such milk-vessle in a constant state of cleanliness.

vii. He shall, on every occasion when any such vessel shall have been used to contain milk, or shall have been returnd to him after having been out of his possession, cause such vessel to be forthwith so cleansed.

viii. Every purveyor of milk or person selling milk by retail shall take all reasonable and proper precautions, in and in connection with the storage and distribution of milk, and otherwise, to prevent the exposure of the milk to any infection or contamination.

ix. He shall not ~~depot~~ deposit or keep any milk intended for sale:-

a) In any room or place where it would be liable to become infected or contaminated by impure air, or by any offensiv noxious or deleterious gas or substance, or by any noxious or injurious emanation, exhalation or effluvium; or

b). In any room used as a kitchen or as a living room; or

c). In any room or building or part of a building, communicatin directly by door, window or otherwise with any room used a sleeping-room, or in which there may be any person sufferi from any infectious or contagious disease, or which may have been used by any person suffering from any such disease and may not have been properly disinfected; or

d). In any room or building, or part of a building in which there may be any direct inlet to any drain.

x. It shall not be lawful for any person following the trade or cowkeeper or dairyman or purveyor of milk, or being the occupier of a milk-store or milk-shop:-

a). To allow any person suffering from a dangerous infectious disorder or having recently been in contact with a person so suffering, to milk the cows or to handle vessels used for containing milk for sale, or in any way to take part or assist in the conduct of the trade or business of the cowkeeper or milkpshop, or dairyman, purveyor of milk, or occupier of a milk-store, so far as regards the production distribution or storage of milk; or

b) If himself so suffering or having recently been in contact as aforesaid, to milk cows or handle vessels used for ---- containing milk for sale, or in any way to take part in the conduct of his trade or business, as far as regards the production, distribution, or storage of milk.

until in each case all danger therefrom of the communication of infection to the milk or of its contamination has ceased.

xi. It shall not be lawful for any person following the trade of Cowkeeper or dairyman or purveyor of milk, or being the occupier of a milk-store or milk-shop, to use the milk-store or milk-shop in his occupation, or permit the same to be used, as a sleeping apartment, or for any purpose incompatible with the proper preservation of the cleanliness of the milk-store or milk-shop and of the milk-vessel and milk therein or in any manner likely to cause contamination of the milk therein.

xii. No vendor of milk shall convey any milk for sale or distribution in open vessels, but the latter shall be provided with proper close fitting covers under look and key and a tap at the lower end through which milk shall be retailed to the customers. If the milk

is distributed in cans they shall also be provided with properly fixed covers.

xiii. He shall not at any time mix with other milk, or sell or use for human food the milk of any cow or buffalo which may be suffering from Tuberculosis, Rinderpest, Foot and mouth disease, or disease of the udder which may be certified by a Veterinary Surgeon or Inspector to be tubercular.

xiv. He shall not retail the milk to his customers by dipping his hands into the vessels.

xv. He shall at all time protect the milk, which is intended for sale from dust and flies by providing suitable covers to the milk vessels.

8 LEGAL STANDARDS FOR CREAM:- Where a sample of cream contains less than 20 per cent of milk-fat, it shall be presumed for the purposes of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation until the contrary is proved, that the cream is not genuine.

LEGAL STANDARDS FOR BUTTER AND GHEE:-

9. The legal standards for butter and ghee shall be as follows:-

(a) Butter:- Mositure sixteen per cent
Reicheri-meissel figures
Cows' butter 13
Buffalo's butter 15.

(Note:- In Bombay and Calcutta the Reichert-meissel value, 28-30 is adopted.)

(b) Ghee:- Reichert-meissel figures same as above
Butyro-refractometer at 40^{o}c-41^{o} - 42^{o}

PENALTY FOR BREACH OF RULES:-

10. Every manufacturer, dealer or vendor who (a) disobeys, fails to comply with or acts in contravention of the provisions made under rule 4 herein in respect of cleanliness of vessels, receptacles or utensils used for manufacturing, preparing or containing any food intended for sale or (b) neglects to affix labels to notify in the manner prescribed the fact of the admixture of foreign ingredient in the case of adulterated articles of food, or abstracts any component part therefrom of any article of food, or (c) does not make declaration under rule 5, or (d) manufactures, deals, in, or sells milk cream.

cream, butter, and ghee below the standard prescribed in Rule, six seven, eight and nine, shall, on conviction before ~~the~~ a Magistrate, be punished with a fine which may extend to fifty rupees and with further fine which may extend to five rupees for every day on which the said disobedience or failure continues after the date of first conviction.

Sd/- M.G.Srinivasa Peidp
Health Officer,
Bangalore City.

VIDE GOVERNMENT PROCEEDINGS NO. 11665/Mis.F.2896

dated 17th March 1898.

~~Sx~~ Scale of fees prescribed by the Government of Mysore for Chemical and Bacteriological Analyses.

	Rs.
1. Analyses of soils, manures, etc.,	40
2. Complete chemical Analyses of water for commercial purposes	30
3. Analyses of Food Stuff's & drugs	25
4. Ordinary chemical Analyses of water for sanitary purposes	20
5. Chemical analyses of wine, spirit etc.,	20
6. Examination of water and other substances for enteric, anthrax or any other microbe	10
7. Examination of water and other substances for cholera microbe.	5.

"C O P Y"

M G Kuttanasami Rao

Municipal Commissioner,

City Municipal Council,

Bangalore.

D,N.R.

(22)

EXTRACTS FROM THE PROCEEDINGS OF THE MEETING OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, BANGALORE, HELD ON 1ST NOVEMBER 1 9 2 4.

-----:oOo:-----

X X X X

Subject No.32:- Correspondence ending with letter No.C.771-67/ dated 30-6-1924 from the Sanitary Commissioner regarding the introduction of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation within the limits of the Bangalore City Municipality and the Health Officer's Memo dated 1-10-1924 thereon.

Resolution:- A recommendation may be made to the Government on the lines now suggested by the Health Officer.

X X X X

Sd/. B.K.Garudachar,
Honorary President.

"C O P Y"

Municipal Commissioner,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore.

D.N.R.

Copy of ~~in~~ Memo. dated 1st October 1924 from the Health Officer to the Municipal Commissioner, City Municipal Council, Bangalore.

In submitting the draft Rules in connection with the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation, I am of opinion an Officer of the grade of Sanitary Inspector may be appointed with the designation "Food Inspector".

2. As regards legal standard for Milk 3% of ~~mux~~ milk ~~fax~~ fat may be adopted as has been done by Sanitary --- Board, Kolar Gold Fields.

3. Regarding the cleanliness of Milk Stores and Milk shops "or wherever Health Officer requires it to be done" may be added at the end of Para 1 page 4.

4. On page 6, the sentence (3rd line) "If the milk is distributed in cans they shall also be provided with properly fixed covers" may be omitted.

5. Regarding Legal Standard for Butter and Ghee-- the words "not exceeding" may be added for the sentence to be "Moisture not exceeding 16%."

6. The by-products of milk viz., Curds, Butter Milk and Butter shall be brought for sale in clean Aluminium Vessels provided with properly fitting covers. This may be added, as Clause (e) to Rules IX.

"C O P Y"

M. G. Krishnamachar

Municipal Commissioner,
City Municipal Council,
Bangalore.

his

D.N.R.

Proceedings of the 17th(Ordinary) meeting of the City Municipal Council, Mysore, held in the Rangacharlu Memorial Hall on Wednesday the 27th February 1924 at 8 A.M.

Present.

Rajasabhabhushana Diwan Bahadur

C.Srikantesvara Aiyar, B.A.,B.L., President.

1. Mahomed Sait, Esq.,
2. K.Dhanakotichetty, Esq.,
3. V.Thiruvengada Modaliyar. Esq..
4. M.Madappa, Esq.,
5. Sreekantachar, Esq.,
6. M.Narayanaswami, Esq
7. Tippu Sultan Alli Khan,Esq.,
8. T.S.Siddappa, Esq., L.M & S.,
9. M.K.Madhava Rao,Powar, Esq.,
10. B.Munivenkatappa, Esq.,
11. P.S.Anantha Rao, Esq.,
12. U.R.Lingoji Rao,Esq.,
13. E.S.Edwards.,

Absent.

1. S.P.Rajagopalachari,Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
2. B.Basavaradhya,Esq.,B.A.,B.L.,
3. Y.Virupaksmiah, Esq.,
4. Rev.J.Cochet.,
5. Khan Bahadur P.Pallonji,Esq.
6. Mahomed Jaffer Saheb,Esq.,
7. Charles Theobald,Esq.,
8. E.Prushothama Anandagiri Goswamy,Esq.,
9. C.M.Abdul Aziz Pasha,Esq.,
10. Dharmaprakasa D.Banumiah,Esq
11. Sowcar V.Thimmiah,Esq.
12. N.Setwaji Rao,Esq.,
13. M.L.Vasudeviah,Esq.,
14. Settappa Siddappa,Esq.
15. Lokasevaniratha Amble Anniah Pandit,Esq.,
16. H.Lingaraj Urs,Esq.,

X X X

XVI. Letter No.C.771-44- dated 29th July 1923 from the Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of Mysore, regarding the question of the (1) introduction of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 within the limits of the Mysore City Municipality and (2) appointment of Public Analyst under Section 4 of the said Regulation.

Resolved that the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation No.IX of 1921 be introduced within the limits of the Mysore City Municipality.

x x

Sd/-C.Sriakntesvara Aiyar,
President.

" COPY "

C Srikantesvara Aiyar

President.

Department of Public Health in Mysore.

51269

OFFICE OF THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER
WITH THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated....23rd June 1925.

No. C 771 84
Enc. 1 one

GENL. & REV. SECTT. Br. 2 23. JUN. 1925 G. D. SECTION.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECTT. 82062 24 JUN. 1925 LOCAL SELF GOVT.

From B. MAHOMED USMON, ESQ., L.M. & S.,
~~XX.XX.XRANK,XESQ.,XB.P.H.X,XEXC,~~
Officer Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.

To

B. BAGAPPA, ESQ., Barat-law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local & Legislative Departments, BANGALORE.

Sir

78252 9/6

In continuation of this office letter No. C.771-8 dated the 5th June 1925, I have the honor to forward the accompanying copy of letter No. 2529 dated the 12th June 1925, from the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, intimating that the Council have accorded final approval to the revised bye-laws (copy already submitted to Government) for the working of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921, within the limits of the Mysore City.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M Usmon

A.R.M.

SANITARY COMMISSIONER, M.G.

From

THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER IN
MYSORE, BANGALORE.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Letter No. 2529 dated the 12th June 1925 from the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

In continuation of this office letter No. 2202 dated 14th May 1925, forwarding a copy of the revised draft rules for the working of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 in the Mysore City Municipal area, I have the honor to state that the said rules have been finally approved by the Municipal Council in their Resolution No. 324 dated 6th June 1925, (copy enclosed herein for reference.

" C O P Y "

Sanitary Commissioner.

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH IN MYSORE.

No. C177-82

Enc. 23.31 (Nundgone)

OFFICE OF THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER
with the Government of Mysore,
~~Bangalore~~ Camp Mysore, dated the 5th June 1925.

From

B. MAHOMED USMON, ESQ., L.M. & S.,
Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, ~~BANGALORE~~. Camp Mysore

To

B. NAGAPPA, ESQ., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Camp Mysore
Local and Legislative Departments, ~~BANGALORE~~.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your letter No. L. 8381/San. 49-21- dated the 22nd May 1925, I have the honor to forward the marginally noted file of papers relating to the introduction of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 into the Municipalities of the Kolar District and the Bangalore and Mysore Cities.

1. Resolutions of the following Municipal Councils: Kolar, Mulbagal, Srinivaspur Chintamani, Sidlaghatta, Bagepalli, Goribidnur, Gudibanda, Chickballapur, Malur and Bowringpet, with a summary of the resolutions.
2. Letter No. 127 dated 19/21st January 1924 with 2 enclosures from the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore, received with Local and Legislative Secretary's letter No. L.6625/San. 49-21-13 dated the 22nd February 1924.
3. Copy of further letter No.Mis.1098 dated the 14th November 1924 from the President, City Municipal Council, Bangalore.
4. Resolution No.XVI dated 27th February 1924 of the Municipal Council, Mysore City.
5. Copy of letter No.2202 dated the 14th May 1925 from the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, with the revised draft rules in original.

2. It will be seen from the summary (enclosed) of the resolutions of the several Municipal Councils in the Kolar District that all the Municipalities except Srinivaspur are agreeable to the introdution of the Regulation into their respective areas. The Municipal Council of Srinivaspur do not find any need for the Regulation at present.

3. As regards the Bangalore and Mysore Cities the Councils are

agreed that the Regulation may be brought into force immediately. Draft rules under Section 20 of the Regulation proposed by them are herewith submitted. These rules are in the main, the same as those proposed by the Sanitary Commissioner and approved for adoption on the Kolar Gold Fields under Government Notification No. L. 2100/San. 4921-18 dated the 11th September 1924. There is however one important difference, which is that dairies are not proposed to be licensed as ~~as~~ on the Kolar Gold Fields. Licensing is an essential condition for the successful working of the Regulation but as apparently the Municipal Councils are not in favor of it, the question need not be pressed for the present

4. In the rules for Mysore City, legal standards for cream are omitted perhaps because there is no local demand for not manufacture and sale of the product. Instead, standards for cheese and butter-milk & curds have been newly added. These are very desirable additions and may be accepted. The standards proposed are suitable and, as will be noted, the standard for butter-milk and curds takes due note of the very common adulterants used with regard to them viz., flour and conjee. The additional rules should also be inserted in the draft proposed for the Bangalore City.

5. A special subordinate with the designation of "Food Inspector" is proposed to be employed in the Bangalore City whereas both in Mysore and on Kolar Gold Fields the inspections, - with the further action arising under the rules, are assigned partly to the Sanitary Inspectors of the several divisions. There can however be no objection to a different arrangement obtaining in Bangalore City.

The notes under rule 8(Legal Standard for Milk) for the Bangalore City may be omitted as they are merely explanatory remarks intended to facilitate consideration of the subject and do not form part of the rules

~~The subsidiary rules for milk stores and~~ A provision for penalty for breach of/milkshops should be added as in the case of Mysore City and Kolar Gold Fields.)

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant.

SANITARY COMMISSIONER, M.G.

Letter No. Mis. 1098 dated the 14th November 1924 from the Municipal Commissioner, Bangalore City Municipal Council, to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore

---

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. C.771-67 dated 30th June 1924, regarding the introduction of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation within the limits of the Bangalore City Municipality, I have the honor to state that the subject was again considered by the Municipal Council at the meeting held on the 1st November 1924 together with the suggestions of the Health Officer contained in his memo dated 1-10-1924 and the Council is of opinion that a recommendation may be made to Government for the introduction of the Regulation in the Bangalore City on the lines now suggested by the Health Officer. A copy of the resolution of the Council together with a copy of the Health Officer's Memo referred to is forwarded. Early sanction of Government may be obtained for the introduction of the Regulation into the Bangalore City, as the need for it is being felt very much.

Letter No. 2202 dated the 14th May 1925 from the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysoere, Bangalore.

With reference to correspondence ending with this office letter No. 1588 dated 14th March 1925, re: the introduction of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation within the limits of the Mysore City Municipality, I have the honor to state that a set of draft rules to be issued under the said Regulation were prepared by the Health Committee and the same were considered and formarly approved by the Council, with certain suggestions.

I am enclosing a copy of the draft rules, as now revised for favor of further action.

I have, however, to add that rule 1 differs from that drafted by the Health Committee and contains a provision for fees to be charged for certificates granted by the Public Analyst. This provision has yet to receive the approval of the Municipal Council which will be asked for at the next meeting of the Council.

Since read
vide San Comrs
Letter no C.771-82
23.6.25.
[illegible] no 8906

"COPIES"

Sanitary Commiss.

R E S O L U T I O N S.

Kolar	Unanimously resolved that the resolution may be introduced within the Municipal area of Kolar town.
Mulbagal.	Resolved that this is an important regulation and may be introduced in the Municipality.
Srinivasapur.	Resolved that at present there is no necessity for the Regulation in this town.
Chintamani.	Resolved that the Regulation may be brought into force in the Municipality.
Sidlaghatta.	Resolved that the Regulation may be brought into force in the Municipality.
Bagepalli.	Resolved that the Regulation may be brought into force in the Municipality
Goribidnur.	Sanction may be applied for for extending the Regulation to this Municipality.
Gudibanda.	Resolved that this resolution may be introduced to this Municipality and that a recommendation may be made accordingly.
Chickballapur.	The Council is unanimous in its opinion that the Regulation should be introduced.
Malur.	Five members were for, two were against and one member was neutral. It was resolved to recommend the introduction of the Regulation.
Bowringpet.	Intrdduction may be recommended.

" C O P Y "

SANITARY COMMISSIONER, M.G.

Extract from the Proceedings of the 7th ordinary meeting of the Kolar Town Municipal Council for the year 1922-23 held on 27th January 1923.

* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *

11. Read- District Board President's no 80/22-23 of 7-12-22 re the introduction of Abullaration Regulation in certain areas

Resolution :— unanimously resolved that the Regulation may be introduced within the municipal area of Kolar Town

"True Extract"

B Narayanaswamy
President

39

Extract from the resolution of the meeting held on 11.1.1923 in the Municipal office Mulbagal

× × × ×

× × × ×

V Adulteration Regulation: ಆಹಾರ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಬೆರಕೆ ಮತ್ತು ಆಹಾರ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳ ಮಾರಾಟದ ಅಭಿವೃದ್ಧಿಯ ಕಟ್ಟಳೆಯ ಕಲಂ 80/22-23 ಕ್ಕೆ ಸಂಬಂಧಿಸಿದಂತೆ:-

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಕಟ್ಟಳೆಯ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಿಸಲು ಸೂಚಿಸಿದೆ.

(Signed) ಕಾರ್ಯನಿರ್ವಹಣಾಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳು ಮುಲಬಾಗಿಲು

Dated 11.1.1923

ಸತ್ಯ ಪ್ರತಿಯನ್ನು

Extract"

K Subbarao
President
Municipal Council
Mulbagal
18.12.23.

ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಪುರ ಪುರಸಭೆಯ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ
ದಿನಾಂಕ ೨೦ನೇ ಮಾರ್ಚ್ ೧೯೫೦ ರಂದು ಮಧ್ಯಾಹ್ನ
೩ ಗಂಟೆಗೆ ಸಭೆಯು ಸೇರಿದ್ದಾಗ ಹಾಜರಿದ್ದ ಸದಸ್ಯರು

ಹಾಜರಿ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆ:- ಒಟ್ಟು ೧೨

× × × × × × × × × ×

Mysore provincial Adulteration regulation ಅಡಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಪುರ ಪುರಸಭೆಯ ವ್ಯಾಪ್ತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾರಾಟ ಮಾಡುವ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲಬೆರಕೆ ಮಾಡುವುದನ್ನು ತಡೆಗಟ್ಟುವ ಸಲುವಾಗಿ ಪುರಸಭೆಯ ಪ್ರಸ್ತಾವನೆ ನಂ. ಪ. ಸ ೪೦/೨೮ ನೇ ಸಂಖ್ಯೆಯ ಮೇರೆಗೆ ಸ್ಥಳೀಯ ಆಯುರ್ವೇದ ವೈದ್ಯರಾದ ಶ್ರೀ ಎಂ. ವೆಂಕಟರಾಮಪ್ಪನವರನ್ನು ಪುರಸಭೆಯ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಹಾರ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಕರನ್ನಾಗಿ ನೇಮಿಸಲು ಈ ಸಭೆಯು ಒಪ್ಪಿಗೆ ನೀಡಿದೆ. ಅವರಿಗೆ ಪರೀಕ್ಷಣೆಗಾಗಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಪದಾರ್ಥಗಳ ಮಾದರಿಗಳನ್ನು ಪುರಸಭೆಯ ಆರೋಗ್ಯ ಪರಿವೀಕ್ಷಕರು ಸಂಗ್ರಹಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ನಿರ್ಣಯಿಸಲಾಯಿತು.

× × × × × × × × × ×

(Sd) S. Abdul Khalak
President
Municipal Council
Srinivaspur

"Copy"
[illegible]
Vice President
Municipal Council
Srinivaspur

Proceedings of the V th Ordinary Meeting of the Chintamani Town Municipal Council held on 26-11-23 at 4 P.M. in Th. municipal office

× ×

III

[illegible]

[illegible]

× × ×

/Extract/

President,
Municipal Council,
Chintamani

1923 సంవత్సరం అక్టోబరు నెల 31 వ తేదీ బాపట్ల పురపాలక సంఘ కార్యాలయమున సంఘ అధ్యక్షులు శ్రీమాన్ N. చౌడయ్య గారి అధ్యక్షతన సమావేశమైన సభ్యుల పేర్లు :—

క్రమ సంఖ్య	పేరు :-	హాజరు/గైరు హాజరు
1	N. చౌడయ్య గారు	హాజరు
2	Mr. B. S. నాగభూషణం గారు	హాజరు
3	" వి. సుబ్బయ్య నాయుడు గారు	హాజరు
4	" సుబ్బరాయులు గారు	హాజరు
5	" దుగ్గిరిపాటి వీరభద్రుడు గారు	హాజరు
6	" కోటేశ్వరమ్మ గారు	హాజరు
7	" గుడిపాటి దుగ్గిరి నాయుడు గారు	హాజరు
8	" నీలగిరి వారు	హాజరు
9	" ఈదుమూడి వెంకటప్పయ్య గారు	హాజరు
10	" రామయ్య గారు	హాజరు
11	" మలకాటి చిన్న వేంకటప్పయ్య గారు	హాజరు
12	" కె. నిధి బాబు గారు	హాజరు

× × × ×

Subject No. IV = తీర్మానించిన అంశాలు అంటే Prevention of Adulteration Regulation చట్టం గురించి/విచారించడం గురించి రిగ్నల్ లెటర్ గురించి కలిసిన కమిటీ వారు తీర్మానించిన విషయాలు 16 10/23 నాడు వచ్చిన G.M.C. No. 22 నాటి సంబంధించి చర్చ :—

Resolution :- ఈ రిగ్నల్ లెటర్ చూసిన తర్వాత అందరి సలహాలు

× × × × × × ×

(sd) N. Chowdaya
31-10-23. President
Municipal Council
Bapatla

"Copy"
President
Municipal Council

ಶಾಲೆಯ 27.12.23ರಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಯುವ ಕಾರ್ಯಕ್ರಮಗಳನ್ನು ಕೆಳಕಂಡಂತೆ ನಿಗದಿಪಡಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ —

ಹಾಜರಿ — ಕೈಬರಹ

1 to 4 × × × × × × × × × 1 to 5

IX — ಅಭ್ಯರ್ಥಿಗಳಿಗೆ ಸೂಚನೆಗಳು — ಅಂಕಗಳನ್ನು ಲೆಕ್ಕಹಾಕುವಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಕಗಳ ಕೂಡುವಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಪ್ಪಾಗಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ

ದಿನಾಂಕ 1-3-24 ರಂದು ಅಂಕಗಳ ಪಟ್ಟಿಯನ್ನು ಪ್ರಕಟಿಸಲಾಗುವುದು ಮತ್ತು ಅಂಕಗಳ ಕೂಡುವಿಕೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಪ್ಪಾಗಿರುವ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಗಳು ಬಂದಿವೆ

ಸಹಿ/- K. Subba Ra

Amildar & Pres.

27.12.23.

Extract

ಸಹಿ/-

for President

27/12/23

Proceedings of the 5th ordinary
meeting of the Endabandu municipal Council
held in the Sub Taluk Office on 30th Dec. 22

* * * * * * * *

Read letter No. RC. 180/22-23 dated the 7/8-12-22
from the President S.L. Board, Kolar,
Calling for the views of the Councills re the
introduction of Mysore Prevention
of Adulteration Regulation in certain
areas etc

Resolved that the Regulation may be
introduced to this municipality and
that a recommendation may be made
accordingly.

Sd/- R. Pattumotappa
President
Endabandu

Extract

[illegible]
V.P.

Proceedings of the meeting of the 15
Chickballapur Town Municipal Council
held on 27.4.1923

Present

S Abdul Wajid Esqr BA President

× × ×

'3 Read depy Commissioners memo No ml 80 dated 7.12.22 Calling for the views of the ml Council re the introduction of Simpson Procedure of Administration Regln in certain areas

The Council is unanimous in the opinion that the Regulation should be introduced in the whole of the district

× × ×

Sd S Abdul Wajid
President

Extract
Under my hand and seal of Office
for President

Proceedings of the Municipal (11) meeting held on
26 2.23 of Malur municipality

× × ×

(10) Mysore adulteration Regulation ಪ್ರಕಾರ
ಆಹಾರದಲ್ಲಿ ಕಲ್ಮಷವಿರುವುದಾಗಿ ಅನ್ನಿಸಿದಲ್ಲಿ ಅಂಥ
ಸಾಮಾನುಗಳನ್ನು Act 89 ಪ್ರಕಾರ 7 ದಿವಸದ ಅವಧಿ
Resolution :- ಹಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಮಂಜಾಗುತ್ತಿರುವುದು ಗಮನಕ್ಕೆ
ಬಂದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಇನ್ನು ಮುಂದೆ ಹಾಲಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನೀರು
ಬೆರೆಸಿದ Neutral ಹಾಲನ್ನು ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೆಂದು
ತೀರ್ಮಾನಿಸಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಆಯಿತು

× × ×

(Sd) a arumachalam
Mudaliar
President
Malur municipal Co

True Copy
28/2/23

5/2/23
Vice president

(12)

Extract of the proceedings of an ordinary monthly meeting of the Bobbili municipal Council held on Thursday the 28th September 22 at 5.30 pm in the municipal office

× × × ×

Introduction of the Nuisance Prevention of Adulteration Regulation within municipal Limits.

Resolution:-

Introduction may be recommended

× × × × ×

Sd/- S Dharma Rao
President
Bbl Mnl Council

Copy
A.R. for W/s
For precedent

156/23

App. VI G1.] B650

SUBJECT

Section San

File No. HG-21

Diary No. of letter replied to 8206

Serial No. 20

No. 4582

To The Sanitary Comr

in Mysore

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Sir,

Date of issue 25/7/25

Signed by 2nd T.I.C.S

With reference to your letter no C.771-8 dated 23.6.1925 regarding the introduction of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration & Regulation into Municipalities, I am directed to invite reference to paragraph 2 of your letter no C.771-38 & 2nd May / 5th June 1923 and state that the Regulations may be introduced into one or two selected areas in each district as an experimental

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

over

measure

measure —

I would request you to afford an
to intimate at a very early
date the names of ~~the~~ in each of
~~date of the~~ towns so selected for
the introduction of the Rly

I have etc

D/27/7

Lodge for yours

JBP

21/7/27

07266

DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC HEALTH IN MYSORE.

No. C.77-86

Enc.

OFFICE OF THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER
with the Government of Mysore,

Bangalore, dated the 12th August 1925.

GEN'L. & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
13 AUG. 1925
11661
LOCAL SELF. GOVT. Br.
G. D. SECTION
13 AUG. 1925

From

B. MAHOMED USMON, ESQ., L.M. & S.,
Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.

To

B. NAGAPPA, ESQ., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments, BANGALORE.

Sir

With reference to your letter No. L.582/San. 49-21-20 dated the 25th July 1925, I have the honor to state that out of the 38 Municipalities that are agreeable to the introduction of the Prevention of Adulteration Regulation, the following may be selected in the first instance, - on account of their importance either as District Head Quarter towns or as commercial centres :-

BANGALORE DISTRICT.
- Bangalore City.
- Chennapatna.
- Closepet.

KOLAR DISTRICT.
- Kolar town.
- Chintamani.
- Chickballapur.
- Bowringpet.

TUMKUR DISTRICT.
- Tiptur.

MYSORE DISTRICT.
- Mysore City.
- Nanjangud.

SHIMOGA DISTRICT.
- Shimoga town.
- Sagar.

KADUR DISTRICT.
- Chickmagalur.

CHITALDRUG DISTRICT.
- Chitaldrug town.

2) In regard to the District Head Quarter towns of Tumkur and Hassan it is regrettable that the Municipal Councils do not favor the introduction of the Regulation. In the interests not only of the towns but of the district as a whole it is desirable that the Coumcilb should reconsider their decision.

The other Municipalities in which there is particular need for the extension of the provisions of the Regulation are : - Seringapatam, Saklespur, Arsikere, Tirthahalli, Kadur, Mudgere, Davangere and Harihar. The question of the introduction of

the Regulation to these towns should receive the early consideration of the respective Municipal Council's.

3) It is hardly necessary to add that unless the question is tackled at the source by bringing under regulation all the important towns which act as centres of distribution of food-stuffs, maximum results cannot follow.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

A.R.M.

SANITARY COMMISSIONER, M.G.

1592

August

Department of Public Health in Mysore.

OFFICE OF THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER
WITH THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

Bangalore, dated.....10.....10.....192 5.

No. C 771-88
Enc. One

GEN'L. & REV. SECTT. Dte. 12 OCT. 1925 G. D. SECTION

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT 24671 14 OCT LOCAL SELF GOVT. SECTION

From

B. MAHOMED USMON, ESQ., L.M. & S
~~xAxKxPANK,xEsq.,xD.P.H.,xEtc.~~,
~~xOffg.~~ *Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of*
H. H. the Maharaja of Mysore, BANGALORE.

To

B. NAGAPPA, ESQ., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Local and Legislative Departments, Bangalore.

Sir,

In continuation of my un-official note No. C.771-87 dated the 14th August 1925 regarding subsidiary rules under the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Act, I have the honor to submit herewith copies of replies since received from the Health Officers of the Cities of Bombay, Calcutta and Madras, wherefrom it will be seen that no bye-laws for the prevention of adulterated foodstuffs have yet been framed in any of these Cities.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Sanitary Commissioner, M.G.

A.R.M.

From

THE SANITARY COMMISSIONER IN
MYSORE, BANGALORE.

To

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

SUBJECT.

Letter No. H. 2371 dated the 21st August 1925 from the Health Officer, Corporation of Calcutta, to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No. C.141 dated the 11th August 1925, I have the honor to refer you to sections 406 - 410 of the Calcutta Municipal Act 1923, I regret I have no copy of the Act to spare.

No bye-laws for the prevention of adulterated food-stuffs have yet been framed.

Letter No. 5958 dated the 3rd September 1925 from the Health Officer, Corporation of Madras, to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

With reference to your letter No. 141 dated 11/12th August 1925, I have the honor to inform you that no bye-laws have been framed on the subject referred to therein as yet.

Letter No. H.137/C. dated the 29th September 1925 from the Health Officer, Corporation of Bombay to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, Bangalore.

Ref: Your letter No. C.141 of 12-8-1925.

I have the honor to say that so far as this Municipality is concerned, there is no Act in force for the prevention of Adulteration of articles of Food. A bill on this subject was introduced in the Bombay Legislative Council in its last sessions and a report of the proceedings will be found on page 11 of the Times of India of the 21st July 1925.

There is, however, a "Bombay Act No. 11 of 1899" known as the Bombay Prevention of Adulteration Act, a copy of which may be obtained from the Government Central Press, Bombay.

" C O P I E S "

M Mahmood

Sanitary Commissioner, M.G.

App.F.VI-G1.] B650

SUBJECT

Diary No. of letter replied to 2467

Section Fin

File No. HQ 21

Serial No. 23

DO No. 2467

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Date of issue 23/10/25

Signed by Mr. T.K.S

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Dear Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter no. C 171-88 of 10.10.25 regarding the framing of the rule, of under the Bombay Prevention of Adulteration Regulation, I am desired to request you to kindly arrange to discuss the question with the Secretary Municipal Council when you see him next & forward him further information on the following points as for as possible:-

1) Why does Govt should be

over

Degree of Premier

Immunologists in India in this respect

v) Nature of instrument

required for tests

3) Qualification of the

Agency (a) In what ~~Country~~

of cases ~~Cases~~ the test can

be made by the Sanitary Inspectors

if instruments are supplied to them

In what cases the samples

will have to be sent to the Laboratory

Yours sincerely

WD
28/7/26

WK dtd by post

23.10.25

R. McD. Wilson Esq I.M.S.

Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore

Bangalore

[App.F.VI-G1.] B650

SUBJECT

Section San
File No. AG-28
Serial No. 44

Diary No. of letter replied to 2467

No. 23504

To The Secretary Govt

General and Revenue Secretariat
( ) 2 Sep
, the 192

Sir,

Date of issue 16/4/25

In continuation of my D.O. letter No. 2967/ San dt. 21-23 of 23.10.24 requesting you to be so good as to see the 2nd member of Council in connection with the framing of the rules and Opium Law Prevention of Adulteration Act, I am again requested you to be so good as to expedite your views on the several points referred to in the D.O. letter referred to above.

Yours sincerely
D. Jenett

List of enclosures

Copied by contained

Examined by

16/4/25

over

16/4/25 16/4/25

20

D.O. No. C 771-91

Office of the Sanitary Commissioner with the Government of Mysore

Bangalore, dated the 12th Dec. 1925.

GENERAL & REVENUE SECRETARIAT
3962
14 DEC. 1925
LOCAL SELF GOVT. BRANCH

San 49-21-25

Dear Sir,

With reference to the correspondence ending with your D.O. letter No. 3504 dated the 14th November 1925, enquiring why this Government should be ahead of premier Cities in India in enforcing the Prevention of Adulteration Regulation, I write to state that wholesome food, pure water and fresh air are, as it were, the tripods on which the general well-being of a community is founded. The question really therefore seems to me to be how soon arrangements aiming at securing these fundamental needs are brought about in every local area. The enactment of rules relating to the prevention of food adulteration is, I believe, already receiving or must soon receive attention in the several Provinces in British India also.

As regards the Laboratory equipment needed, the existing apparatus and fittings will answer, - with the addition of a few appliances the cost of which may not exceed a few hundred rupees. The Director of Public Health Institute informs me that "the cost of instruments required will be trivial."

The qualification of the Analyst should be a University training in Public Health Laboratory work together with the D.P.H. Diploma. It must be noted that a University qualification is necessary not merely for the efficient performance of the work but also to secure recognition of the Analysts report in a Court of Law.

The Analysis of food stuffs being a highly specialised work which requires a thorough knowledge of public health chemistry and bacteriology, all samples requiring examination will invariably have to be sent to the Laboratory for analysis. No Sanitary Inspector can do the work as , at best, his knowledge of chemistry goes no farther than the enumeration of the metallic and the non-metallic elements.

Yours sincerely,

Kilgour

B. Nagappa, Esq., Bar-at-Law,
Secretary to Government,

San 49-21-25

To

The Municipal Commissioner,
Bangalore City Municipal Council,
through the President.

Sir,

G 7449/San/1
& 17.3.26.

With reference to your letter No. Mis.1098..... dated 14.11.1925 to the Sanitary Commissioner in regard to the framing of the rules under the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921, I am directed to state as follows:---

2. It is learnt that the towns of Bombay, Madras and Calcutta, there are special rules to regulate food supply. But in view of the necessity for regulating supply of food, Government consider it desirable to have such rules introduced into important municipalities in the State. As regards the instruments etc, it is stated that the existing equipment ~~will, it is stated, be sufficient~~ supplemented, if necessary, with a few appliances of small value will be sufficient. In view of the highly specialised nature of the food analysis work and in order to attain efficiency and recognition in a court of law, it is necessary that the examination should be conducted by a Sanitary Officer holding a D.P.H.diploma and University training in a Public Health Laboratory.

3. In these circumstances, Government think that the Regulation may be introduced into the cities of Bangalore

P.T.O.

and Mysore in the first instance. The rules sent up by the Sanitary Commissioner have been considered by your Municipal Council and the Municipal Council, Mysore. Those approved by the Municipal Council of the Mysore City, however, contain not only all the rules approved by the Bangalore City Municipal Council and the Sanitary Board, Kolar Gold Fields, but are more convenient as the rules relating to food products, etc, are separated from those relating to dairies. The standards for milk are different in the two sets of rules being higher ~~and~~ in the rules approved by your Council but the standard approved in the Mysore City Municipal Council is the one approved by the Sanitary Commissioner in the case of the rules sanctioned for Kolar Gold Fields, being based on the analysis conducted by Dr.M. Srinivasa Rao, certain ~~extra~~ provisions made in the rules approved by your Council are not found in those approved by the Mysore Council and they are being requested to consider the desirability of making those provisions in their rules also. If these changes are made the rules will become uniform in both the Municipalities.

In the circumstances, I am to enclose a copy of the rules with

the additions ~~required~~ referred to above and to request that they may be placed before a meeting of the Municipal Council and their opinion as to their suitability furnished ~~to Government~~ for the information of Govt and orders at an early date.

I have etc.,

Secretary to Govt.,
Medl. & Agl. Depts.

Memo No. 57450/Ent/13 17.3.2

A copy of the above with a copy of its enclosure is forwarded with compliments to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore with reference to his letter No.C.771-91 dated 12th December 1925.

By order,

Secretary to Govt.,
Medl. & Agl. Depts.

B.R.M.

MYSORE CITY MUNICIPALITY.

Draft rules for the working of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 ~~in the Mysore City~~ Municipal area.

1. Health Officer to be Public Analyst:- The Health Officer of the Mysore City shall be the Public Analyst for the area and shall be entitled to fee not exceeding the following scale, as may be allowed by the local executive Officer, for every certificate granted under Section 13 of Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation.

1. Milk and cream, chemical examination for adulteration with water or of chemicals only.	Rs.20
2. Butter and ghee, chemical examination for adulteration with water or of chemicals only.	Rs.30

2. To whom the executive Officers may delegate powers:-

The Health Officer shall under general instructions from the President, City Municipal Council exercise all the powers conferred by the Regulation upon the President as the local executive Officer and carry out all the duties required to be done for the proper working of the Regulation. No legal proceedings under this Regulation shall be instituted without the permission in writing of the President.

The Sanitary Inspector of each mohalla will likewise, on behalf of the President, exercise powers for purposes of inspection under Section 7 and seizure of adulterated foods under Section 9. Whenever it is necessary to purchase samples for Analysis such purchase shall be made under orders or with the approval of the President or the Health Officer.

3. Cleanliness of vessels:- All vessels, receptacles or utensils used for manufacturing, preparing or containing any food intended for sale shall

be cleansed with clean boiling water before and after use and shall be kept in a constant state of cleanliness. In case of foods likely to be acted upon by the metal of the vessel, receptacle or utensil in which the food is manufactured, prepared or contained for purposes of sale, all precautions shall be taken to prevent such metallic contamination. The Health Officer will be competent to prescribe from time to time, either by general or special instructions, what precautions, the manufacturer, dealer or salesman shall adopt in each case. Such instructions will for the purpose of the Regulation, be deemed as if forming part of these rules.

4. How notice of admixture, addition or deficinecy shall be given:-

If the vendor of any article intended for food knows that it is admixed with any foreign substance whatever or that any component part has been abstracted therefrom he shall give due notice of such admixture or abstraction by means of labels in English and Kannada affixed very conspicuously on each package or receptacle containing the article.

5. Sale of milk.- Every dealer, importer, seller or hawker of milk should declare before the sale thereof whether it is buffalo or cow milk or skimmed or separated milk.

6. Legal standards for Milk:- Where a sample of cow's milk (not being milk sold as skimmed or separated or condensed milk) contains less than 3 per cent of milk fat or less than 8.5 per cent of solids other than milk fat, it shall be presumed for the purposes of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation until the ~~country~~ contrary is proved that the milk is not genuine by reason of the abstraction therefrom of milk fat, or of milk solids other than milk fat or the addition thereto of water.

Where a sample of buffalo milk (not being milk sold as skimmed or separated or condensed milk) contains less than 4.5

per cent of milk fat or less than 9 per cent of solids other than milk fat, it shall be presumed for the purposes of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation, until the contrary is proved, that the milk is not genuine by reason of the abstraction therefrom of milk fat or milk solids other than milk fat or the addition thereto of water.

7. Legal standards for Butter, Ghee, Cheese and Butter-milk or Curds.

The legal standards for butter, ghee, cheese and butter-milk or curds shall be as follows:-

(a) Butter, moisture not exceeding 16 P.C. Reichert Meissel figure:-

Cow's butter.	13
Buffalo's butter.	15

or Reichert Woleney value 28 to 30.

(b) Ghee:- Reichert Meissel figures or Woleney value same as above.

cream 20% milk fat

Butyro-refactometer at 40 - 41- 42.

(d) Cheese:-The following may be taken as an average composition of cheese.

Water	19 to 42 per cent.
Ash	2 to 6.5 "
Fat	10 to 45 "
Total Nitrogen	4 to 7 "
Protein	25 to 33 "

(e) Butter milk or curds:- Any butter milk or curds with which flour or conjee is mixed, shall be presumed to be not genuine, unless the contrary is proved, for the purpose of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation.

8. Penalty for Breach of Rules:- Every manufacturer, dealer or vendor who (a) disobeys, fails to comply with or acts in contravention of the provisions made under Rule 43 herein in respect of cleanliness or vessels, receptacles or utensils used for manufacturing or preparing or containing any food intended for sale or

(b) neglects to affix labels to notify in the ~~matter~~ manner prescribed, the fact of the admixture of foreign ingredients in the case of adulterated articles of food, or

c) does not declare the nature of milk as required by Rules 5 16 above shall, on conviction before a Magistrate be punished with a fine which may extend to Rs 50 100 for every offence by way of penalty for the breach of the above said rules and with further fine which may extend to five rupees for every day on which such disobedience or failure continues after the date of first conviction.

Rules for regulating dairies, ~~diarymen~~ dairymen and for securing the celanliness of milk and milk products ~~in Mysore City~~.

1 The term 'Dairy' for the purposes of these rules means any premises where milch cattle are kept for the purpose of trade in milk or butter or milk products or where milk or butter or milk products are sold.

The term 'Dairy Man' for the purpose of these rules includes every person who carries on trade in milk or butter or milk products or who keeps milch cattle for the purpose of selling milk.

2. Every dairy-man or other person who is the occupier of a milk store or milk shop, shall cause every part of the interior of such milk store or milk shop to be thoroughly cleansed from time to time as often as may be necessary to maintain such milk store or milk shop in a thorough state of cleanliness.

3. He shall cause the floor and drain of every such room or place and every shelf or bench on which milk vessels are kept to be washed and thoroughly cleansed daily.

4. He shall cause the floor of every such dairy to be paved throughout with suitable impervious material approved by the Health Officer and the paving shall be so sloped as to ensure effectual drainage having a gradient of not less than 1 in 30.

5. He shall cause every part of the internal surface of

the walls and ceiling of every such dairy to be thoroughly lime-washed twice at least in every year or often if so required by the Health Officer.

6. No dairy-man shall cause or suffer any milch cattle belonging to him or under his care or control to be milked for the purpose of obtaining milk for sale:-

(i) unless at the time of milking, the udder and teats of such milch cattle or thoroughly clean,

(ii) unless the hands of the person milking such, are thoroughly clean and free from all infection and contamination, and

(iii) unless the milk receiving vessel is thoroughly clean and rinsed with clean boiling water.

7. Every dairy-man shall, from time to time, as often as may be necessary, cause every milk vessel that may be used by him for containing milk for sale to be thoroughly cleansed with steam or clean boiling water and shall otherwise take all proper precautions for the maintenance of such milk vessel in a constant state of cleanliness.

8. He shall on every occasion when any such vessel shall have been used to contain milk or shall have been returned to him, after having been out of his possession, cause such vessel to be forthwith so cleansed.

9. Every dairy-man shall take all reasonable and proper precautions, in connection with the storage and distribution of the milk and otherwise prevent the exposure of the milk to any infection or contamination.

10. He shall not deposit or keep any milk intended for sale:-

(a) In any room or place where it would be liable to become infected or contaminated by impure air or by any offensive, noxious or deleterious gas or substance or by any noxious or injurious emanation, exhalation or effluvium; or

(b) in any room used as a kitchen or as a living room; or

(c) in any room or building or part of a building communicating directly by door, window or otherwise with any room used as sleeping room or in which there may be any person suffering from any infectious or contagious diseases, or which may have been used by any person suffering from any such disease and may not have been properly disinfected; or

(d) in any room or building or part of a building in which there may be any direct inlet to any drain.

11. It shall not be lawful for any person following the trade of dairy-man:-

(a) to allow any person suffering from a dangerous, infectious disease, or having recently been in contact with a person so suffering, to milk the cows or to handle vessels used for containing milk for sale, or in any way to take part or assist in the conduct of the trade or business of the dairy-man, or so far as regards the production, distribution or storage of milk; or,

(b) if himself so suffering or having recently been in contact as aforesaid, to milk cows or handle vessels used for containing milk for sale or in any way to take part in the conduct of his trade or business as far as regards the production, distribution, or storage of milk until in each case all danger therefrom of the communication of infection to the milk or of its contamination has ceased.

12. It shall not be lawful for any dairy-man to use his dairy or permit the same to be used as a sleeping apartment, or for any purpose incompatible with the proper preservation of the cleanliness of the dairy and of the milk vessels and of the milk therein or in any manner likely to cause contamination of the milk therein.

13. No vendor of milk shall convey any milk for sale or distribution in open vessels and the latter shall be provided with proper close fitting covers.

14. He shall not at any time mix with other milk or sell or use for human food the milk of any cow or buffalo which may be suffering from tuberculosis, rinderpest, foot and mouth disease or disease of the udder, which may be certified by a Veterinary Officer or the Health Officer to be tubercular.

15. He shall not retail the milk to his customers by dipping his hands into the vessels.

16. He shall at all times protect the milk, which is intended for sale from dust and flies by providing suitable covers to the milk vessels.

17. Penalty for breach of these Rules:- Whoever commits a breach of any of the above ~~bye-laws~~ rules shall be liable on conviction to a fine not exceeding Rs.50. with further fine which may extend to Rs.5 for every day on which such breach continues after the date of first conviction.

President,
City Municipal Council.

SSR.4.

San 49-21-24

To

The President,

City Municipal Council, MYSORE.

Sir,

7451/Enc/1
7/7.3.26

With reference to your letter No. 220 2 dated 14th May 1925 to the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore in regard to the framing of the rules under the Mysore -- Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921, I am directed to state as follows:

2. It is learnt that in the towns of Bombay, Madras and Calcutta, there are no special rules to regulate food supply. But in view of the necessity for regulating supply of food, Government consider it desirable to have such rules introduced into important Municipalities in the State. As regards the instruments etc., it is stated that the existing equipment supplemented, if necessary, with a few appliances of small value will be sufficient. In view of the highly specialised nature of the food analysis work and in order to attain efficiency and recognition in a court of law, it is necessary that the examination should be conducted by a Sanitary Officer holding a D.P.H. diploma and University training in a Public Health Laboratory.

3. In these circumstances, Government think that the Regulation may be introduced into the cities of Bangalore and Mysore in the first instance.

The rules sent up by the Sanitary Commissioner have been considered by your Municipal Council and by the Municipal -

Council

Council of Bangalore City. The standards for milk are different in the two sets of rules, being lower in the rules approved by the Municipal Council of Mysore City but it is the one approved by the Sanitary Commissioner in the case of the rules sanctioned for Kolar Gold Fields being based on the analysis conducted by Dr. M.Srinivasa Rao. The rules received from you do not however, contain standards of cream and provision for punishment for continued breach of the rules as in the case of the Bangalore City Rules. If these changes also are made, the rules will become uniform in both the Municipalities. This is very desirable and certain changes are being suggested for ~~the~~ adoption by the Bangalore Municipal Council with the same object.

4) In these circumstances, I am to enclose a copy of the rules with the additions referred to above and to request that they may be placed before a meeting of the Municipal Council and their opinion obtained and furnished to Government for information and orders at an early date.

I have etc.,

Secretary to Govt. M&A Deptts.

Memo No. G 7452 9/7.3.26.

A copy of the above is forwarded with compliments to the Sanitary Commissioner in continuation of this office Memo No. G.7450/1 dated 17.3.26.

By Order

For Secretary to Govt.
M&A Deptts.

16

(F.F.VI-G1.)

B650

SUBJECT

urgent

Section Sam

File No. Ler 21

Diary No. of letter replied to 3260

Loc No. 4.7460

To

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 192

Dear Sir,

With reference to ~~rule 7(e) of the~~ draft rules relating to working of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Rules received with your letter no 220-2 § 14.5.1925, I am desired to state that some words seem to have been omitted in rule 7(e) relating to legal standard of buttermilk or curds and accordingly to request you to let us know as to ~~the~~ what ~~necessary~~ amendment to the ~~same~~ should be made to make the meaning

Date of issue 17/3/26

Signed by Mr M.V. Iyengar

List of enclosures

Copied by 16/3/26

Examined by

over

[illegible]

Yours sincerely

17/3

[illegible] & April

Secy to [illegible]

To M. Madhava Rao Esq, DA

President city Mun cl.

Mysore

17/3/24

Office of the President,
City Municipal Council, Mysore.
Dated 30th March 1926.

Sani 49-21-29

From

N.Madhava Rao, Esq.,B.A.,B.L.
President, City Municipal Council,
Mysore.

To

R.Ranga Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to Government,
ical & Agricultural Deptts.
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No: G.7451 / Sanv.49-21-27 dated 17th March 1926 in regard to the framing of Rules under the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 etc I have the honor to inform that the revised Draft Rules sent by you appear to be suitable and may be adopted.

Referring to your D.O. letter No: 7460 dated 17th instant, I wish to say no words are omitted in para 7(a) of the draft rules submitted from this office. But the para in question may perhaps be recast as follows in order to make the meaning more clear.

"Where a sample of butter milk or curds contains any flour or conjee, it shall be presumed for the purpose of the Mysore Prevention Regulation, unless the contrary is proved, that the butter milk or curds is not genuine by reason of its being mixed with flour or conjee."

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

N Madhava Rao

President.

APP. F. VI. Gl.]

UBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section San

File No. 49-21.

Serial No. 30

Diary No. of letter replied to 4747

No. L 8393

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To

The Municipal Comm
Bangalore and Cl. Tmnpe
The President, Inl Cl Dmp
Bangalore city

Sir,

In continuation
of this Dept letter no 5761.G / San
49-21-26 dt 12.3.26 forwarding
a set of draft rules for the
working of the Mysore Preven
tion of Adulteration Rules
I am directed to state that
the following may be substitu

Date of issue 1/4/26

Signed by Mr. M.V.J.

List of enclosures.....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

over

156

Place of para 76, after rules

"Where a sample of butter-milk curds contains any flour or conjee, it shall be presumed in the purposes of the Myore Prevention of Adulteration Regn, unless the contrary is proved, that the butter-milk or curds is not genuine by reason of its having mixed with flour or conjee"

Thanks

PS 9/

Sec to Govt
Med Agri Dept

Memo 4839-4 9/10-4-20

A copy of the above is forwarded with Commr's Circl President city mcl, Mysore with reference to his letter No 875 dt 31.3.20 with the remarks that the above wording may be added in Commr's rules

4839-7 10-4-20 Memo Sd 4

To Med & Agri Sec to Govt

Memo

Copies of the letters are forwarded with copy of Commr's Circl for information Sec

47207-

No. 2584
Encl

Mus672

OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT,

CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL, MYSORE.

Dated 8th May 1926.

San 49-21-31

FROM

N. MADHAVA RAU, ESQUIRE, B.A., B.L.,
President, City Municipal Council,
Mysore.

The Secretary to the Government of His
Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Medical and Agricultural Departments,
Bangalore.

SIR,

With reference to your letter No.G.7451-San.49-21-27 dated 17th March 1926 re the revised draft rules relating to the working of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation I have the honour to state that the revised draft rules received with your letter under reply (with rule 7 (e) modified as stated in my letter No.875/H.O dated 31st March 1926) have been approved by the Municipal Council and a copy of their Resolution No.311 dated 1st March 1926 is enclosed herein for reference.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

N. Madhava Rau

President.

GR

Proceedings of the 18th(Ordinary) meeting of the City Municipal Council, Mysore, held in the New Municipal Office Buildings on Saturday the 1st May 1926 at 8 A.M.

Present.

N.Madhava Rau, Esq., B.A.,B.L., President.

1. Tippu Sultan Alli Khan, Esq.
2. H.C.Dasappa, Esq., B.A.,LL.B
3. M.Madappa, Esq.,
4. Mahomed Gouse Peer, Esq.,
5. P.S.Ramaswami Iyengar, Esq.,
6. C.Narasimhiya, Esq., B.A.,B.L.
7. M.K.Madhava Rao,Powar, Esq.
8. M.Narayanaswamy, Esq.,
9. Dharmaprakasa D.Banumiah, Esq.
10. U.R.Lingoji Rao, Esq.
11. M.Ramaswami, Esq.,
12. V.Thiruvengada Modaliyar Esq.
13. M.Venkatakrishniah, Esq.
14. A.Krishna Rao, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
15. Turuvekere Basavaraj Urs. Esq.

Absent.

1. S.P.Rajagopalachar Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
2. Mahomed Sait, Esq.
3. K.Dhanakotichetty, Esq.,
4. M.P.Bhogiah, Esq., B.A.,B.L.,
5. Y.Virupakshiah, Esq.,
6. Khan Bahadur P.Pallonji, Esq.,
7. S.Srikantachari, Esq.
8. P.Seetaramiah, Esq.,
9. M.C.Thammiah, Esq.,
10. N.Setwaji Rao, Esq.,
11. B.Munivenkatappa, Esq.
12. B.K.Ramakrishniah, Esq., M.A.,LL.B.
13. Rev.E.S.Edwards.,
14. T.V.Thampiran, Esq., B.A.,

x x x

311. Letter No.G.7451-Sany 49-21-27 dated 17th March 1926 from the Secretary to Government, Medical and Agricultural Departments,regarding the revised draft rules relating to the working of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation.

Approved.

x x x

/-N.Madhava Rau,
President.

"Copy"

For ~~President~~.

No. G.To-21 Ec. Two

G M 817

OFFICE OF THE CITY MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

Bangalore City, Dated 28th July 1926. / 30th 192

Sany 49-21-32

From

B. T. KESAVAIENGAR, Esq., B. A.,

MUNICIPAL COMMISSIONER, MUNICIPAL COUNCIL,

BANGALORE CITY.

To

R. Ranga Rao Esq, B.A.,B.L.,
Secretary to Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore,
Medical and Agricultural Departments,
B a n g a l o r e.

Sir,

With reference to correspondence ending with your letter No. G.8393/Sany. 49-2-30 dated the 10th April 1926, forwarding draft rules for the working of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921 for the consideration of the Municipal Council, I have the honour to state that the subject was considered at the Council meeting held on 7th July 1926 together with the Health Officer's note and the Council have resolved, that a recommendation be made to Government for the adoption of the draft rules.

2. A copy of the resolution of the Council together with the note of the Health Officer is herewith submitted for favour of information and early orders of Government.

I have the honourto be,

S i r,

Your most obedient servant,

Municipal Commissioner.

Forwarded with compliments,

Honorary President.

DRR.

Proceedings of the Council Meeting held on 7th July 1926.

Present: Mr. Mohamed Abbas Khan.
Hon. President.

P R E S E N T

Messrs.

1.Mr. W.H.Hanumanthappa,
2.Mr. Belur Srinivasiengar B.A.B.L.,
3-Mr.G.Paramasiviah B.A.LL.B.,
4.Mr.B.L. Byanna,
5. Mr.G.B.Raghavendrachar B.A.,
6.Mr.R.Gopalaswami Iyer,
7. Mr. S.N. Sitharama Rao,
8.C.Hayavadana Rao B.A.B.L.,
9.S. Venkataranga Iyengar,
10.Mohamed Abbas Khan.

A B S E N T.

1.Mr. B.V.Krishniengar,
2.Mr.G. Muniyappa,
3. Mr. H.Bhadrappa,
4.Mr. S.N.M.Razvi,
5.Mr. N.G.Sanjeeviah,
6.Mr. C.K.Hutchappa,
7.Mr.G.Siddaveerappah,
8.Mr.C.N.Narasinga Rao B.A.B.L.,
9.Mr.M.Ramachandra Rao Sindia,
~~10.Mr.R.Gopalaswami Iyer~~
10.Mr. N.V.Kalyanpur.
11.Rev.W.H.Thorp B.A.,
12.Mr.M.Royan,
13.Mr. P.MurugesamPillay,
14.Mr.P.Subbarama Chetty,
15.Mr.D.Venkataramaiah, B.A.L.T.,
16.Mr. B.N.Vijayadeva B.A., Bar-at-law,
17.Mr. P.M.Basappa,
18.Mr. V.A.Krishnamurthi Mudaliar B.A.,
19.Mr. M.C.Chicknanjundappa,
20.Mr. B.Abdur Rahimon B.A.,

Subject No. 23.

Letter No. G. 7449/Sany.49-21-36 dated the 17th March 192 from the Secretary to Government, Medical and Agricultural Departments, Bangalore, forwarding Draft rules for thw working of the Mysore Prevention of Adulturation Regulation IX of 1921 for the cor -sideration or the Municipal Council. Also Health Officer's note thereon.

Resolution.:--- Resolved that a recommendation be made to Government for the adoption of the draft rules.

"Copy"

Sd. Mohamed Abbas Khan.
Honorary President.

For Municipal Commissioner.

DRR.

The Chemical Examiner to the Government of Mysore, Bangalor shall be the Public Analyst for the area of the Bangalore City and shall be entitled to a fee for each certificate that is granted as per rate shown infra. The Government prescribes Rs 25/- for analysis of Food Stuffs and Drugs.)

2. To whom the Executive Officers may delegate powers:-

The Health Officer of Bangalore City shall under general instructions from the President or Commissioner, City Municipal Council, Bangalore, be the local Executive Officer and carry out all the duties required to be done for the proper working of the Regulation. No legal proceedings under this Regulation shall be instituted without the permission in writing of the President or Commissioner.

A Food Inspector with the status of a Sanitary Inspector will be appointed to exercise powers for purposes of inspection under Section 7 and seizure of adulterated foods under Section 9.

3. Cleanliness of Vessels:- All vessels, receptacles, or utensils used for manufacturing preparing or containing any food intended for sale shall be cleansed with clean boiling water before and after use and shall be kept in a constant state of cleanliness. In case of foods likely to be acted upon by the metal of the vessels, receptacles or utensils in which the food is manufactured, prepared or contained for purposes of sale, all precautions shall be taken to prevent such metallic contamination. The Health Officer of the Bangalore City will be competent to prescribe from time to time, either by general or special instructions. what precautions the manufacturer, dealer or salesman shall adopt in each case. Such instructions will for the purpose of the Regulation, be deemed as if forming part of these rules.

4. How Notice of Admixture, addition or deficiency shall be given:-

If the vendor of any article intended for food knows that it is admixed with any foreign substance whatever or that any component part has been abstrated therefrom, he shall give due notice of such admixture or abstraction by means of labels in English and Kannada affixed very conspicuously on each package or receptacle containing the article.

5. Sale of Milk:- Every dealer, importer, seller or hawker of milk should declare before the sale thereof whether it is buffalo or cow milk or skimmed or separated milk.

Legal Standards of Milk:- Where a sample of Cow's milk(not being milk sold as skimmed or separated or condensed milk) contains less than 3.5 per cent of milk fat or less than 8.5 per cent of solids other than milk fat, it shall be presumed for the purposes of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation until the contrary is proved, that the milk is not genuine by reason of the abstraction therefrom of milk fat, or of milk-solids other than milk fat or the addition thereto of water.

Where a sample of buffalo milk(not being milk sold as skimmed or separated or condensed milk) contains less than 5 per cent of milk-fat or less than 9 per cent or solids other than milk fat, or 4.1 per cent of lactose, it shall be presumed for the purposes of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation until the contrary is proved, that the milk is not genuine by reason of the abstraction therefrom of milk-fat or milk-solids other than milk fat or the addition thereto of water.

7 Legal Standards for Butter, Ghee, Cream, Cheese and Butter Milk:- or Curds:-

Where a sample of cream contains less than 20 per cent f Milk-fat, it shall be presumed for the purposes of the Mysore revention of Adulteration Regulation until the contrary is proved. hat the cream is not genuine.

Legal standards for Butter and Ghee.

(a) Butter Cow's Moisture not exceeding 16 per cent. Reichert Meissel figure Cow's butter 13.
Buffalo's butter.....13.

(b) Ghee- Reichert- Meissel figures same as above.
Butyro- refractometer at 40° c- 41° - 42°.

(c) Cheese:- The following may be taken as an average composition of cheese.

Water..... -- 42 per cent.
Ash..... to 6.5 per cent.

Fat.....	10	to	45 per cent.
Total Nitrogen...	4	to	7 per cent.
Protein.....	25	to	33 per cent.

(d) Cream- 20 % milk fat.

(e) Butter-milk or Curds:- Any butter milk or curds with which flour or conjee is mixed, shall be presumed to be not genuine, unless the contrary is proved for the purpose of the Mysore Prevention of adulteration Regulation.

8. Penalty for breach of Rules:- Every Manufacturer, dealer, or vendor who (a) disobeys, fails to comply with or acts in contravention of the provisions made under rule 3 herein in respect of cleanliness of vessels, receptacles or utensils used for manufacturing, preparing or containing any food intended for sale or

(b) neglects to affix labels to notify in the manner prescribed the fact of the admixture of foreign ingredients in the case of adulterated articles of food or abstracts any component part therefrom of any article of food, or

(c) Does not make declaration under rule 5. or

(d) Manufacturers, dealers, in or sells milk, cream, butter, and ghee below the standard prescribed in Rule 6, 7,8 & 9 shall, on conviction before a Magistrate, be punished with a fine which may extend to fifty rupees for every day on which the said disobedience or failure continue after the date of first conviction.

Rules for regulating dairies, dairymen and for securing the cleanliness of milk and milk products.

1. The term " Dairy " for the purposes of these Rules means any premises where milch cattle are kept for the purpose of trade in milk or butter or milk products or where milk or butter ~~or~~ or milk products are sold.

The terms" Dairy Man" for the purpose of these rules include every person who carries on trade in milk or butter or milk products or who keeps milch cattle for the purpose of selling milk.

2. Every Dairyman or other person who is the occupier of a Milk Store or milk shop shall cause every part of the interior of such milk store or milk shop to be thoroughly cleansed from time to time as often as may be necessary to maintain such milk stores or milk shop in a thorough state of cleanliness.

3. He shall cause the floor and drain of every such rooms or place and every shelf or bench on which milk vessels are kept to be washed and thoroughly cleansed daily.

4. He shall cause the floor of every such milk shop to be paved throughout with suitable impervious material approved by the Health Officer and paving shall be sloped as to ensure effectual drainage having gradient of not less than 1 in 30.

5. He shall cause every part of the internal surface of the walls and ceilings of every such milk shop to be thoroughly lime washed twice at least in every year or oftener if so required by the Health Officer.

6. No Dairyman, importer, seller or hawker of milk shall cause or suffer any cow or buffalo belonging to him or under his care or control to be milked for the purpose of obtaining milk for sale.

I. Unless, at the time of milking, the udder and teats of such cow or buffalo are thoroughly clean

II. Unless the hands of the person milking such are thoroughly clean and free from all infection and contamination and,

III. Unless the milk receiving vessel is thoroughly clean and rinsed with clean boiling water.

7. Every Dairyman shall from time to time as often as may be necessary cause every milk vessel that may be caused by him for containing milk for sale to be thoroughly cleansed with steam or clean boiling water, and shall otherwise take all proper precautions for the maintenance of such milk-vessel in a constant state of cleanliness.

8. He shall on every occasion when any such vessel shall have been used to contain milk, or shall have been returned to him after having been out of his possession, cause such vessel to be forthwith so cleansed.

Every purveyor of milk or person selling milk by retail

9 take all responsable and proper precautions, in connection with the storage and distribution of the milk, and otherwise to prevent the exposure of the milk to any infection or contamination.

10. He shall not deposit or keep any milk intended for sale:-

(a) In any room or place where it would be liable to become infected or contaminated by impure air, or by any offensive noxious or deleterious Gas or substance, or by noxious or injurious emanation, exhalation or effluvium; or

(b) In any room used as a Kitchen or as a living room; or

(c) In any room ~~used~~ or building or part of a building, communicating directly by door, window or otherwise with any room used as sleeping room, or in which there may be any person suffering from any infectious or contagious disease or which may have been used by any person suffering from any such disease and may not have been properly disinfected; or

(d) In any room or building, or part of a building in which there may be any direct inlet to any drain, or

(e) The Bye-products of Milk, Viz- Curds, Butter, Butter-milk and butter shall be brought for sale in clean Alluminium vessels provide with properly fitting covers.

11. It shall not be lawful for any person following the trade or cow-keeper, or Dairyman or purveyor of milk, or being the occupier of a Milk store or milk shop:-

(a) To allow any person suffering from a dangerous infectious disease or having recently been in contact with a person so suffering, to milk the cows or to handle vessels used for containing milk for sale, or in any way to take part or assist in the conduct of the trade or business of the cow keeper or milk shop, or purveyor of milk, or occupier of a Milk store; so far as regards the production, distribution or storage of milk or

(b) If himself so suffering or having recently been in contact as aforesaid, to milk cows or handle vessels used for containing milk for sale or in any way to take part in the conduct of his trade or business as far as regards the production, distribution, or storage of milk until in each case all danger therefrom of the communication of infection to the milk or of its contamination has ceased.

12. It shall not be lawful for any person following the trade of Cow-keeper or dairyman or purveyor of milk or being the occupier of a milk-shop or milk-store, to use the milk-store or milk shop in his occupation, or permit the same to be used, as a sleeping apartment, or for any purpose incompatible with the proper preservation of the cleanliness of the milk store or milk shop and of the milk vessels and milk therein or in any manner likely to cause contamination of the milk therein.

13. No Vendor of milk shall convey any milk sale or distribution in open vessels. but the latter shall be provided with proper close fitting covers under lock and key and a tap at the lower end through which milk shall be retailed to the customers.

14. He shall not at any time mix with other milk, or sell or use for human food the milk of any cow or buffalo which may be suffering from Tuberculosis, Rinderpest, Foot and mouth Disease. or disease of the udder which may be certified by a Veterinary Surgeon or Inspector to be tubercular.

15. He shall not retail the milk to his customers by dipping his hands into the Vessels

16. He shall at all times protect the milk, which is intended for sale from dust and flies by providing suitable covers to the milk vessels.

Health Officer
City McComb
Amyn Line

He shall not retail the milk to his customers by dipping his hands into the vessels.

16. He shall at all times protect the milk, which is intended for sale from dust and flies by providing suitable covers to the milk vessels

In any room used as a Kitchen or as a living room; or

(c) In any room or building or part of a building communicating directly by door, window or otherwise with any room used as sleeping room or in which there may be any person suffering from any infectious or contagious disease or which may have been used by any person suffering from any such disease and may not have been properly disinfected, or

(d) In any room or building, or part of a building in which there may be any direct inlet to any drain, or

11. It shall not be lawful for any person following the trade of Dairyman:-

(a) to allow any person suffering from a dangerous, infectious disease or having recently been in contact with a person so suffering, to milk the cows, or to handle vessels used for containing milk for sale or in any way to take part or assist in the conduct of the trade or business of the Dairyman, or so far as regards the production, distribution or storage of milk, or,

(b) if himself so suffering or having recently been in contact as aforesaid, to milk or handle vessels used for containing milk for sale or in any way to take part in the conduct of his trade or business as far as regards the production, distribution or storage of milk until in each case, all danger therefrom of the communication of infection to milk or of its contamination has ceased.

12. It shall not be lawful for any Dairyman to use his Dairy or permit the same to be used as a sleeping apartment, or for any purpose incompatible with the proper preservation of the cleanliness of the dairy and of the milk vessels and of the milk therein or in any manner likely to cause contamination of the milk therein.

13. No Vendor of milk shall convey any milk for sale or distribution in open vessels and the latter shall be provided with proper close fitting covers.

14. He shall not at any time mix with other milk or sell or use for human food, the milk of any cow or buffalo which may be suffering from tuberculosis, rinderpest, foot and mouth disease or disease of the udder, which may be certified by a Veterinary Officer or the Health Officer to be tubercular.

3. He shall cause the floor and drain of every such room or place and every shelf or bench on which milk vessels are kept to be washed and thoroughly cleansed daily.

4. He shall cause the floor of every such diary to be paved throughout with suitable impervious flooring and material approved, by the Health Officer and the paving shall be sloped as to ensure effectual drainage having a gradient or not less than 1 in 30.

5. He shall cause every part of the internal surface of the walls and ceiling of every such dairy to be thoroughly lime-washed twice at least in every year or oftener if so required by the Health Officer.

6. No Dairyman shall cause or suffer any milch cattle belonging to him or under his care or control to be milked for the purpose of obtaining milk for sale:-

I. Unless at the time of milking, the udder and teats of such milch cattle are throughly clean.

II. Unless the hands of the person milking such are thoroughly clean and free from all infection and contamination, and

III. Unless the milk-receiving vessel is thoroughly clean and rinsed with clean boiling water.

7. Every Dairyman shall, from time to time as often as may be necessary, cause every milk vessel that may be used by him for containing milk for sale to be thoroughly cleansed with steam or clean boiling water and shall otherwise take all proper precautions for the maintenance of such milk vessel in a constant state of cleanliness.

8. He shall on every occasion when any such vessel shall have been used to contain milk or shall have been returned to him, after having been out of his possession, cause such vessel to be forthwith so cleansed.

9. Every Dairyman shall take all responsible and proper precautions in connection with the storage and distribution of the milk and otherwise prevent the exposure of the milk to any infection or contamination.

10. He shall not deposit or keep any milk intended for sale:-

(a) In any room or place where it would be liable to become infected or contaminated by impure air or by any offensive, noxious or deleterious gas or substance or by noxious or injurious emanation, exhalation or effluvium; or

(d) Cream — 20% min fat / may be reduced

8. Penalty for breach of Rules:- Every manufacturer, dealer or vendor who (a) disobeys, fails to comply with or acts in contravention of the provisions made under Rule 3 herein in respect of cleanliness of vessels, receptacles or utensils used for manufacturing or preparing or containing any food intended for sale or

Para 4 reviewed & adopted 18/8

(b) neglects to affix labels to notify in the manner prescribed, the fact of the admixture of foreign ingredients in the case of adulterated articles of food,

(c) Does not declare the nature of milk as required by Rule 5 above, shall, on conviction before a Magistrate, be punished with a fine which may extend to Rs 50/- for every offence by way of penalty for the breach of the above said rules and with further fine which may extend to five rupees for every day on which the said disobedien or failure continues after the date of the first conviction.

Rules for regulating dairies, dairymen and for securing the cleanliness of milk and milk products.

1. The term " Dairy " for the purposes of these rules means any premises where milch cattle are kept for the purpose of trade in milk or butter or milk products or where milk or butter or milk products are sold.

The terms " Dairy Man" for the purpose of these rules include every person who carries on trade in milk or butter or milk products or who keeps milch cattle for the purpose of selling milk.

2. Every Dairy man or other person who is the occupier of a milk store or milk shop, shall cause every part of the interior of such milk store or milk shop to be thoroughly cleansed from time to time as often as may be necessary to maintain such milk store or milk shop in a thorough state of cleanliness.

4 How Notice of admixture, addition or deficiency shall be given:-

If the vendor of any article intended for food knows that it is admixed with any foreign substance whatever or that any component part has been abstracted therefrom, he shall give due notice of such admixture or abstraction by means of labels in English and Kannada affixed very conspicuously on each package or receptacle containing the article.

5. Sale of Milk:- Every dealer, importer, seller or hawker of milk should declare before the sale thereof whether it is buffalo or cow milk or skimmed or separated milk.

6 Legal standards for milk:- Where a sample of Cow's milk(not being milk sold as skimmed or separated or condensed milk) contains less than 3 per cent of milk fat or less than 8.5 per cent of solids other than milk fat, it shall be presumed for purposes of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation until the contrary is proved, that the milk is not genuine by reason of the abstraction therefrom of milk fat, or of milk solid other than milk fat or the addition there to of water.

Where a sample of buffalo milk(not being sold as skimmed or separated or condensed milk) contains less than 4.5 per cent of milk fat or less than 9 per cent of solids other than milk fat, it shall be presumed for the purposes of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation, until the contrary is proved that the milk is not genuine by reason of the abstraction therefrom of milk fat or milk solids other than milk fat or the addition thereto of water.

7. Legal Standards for Butter, Ghee, Cream, cheese, and Butter-milk or Curds.

The legal standards for butter, ghee, cheese and butter milk or curds shall be as follows:-

(a) Butter, moisture not exceeding 16 P.C. Reichert Meissel figures

Cow's Butter..... 13
Buffalo's butter..... 15 or Reichert Woleney value 28 to 30.

(b) Ghee- Reichert Meissel figures or Woleney value, same as above

(c) Cheese:- The following may be taken as an average composition of Cheese.

Water.....	19 to 42 per cent.
Ash.....	2 to 6.5 per cent.
Fat.....	10 to 45 per cent
Total Nitrogen.....	4 to 7 per cent

Health Officer to be Public Analyst:- The Health Officer of the Mysore City shall be the Public Analyst for the area and shall be entitled to fee not exceeding the following scale as may be allowed by the Local Executive Officer, for every certificate granted under Section 13 of Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation:-

1. Milk & Cream, Chemical examination for adulteration with water or of chemicals only Rs 20/-.

2. Butter and Ghee, chemical examination for adulteration with water or of chemicals only Rs 30/-.

2. To whom the executive Officers may delegate powers.

The Health Officer shall under general instruction from the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, exercise all the powers conferred by the Regulation upon the President as the local Executive Officer and carry out all the duties required to be done for the proper working of the Regulation. No Legal proceeding under this Regulation shall be instituted without the permission in writing of the President.

The Sanitary Inspector of each Mohalla, will likewise on behalf of the President, exercise powers for purposes of inspection under Section 7 and seizure of adulterated foods under Section 9. Whenever it is necessary to purchase samples for Analysis, such purchase shall be made under orders or with the approval of the President or the Health Officer.

3. Cleanliness of Vessels:- All vessels, receptacles or utensils used for manufacturing, preparing or containing any food intended for sale shall be cleansed with clean boiling water before and after use and shall be kept in a constant state of cleanliness. In case of foods likely to be acted upon by the metal of the vessel, receptacle or utensil in which the food is manufactured, prepared or contained for purposes of sale, all precautions shall be taken to prevent such metallic contamination. The Health Officer will be competent to prescribe from time to time, either by general or special instructions what precautions, the manufacturer, dealer or salesman shall adopt in each case Such instructions will for the purpose of the Regulation, be deemed as if forming part of these Rules

als

10 copies

GOVT. OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat.

No. G12341 Bangalore, 2nd May 28 ~~August 27~~

3 PPS

Jan 49-21-33

NOTIFICATION

Under Section 20 of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921, the following draft rules for the ~~relating to the~~ working of the Regulation in the Cities of Bangalore and Mysore, are published for general information. These rules will be taken for consideration after one month from the date of the publication of this Notification in the Gazette:

RULES UNDER THE MYSORE PREVENTION OF ADULTERATION REGULATION.

----oOo----

1. In these rules, "Regulation" means the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921.

"Section" means Section of the above Regulation.

2. Section 3.- ~~Any officer of the rank~~ of a Health Officer or one who holds the diploma of P.H., shall, under the general instructions of the President of the Municipal Council, be the local executive officer and carry out ~~all~~ the duties ~~required to be done~~ prescribed for the ~~proper working of the Regulation.~~ No legal proceedings under this Regulation shall be

The Officer from whom the Local Executive Officer may delegate his powers shall be of the rank of a Health Officer or shall hold the diploma of Public Health.

instituted without the permission in writing of the President or the Commissioner as the case may be.

Section 20(b)

3. The local executive officer of the area within which the Municipal Council shall exercise his powers under the Regulation shall be within the Municipal limits of the place.

Section 20(c)

4. Cleanliness of Vessels.- All vessels receptacles; or utensils used for manufacturing or preparing food or containing any food - intended for sale shall be cleansed with - clean boiling water before and after use - and shall be kept in a constant state of - - cleanliness. In case of food likely to - be acted upon by the metal of the vessels, receptacles or utensils in which the food is manufactured, prepared or contained for purposes of sale, all precautions shall be taken to prevent such metallic contamination.

5. Section 20 (d).- How Notice of Admixture, addition or deficiency shall be - given.- If the vendor of any article in- - tended for food knows that it is admixed - with any foreign substance whatever or that any component part has been abstracted there from, he shall give due notice of such admixture or abstraction by means of labels in Kannada or in any other language likely to be read and understood by the people, - affixed conspicuously on each package or receptacle containing the article.

6. Section 20 (e).- The legal standards of the following articles are as --- noted against them:-

(a) Cow's Milk.-

Milk fat.	...	3.5 %
Other solids...		8.5 %

(b) Buffalo's Milk.

Milk fat.		5.0 %
Other solids...		9.0 %
or		
Lactose	...	4.1 %

(c) Cream.-

Milk fat.	...	20.0 %

(d) Butter.- Cow's.-

Moisture.	...	16.0 %	(Reichert Meissel figure 13 %)

Butter.-

Buffalo's—moisture		13.0 %	(Reichert Wolney value 28 to 30).

(e) Ghee.- Reichert Meissel figure same as for Butter.

Butyro - refractometer at - 40° - 42°.

(f) Cheese.-

Water. ...	...	19 to 42 %
Ash. ...	...	2 to 6.5 %
Fat. ...	...	10 to 45 %
Nitrogen.	...	4 to 7 %
Protein...	...	25 to 33 %

7. Penalty for breach of rules.- Every manufacturer, dealer, or vendor who (a) dis-

obeys, fails to comply with or acts in contravention of the provisions made under - - Rule 4 herein in respect of cleanliness of vessels, receptacles or utensils used for - ~~manu~~ manufacturing, preparing or containing any food intended for sale, or (b) neglects to affix labels to notify in the manner prescribed. the fact of the admixture of foreign ingredients in the case of adulterated articles of food or abstraction of any component part therefrom of any article of food, ~~or (c) does not make declaration under Rule 6,~~ or (c) manufactures, deals in or sells milk, cream, - butter, ghee or cheese below the standard -- prescribed in Rule 6 above shall, on conviction before a Magistrate, be punished with a fine not exceeding Rs. 50/- and with a fine which may extend to Rs. 50/- for every subsequent offence.

" BY ORDER "

GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette, for publication in Part III of the Mysore Gazette.

with a request that 25 copies may be sent to this office

Memo No. G/12342-4 Bangalore, 2nd May ~~Aug.~~ 28.

Copy of the above is forwarded, with -- compliments, to (1) the Sanitary Commissioner in Mysore, (2) President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, and (3) the Municipal Commissioner, City Municipal Council, Bangalore City, thro' the President.

" BY ORDER "

GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

E.W.M.

ab

F. VI. G1]

10 Copies

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

1ff

Diary No. of letter replied to... 8713 ~~8477-26~~

Section Secn

File No. 49-2

Serial No. 34

No. G/2345

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the 192

To Notification

Date of issue 2/5/28

Signed by Mr. M. J. V.

Under section 4(2) of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation IX of 1921, Govt are pleased to extend the provisions of the said Regn to the Bangalore & Mysore city municipalities in respect of the following articles of food intended for human consumption

(1) Cows Milk (2) Buffaloes Milk (3) Cream (4) Cows butter (5) Buffaloes butter (6) Ghee (7) Cheese

By order

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

2/5/28

To the Commissioner, Mysore City Municipality

over

WD0325—GPB—80,000—26-5-27

for publication in the Mysore Gazette in English & Kannada

a request that 100 copies may be furnished to this Secretariat

P T O

Memo — 9/2346-8.

9/6. A copy of this above
forwarded into Complt Estn (1)
President municipal Commr
Mysore (2) municipal Comrs
Bangalore cty mun. & Thayls
in Pvcy & (3) Secy Comrs
Govt Secretariat

By order

Secretary to Govt

Copy to the Protection Bn

(1000)
23/11/07

P. F. VI. G1]

10 Copies Rec'd

SUBJECT.....

.....

.....

Section Law

File No. 49/21

Serial No. 36

No. G 401/21

General and Revenue Secretariat

the 192

Notification

Diary No. of letter replied to 8713

Date of issue 10.7.28

Signed by M. M. G. S.

Under Section 20 of the Opium Prevention & Adulteration Rgn. IX of 1921, Govt are pleased to ~~prescribe~~ direct the following Rules for the working of the Regulation (Vide Copy of the Rules)

List of enclosures.....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

By order

28/6/28

for Secy to Govt

over

To the Commissioner, Mysore

Gazette for

for publication in Pt III of
Gazette of India 300
Revs & Acts Office

Memo. G 402-4

Copy of above is
forwarded with compliments
to Secy Govt (?) President
of Mysore & (3) Resident
Govt Bangalore & Chief
Commr Coorg for information.

By order

28/6/18

Secy to Govt

Copy to Law Notification Br

Jon
23/6/18

G. R. S. VI—G/32]

SUBJECT 4 letters

Diary No. of letter replied to 8708

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191

Date of issue 17/8/28

Signed by Mr. M. I. V.

Section Jail 49

File No. 21-21

Serial No. 37

No. J 2260-3/28 Assam

For Jail copy

Medical Copy B. of J.

Asst Director of Medical

The President of Union

Council Assam

Mr Hill, K.S.J. for B.J.

Sir,

I am directed to forward for distribution ~~Secretary~~ —

Copies of the Union and

the Assam Prevention

of Adulteration Rules,

over.

K 9/21 issued with Jos
Ltr no S 407/Sam 57—21-38 d
7. 4.28

Promote

WS 16/6
Fairley 61

Jos 100
15/8/28

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

NOTIFICATION

No. G. 401—*Sanya* 21-36 *dated 10th July* 1928.

Under Section 20 of the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation, IX of 1921, Government are pleased to sanction the following rules for the working of the Regulation :—

By Order,
R. RANGA RAO
General Secretary to Government.

RULES UNDER THE MYSORE PREVENTION OF ADULTERATION REGULATION.

1. In these rules, " Regulation " means the Mysore Prevention of Adulteration Regulation, IX of 1921.
Section " means Section of the above Regulation.

Section 3.—

2. The Officer to whom the local executive officer may delegate his powers shall be of the rank of a Health Officer or shall hold the diploma of Public Health. No legal proceedings under the Regulation shall be instituted without the permission in writing of the President or the Commissioner as the case may be.

Section 20(*b*)

3. The area within which the local executive officer of a Municipal Council shall exercise powers shall be the Municipal limits of the place.

Section 20(*c*).—

4. *Cleanliness of Vessels.*—All vessels, receptacles, or utensils used for manufacturing or preparing food or containing any food intended for sale shall be cleansed with clean boiling water before and after use and shall be kept in a constant state of cleanliness. In case of food likely to be acted upon by the metal of the vessels, receptacles or utensils in which the food is manufactured, prepared or contained for purposes of sale, precautions shall be taken to prevent such metallic contamination

Section 20(*d*).—

5. *How notice of admixture, addition or deficiency shall be given.*—If the vendor of any article intended for food knows that it is admixed with any foreign substance whatsoever or that any component part has been abstracted therefrom, he shall give due notice of such admixture or abstraction by means of labels in Kannada or in any other language likely to be read and understood by the people, affixed conspicuously on each package or receptacle containing the article.

Section 20(*e*).—

6. The legal standards of the following articles are as noted against them :—

(a)	*Cow's milk.*	
	Milk fat ...	3·5 per cent
	Other solids ...	8·5
(b)	*Buffalo's milk.*	
	Milk fat ...	5·0
	Other solids ...	9·0
	Lactose ...	4·1
(c)	*Cream*	
	Milk fat ...	20·0
(d)	*Butter—Cow's*	
	Moisture ...	16·0 (Reichert Meissel figure 13.)
	Butter—Buffalo's.	
	Moisture ...	13·0 per cent (Reichert Wolney value 20 to 30.)
(e)	*Ghee.*	
	Reichert Meissel figure same as for Butter.	
	Butyro refractometer at 40° to 42°	
(f)	*Cheese.*	
	Water	... 19 to 42 per cent
	Ash	... 2 to 6·5
	Fat	... 10 to 45
	Nitrogen	... 4 to 7
	Protein ..	... 25 to 33

7. *Penalty for breach of rules.*—Every manufacturer dealer or vendor who (a) disobeys, fails to comply with or acts in contravention of the provisions made under Rule 4 herein in respect of cleanliness of vessels, receptacles or utensils used for manufacturing, preparing or containing any food intended for sale, or (b) neglects to affix labels to notify in the manne prescribed, the fact of the admixture or foreign ingredients in the

case of adulterated articles of food or abstraction of any component part therefrom of any article of food, or (c) manufactures deals in or sells milk, cream butter, ghee or cheese below the standard prescribed in Rule 6 above, shall, on conviction before a Magistrate, be punished with a fine not exceeding Rs. 50 and with a fine which may extend to Rs. 50 for every subsequent offence.